

Asian Plant Research Journal

6(3): 1-9, 2020; Article no.APRJ.58582 ISSN: 2581-9992

Integrated Effect of Chlorusulfuron, Nitrogen Fertilizer and Varieties on Striga Management in Sorghum in Western, Tigray, Ethiopia

Letemariam Desta^{1*}, Ibrahim Fitw¹ and Alemu Araya¹

¹Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Tigray Agricultural Research Institute, Humera Agricultural Research Center, Crop Department, P.O.Box 62, Humera, Tigray, Ethiopia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author LD designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors IF and AA edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/APRJ/2020/v32i2330791 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Shri Kant Tripathi, Mizoram University, India. (1) Rohitash Bajiya, Agriculture University, India. (2) Elizzandra Marta Martins Gandini, University Federal of the Jequitinhonha and Mucuri Valleys, Brazil. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/58582</u>

Original Research Article

Received 01 April 2020 Accepted 05 July 2020 Published 29 September 2020

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the cropping seasons of 2017/2018 to evaluate the effect of levels of herbicide and N fertilizer and sorghum varieties on striga management in the Western Tigray. Split-split plot design was used to conduct the experiment using three replicate. The experiment comprised of four levels of N fertilizer in the main plot (control (0 kg/ha, 23, 46 and 69 kgha⁻¹) and herbicide applications in sub-plot (0, 10, 15 and 20 gha⁻¹) and three sorghum varieties (Birhan, Deber and Wediaker) in sub-sub plot under naturally *S. hermonthica* infested area. Striga counts and striga growth parameters were recorded. Results showed that days to first flowering, striga count per sorghum plant, stand counts of striga at 45 DAP, 65 DAP and 85 DAP per m⁻², branch number per plant, plant height and biomass of striