

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 14, Issue 5, Page 434-440, 2024; Article no.IJECC.110927 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

# Effect of Land Configuration Technique and Moisture Regime on Water Productivity of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Summer Season

Vinay Kumar Patel <sup>a++\*</sup>, Suryabhan <sup>b#</sup>, Vishuddha Nand <sup>c†</sup>, Mukesh Kumar <sup>d†</sup>, Akhilesh Kumar Prajapati <sup>e‡</sup> and Himanshu Singh <sup>f#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICAR-Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi (U.P.), India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Agronomy, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and technology, Kanpur, 208002 (U.P.), India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of LFC, ANDUAT, Kumarganj, Ayodhya-224229 (U.P), India.

<sup>d</sup> Ram Lalit Singh Mahavidhyalaya, Kailahat, Chunar, Mirzapur- (U.P.) India.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Agronomy, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology,

Kumarganj, Ayodhya -224229 (U. P.), India.

<sup>†</sup> Department of Vegetables Science, Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, Banda U. P. -210001, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i54203

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110927

> Received: 17/03/2024 Accepted: 30/05/2024 Published: 02/06/2024

**Original Research Article** 

*Cite as:* Patel, V. K., Suryabhan, Nand, V., Kumar, M., Prajapati, A. K., & Singh, H. (2024). Effect of Land Configuration Technique and Moisture Regime on Water Productivity of Maize (Zea mays L.) in Summer Season. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change, 14(5), 434–440. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i54203

<sup>++</sup> Young Professional-I;

<sup>#</sup> Research Scholar;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> M. Sc;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: vinaykumarupc@gmail.com;

## ABSTRACT

To investigate the effect of maize on water use efficiency, water productivity, protein content, and economics in semiarid regions, it is necessary to evaluate the effects of land configuration and moisture regimes. In the summer of 2018-19, a field experiment was carried out at the Agronomy Research Farm, ANDUA &T, Kumargani, Ayodhya (U.P.) to investigate the effects of moisture regime and land layout approach on the water productivity of maize in the summer months. Four moisture regimes-0.5, 0.7, 0.9, and 1.1 IW/CPE ratios-were assigned to subplots in the experiment, while three land configurations-ridge planting, paired row planting, and flat bed planting-were maintained in the main plots. The experiment consisted of 12 treatment combinations and was conducted in SPD and replicated four times. The results revealed that crop sown on paired row planting on a raised bed showed higher water use efficiency and water productivity when computed under paired row planting on a raised bed with 0.5 irrigation water/cumulative pan evaporation moisture regimes. Further, it was observed that among the moisture regimes, 0.9 and 1.2 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratios, and assessing the economics, that is, gross return, net return, and benefit cost (B:C) ratios, were observed in the combination of paired row planting on a raised bed with a 0.9 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio, and a minimum was observed in the combination of flat bed planting with a 0.5 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio.

Keywords: Land configuration; maize; moisture regimes; protein water productivity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Maize is one of the most important cereal crops next to wheat and rice in terms of total production in the world. It is grown under diverse environmental condition and has varied uses as food, feed and fodder, Devendra et al. [1]. In India maize accounts for an area of 11.03 million ha with a production of 28.64 m tonnes and a productivity of 25.68 q ha-1 [2]. Currently, more than 85% of India's maize crop is consumed by people, especially in the country's poorer regions, where hunger and protein malnutrition are prevalent. It is among the most important grain crops in the world. The grain of maize has about 3.6 percent protein, 4% oil, and 70% carbohydrates. It has a high zein percentage, which is low in tryptophan and virtually free of lysine. After rice and wheat, maize is the third most important food crop in India. Which is cultivated in both Kharif (7.7 Mha) and Rabi (1.6 Mha) seasons and produces 19.5 and 7.6 MT, respectively [3]. Approximately 2% of the world's total maize production is produced in India, with Karnataka accounting for the majority of production at 16%, followed by Telangana and Bihar together at 20%. India produces about 71% of its maize crop during the kharif season. It is generally grown in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Telangana, Rajasthan, etc. The three main states that produced maize during the Rabi season were Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, and Tamil Nadu, with Tamil Nadu leading the pack with 40% of the crop.

Maize may be produced in both seasons, although it is mainly a *Kharif* crop. In *Kharif*, the first week of February is the ideal time to sow summer maize, and it should be completed by the last week of February. The highest yield of baby corn was obtained through scheduling irrigation at an IW/CPE ratio of 1.0 during the whole season, which was significantly better than the 0.75 IW/CPE ratio, Reddy, K.J. et al. (2021). The bed sowing method produced the highest crop growth rate (17.39 g/m2/day) and net assimilation rate (6.27 g/m2/day), while the ridge sowing and flat sowing methods produced lower values.

The configuration of the land is crucial for reducing soil erosion and increasing field crops' ability to use water and nutrients efficiently. It is a useful technology for in-situ moisture conservation. Making ridges by opening furrows may benefit from the conservation of more rainwater on the bed, which enriches soil moisture Manipulation of content. sowing techniques facilitates and easv uniform germination, plant growth and development, and boosts crop nutrient availability Halli, et al. [4]. The ridges and furrow system's superiority may be due to its superior ability to drain surplus water and provide sufficient aeration during irrigation or periods of heavy rainfall. According to Parihar et al. [5], the flat bed method of planting maize produced less grain and stover than the ridges and furrows approach. The growth, development, and physiological processes of maize plants can be impacted by water stress, which lowers biomass production. Nagdeote et al., [6], Hanamant and Angadi [7].

Crop irrigation requirements are primarily driven by evapotranspiration. The loss of water due to evapotranspiration is calculated using climatological data, and irrigation is scheduled when ET reaches a certain threshold.

The amount of irrigation that is applied in proportion to ET or ET. Using the IW/CPE technique, a predetermined level of cumulative pan evaporation is reached before applying a given amount of irrigation water. There is a strong relationship between evaporation from an open pan and ET produced by a complete crop cover. Kaur et al. [8]. Proposed a more feasible meteorological strategy based on the provision of a predetermined quantity of water required for irrigation to CPE as the basis for scheduling irrigation. It was observed that maize crop irrigation at an IW/CPE ratio of 1.0 was significantly superior to 0.6 but at par with 0.8 regarding plant dry weight (g) at harvest, kernel weight (g)/cob, kernel yield (kg ha-1), number of kernels/cob, and stover yield (kg ha-1). Nagaraian et al., [9]. Presently, few studies and investigations have attempted to explore the additive influence of land configuration and moisture regimes on the productivity, grain yield, and water productivity of hybrid maize. Although tremendous work has been done on the maize crop for yield enhancement, the effect of irrigation scheduling based on the IW/CPE ratio on maize is very limited, particularly in the eastern part of Uttar Pradesh. Brar et al. [10].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 2.1 Study Location Details

At the Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology's Agronomy Research Farm in Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh (located 113 meters above mean sea level and 26 degrees 47 degrees N and 82 degrees 12 degrees E), a field experiment was carried out in the summer of 2019. The farm is located 42 kilometers from the district headquarters of Ayodhya on the Raibareily Road. Geographically speaking, the Indo-Gangatic Plains, with their alluvial plains, have a semi-arid subtropical climate (IGP). There is 1002 mm of rain on average every year. About 80% to 85% of the total rainfall takes place during the monsoon, June to September. There is an abundance of surface water that can percolate deeply into the groundwater.

The temperature rises rapidly. From March to May and early June, the temperature sometimes reaches 47°C. After the onset of the monsoon in June, there is an appreciable drop in temperature. The average monthly minimum is 16.5°C, while the average monthly maximum is 32°C. April recorded the lowest recorded temperature (21.44°C), and May recorded the average maximum temperature (40.35°C). The study site's soil has a silty loam texture, with 16.3% clay and 28.9% silt. Its bulk density is 1.56 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (0-30 cm), pH is 8.3, electrical conductivity is 0.25 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon is 0.32%, and its available nitrogen content is low (180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and medium (25.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in terms of phosphorus and potassium (270.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>).

## 2.2 Experimental Details

The experiment was conducted at Three land configurations-flat bed planting, ridge planting, and paired row planting on raised beds-were maintained in the main plots, and four moisture regimes—0.5, 0.7, 0.9, and 1.1 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratios-were allocated to the subplots. Four replications of each treatment were included in the split-plot design. On April 7, 2019, the hybrid maize variety known as "Kanchan (K-25)" (1982 GBPU & AT, India) was sown at a rate of 25 kg ha-1, with a spacing of 60 × 20 cm. Irrigation was done in accordance with treatments determined by the IW/CPE ratio, which ranged from 0.5 to 1.1. The pre-emergence herbicide was used to control weeds, and one manual weeding operation was performed 30 days later.

#### 2.3 Water Use Efficiency and Water Productivity

Water use efficiency (WUE) is defined as the amount of carbon assimilated as biomass or grain produced per unit of water used by the crop (Jerry L. Hatfield et al., 2019). It is estimated by taking the ratio of grain yield to total water used.

#### Water use efficiency (kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>):

WUE (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) = Grain yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) Total water used (cm)

Agricultural water productivity, or crop water productivity (CWP), is defined as the production of physical mass (e.g., biomass, grain yield) or the economic value of mass produced relative to the amount of water used for the production of that mass. It is estimated by taking the ratio of grain yield and total water applied in a season. The generic equation for water productivity (WP) is as follows:

$$WP\left(\frac{kg}{m^{3}}or\frac{\$}{m^{3}}\right) = \frac{out \ put \ derived \ from \ water \ use \ (\frac{kg}{m^{2}} \ or \ \frac{\$}{m^{2}})}{water \ applied \ \left(\frac{m^{3}}{m^{2}}\right)}$$

#### 2.4 Protein Content (%)

Using a modified Micro-Kjeldal method, the protein content in maize grains was determined by the total N content of all types of maize (hybrid and composite) in each treatment [11]. The following formula was used to determine the percentage of protein in the maize: The protein percentage from the maize was calculated using the following formula:

#### Percent protein = N% × 6.25 (factor)

#### 2.5 Economics

The cost of cultivation for each treatment was calculated by adding the variable costs due to the treatment to all of the costs associated with growing the experimental crop. The gross return was calculated. By multiplying gain and stover yield individually under different conditions at their exiting market price to obtain the gross income of Rs. ha<sup>-1</sup>, the money values of the stover production and the grain were combined. The calculated net income by cost of cultivation was subtracted from the gross income of each individual treatment. Bennefit The cost ratio, or net income invested, was worked out by dividing the net income by the cost of cultivating individual treatments.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Water Use Efficiency and Water Productivity

Water use efficiency and water productivity were both were significantly affected by land configuration and moisture regimes. In row planting on a raised bed (L1), both water use efficiency and water productivity were recorded at higher values, followed by ridge planting as presented in Table 1. This might be due to the efficient use of water by crops and the minimum loss of water. A similar result was observed by Aggarwal and Goswami [12]. The maximum water use efficiency was observed with irrigation Water/Cumulative at 0.5 Irrigation Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>1</sub>) (86.19 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm⁻

<sup>1</sup>), followed by 0.9 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio  $(I_3)$  (80.74 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). 0.7 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>2</sub>) (74.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm-1), and 1.1 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>4</sub>) (64.72 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). The decline in water use efficiency under an increasing level of irrigation (1.1 irrigation water/cumulative pan evaporation ratio) might be due to the fact that grain yield has not increased proportionally to the consumptive use of water. The highest water productivity was noticed with irrigation at 0.5 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I1) (2.20 kg m-3), followed by 0.9 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>3</sub>) (2.02 kg m<sup>-3</sup>), 0.7 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>2</sub>) (1.90kg m-3), and 1.1 Irrigation Water/Cumulative Pan Evaporation ratio (I<sub>4</sub>) (1.60 kg m<sup>-3</sup>). Similar results were observed by Manal et al. [13] and Kumar et al. [14], Chao et al. (2022).

## **3.2 Quality Analysis**

#### 3.2.1 Protein content (%)

Data represented in Table 2 revealed that the land configuration were found non-significant on Nitrogen content in grain. The maximum protein content in grain (10.25%) was found in paired row planting on raised bed (L<sub>3</sub>) followed by ridge planting (L<sub>2</sub>) and flat bed planting (L<sub>1</sub>). The effect moisture regimes also found non-significant on protein content in grain. However the higher protein content in grain (10.31%) was recorded under 0.9 IW/CPE ratio (I<sub>3</sub>) and minimum protein content (10.06%) in 0.5 IW/CPE ratio (I<sub>1</sub>). Similar finding was given by Monreal et al. [15], Halli, et al. [4], Babu et al. [16].

## 3.3 Economics

The main objective of any experiment is to find the highest profit with the minimum cost of cultivation. With this aim, the treatments that recorded higher profits are worth adopting. As such, to work out the economics of each treatment combination separately, the prevailing market prices were used. The data presented in Table 3. The maximum cost of cultivation (Rs. 42125 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated with L1I4, L2I4, and L<sub>3</sub>I<sub>4</sub> treatment combinations. The gross income of different treatment combinations increased with an increase in grain and stover yields of maize. Maximum gross income of maize (Rs. 104113) ha-1) was recorded under paired row planting on a raised bed along with irrigation applied at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio, while maximum net income (Rs.

63988 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed under paired row planting on a raised bed long with irrigation at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio, and the highest B:C ratio (1.59) was calculated under paired row planting

on a raised bed with irrigation applied at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio. A similar finding was given by Meena et al. [17], Sonpure et al. [18], Joshi et al. [19], Huang et al. [20] and Jones et al. [21].

## Table 1. Water use efficiency and water productivity of summer maize as influenced by land configuration, and moisture regimes

Treatments	Water use efficiency (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Water productivity (kg m-3)	
A- Land configuration			
Flat bed planting	66.21	1.60	
Ridge planting	73.12	1.80	
Paired row planting on raised bed	85.37	2.14	
B- Moisture regimes			
0.5 IW/CPE ratio	86.19	2.20	
0.7 IW/CPE ratio	74.88	1.90	
0.9 IW/CPE ratio	80.74	2.02	
1.1 IW/ CPE ratio	64.72	1.60	

## Table 2. Protein, content (%) in grain of summer maize as influenced by land configuration and moisture regimes

Treatments	Protein content (%)		
A- Land configuration	`````		
Flat bed planting	10.06		
Ridge planting	10.23		
Paired row planting on raised bed	10.25		
Sem+	0.23		
CD at 5%	NS		
B- Moisture regimes			
0.5 IW/CPE ratio	10.06		
0.7 IW/CPE ratio	10.13		
0.9 IW/CPE ratio	10.31		
1.1 IW/ CPE ratio	10.18		
Sem+	0.19		
CD at 5%	NS		

## Table 3. Cost of cultivation, gross income, net income and B:C ratio as influenced by various treatment combinations

Treatments combinations	Cost of Cultivation (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net income (Rs. ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C ratio (Rs. Re <sup>-1</sup> invested)
L1I1	36125	50162	14037	0.38
$L_1I_2$	38125	59418	21293	0.55
$L_1I_3$	40125	80987	40862	1.01
L1I4	42125	78649	36524	0.86
$L_2I_1$	36125	55357	19232	0.53
$L_{2}I_{2}$	38125	65595	27470	0.72
$L_{2}I_{3}$	40125	89191	49066	1.22
L2 4	42125	86625	44500	1.05
$L_{3}I_{1}$	36125	64473	28348	0.78
L312	38125	76341	38216	1.002
L3I3	40125	104113	63988	1.59
$L_{3}I_{4}$	42125	101091	58966	1.39

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Τo summarize. this studv is one of the few that has assessed the impact of land configuration and moisture regime on water use efficiency, water productivity, protein content, and the economics of maize in semi-arid environments. The study indicated In the case of moisture regimes, irrigation at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio proved to be the most beneficial with respect to growth parameters, yield attributes, and yield of maize. Thus, technologies of establishment of summer maize on paired row planting on raised beds and moisture regimes at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio based on the availability of water can be adopted to enhance the productivity of summer maize and the profitability of farmers under limited availability of moisture regimes. And the maximum net income was assumed under the treatment combination of paired row planting on a raised bed along with 6 cm of irrigation at a 0.9 IW/CPE ratio.

#### **CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER**

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: "International Conference on Emerging Trends in Agriculture & Allied Sector for Sustainable Developments" organized by Faculty of Agricultural Sciences & Allied Industries, Rama University, Kanpur Nagar, U.P., India on 8th and 9th December, 2023. Web Link of the proceeding: https://www.ramauniversitv.ac.in/news-ramauniversity-hosts-successful-internationalconference-on-emerging-trends-in-agriculture-12-49-5706

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I thankfully acknowledge Dr. Gajendra Singh, Division of Agronomy for their appreciation and motivation.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Aggarwal P, Goswami B. Bed planting system for increasing water use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in inceptisol. Indian J of Agric Sci. 2002;73: 422-425.

- 2. Anonymous. www. Agricoop; 2017. Available:Nic.in & http://eands.dacnet.nic.in
- 3. Anonymous. Agricultural statistics at a Glance. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India, New Delhi; 2021.
- 4. Babu S, Singh R, Avasthe RK, Yadav GS, Das A, Singh VK, Kumar A. Impact of land configuration and organic nutrient management on productivity, quality and soil properties under baby corn in Eastern Himalayas. Scientific Reports. 2020;10(1): 16129.
- 5. Brar SK, Mahal SS, Brar A S, Vashist KK, Sharma N and Buttar GS. Transplanting time and seedling age affect water productivity, rice yield and quality in north-west India. Agricultural Water Management. 2012;115:217–22.
- Devendra HS, Choudhary SK. Effect of land configuration on growth and production efficiency of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under maize-wheat cropping system. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022; 11(6):2356-2358.
- Halli HM., Angadi S, Kumar A, Govindasamy P, Madar R, Baskar VDC, Abdelmohsen SA. Assessment of planting method and deficit irrigation impacts on physio-morphology, grain yield and water use efficiency of maize (*Zea Mays* L.) on vertisols of semi-arid tropics. Plants. 2021;10(6):1094.
- Hanamant M. Halli, Angadi SS. Response of Land Configuration and Deficit Irrigation on Growth and Yield Attributes of Maize (*Zea mays* L). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(5):52-60.
- Huang C, Ma S, Gao Y, Liu Z, Qin A, Zhao B, Liu Z. Response of summer maize growth and water use to different irrigation regimes. Agronomy. 2022;12 (4):768.
- Jones HG. What is water use efficiency? In : Bacon, M.A., Water use efficiency in plant biology, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford. 2004;27-41.
- Joshi JR, Patel VM, Barad HL, Macwan SM, Ehsas J. Effect of land configuration and fertilizer management practices on growth, yield and yield attributes and economics of Summer maize (Zea mays L.) under South Gujrat condition. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018;7(1):1148-1155.

- 12. Kaur N, Vashist KK, Brar AS. Productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under different crop sequences and irrigation regimes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2021;91(6):828-32.
- Kumar HK, Ramachandrappa BK, Nanjappa HV, Mudalagiriyappa. Effect of phenophase based irrigation schedules on growth, yield and quality of baby corn (*Zea* mays L.). Agric Sci. 2011;2(3):267-272.
- Manal M, El- Tantawy, Samiha OA, Fouad KA. Irrigation scheduling for maize (*Zea* mays L.) grown under middle Egypt conditions. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2007;3(5):456-462.
- Meena RL, Idnani LK, Kumar A, Khanna M, Shukla L, Choudhary RL. Water economization in rabi maize (*Zea mays* L.) to enhance productivity through land configuration and irrigation scheduling in the Indo-Gangetic plains of India. J Soil and Water Conservation. 2015;14(1):49-55.
- Monreal JA, Jimenez ET, Remesal EL, Morillo-Velarde R, García-Maurino S, Echevarría C. Proline content of sugar beet storage roots: Response to water deficit and nitrogen fertilization at field

conditions. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2007;60: 257–267.

- Nagarajan G, Ramesh T, Janaki P, Rathika S. (2018). Enhancement of finger Millet productivity through land configuration and nitrogen management under sodic soil. Madras Agricultural Journal; 105(7-9):257-261.
- Nagdeote VG, Mangala G, Mhaske AR, Balpande SS, Ghodpage RM. Effect of land configuration, plant population and nitrogen management on productivity of sweet corn in vertisol. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2016;8(61):3428-3433.
- Parihar CM, Rana KS, Parihar MD. Crop productivity, quality and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cropping system as influenced by configuration and direct and residual effect of nutrient management. Indian J agric Sci. 2009;(11):927-930.
- 20. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis IVth edition University of Adelaide, Australia. 1966;135-200.
- Sonpure SB, Ilhe SS, Wadile SC. Influence of irrigation scheduling and mulching on yield and economics in summer sweet corn. Internat. Res. J. Agric. Eco. & Stat. 2017;8(2):315-319.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110927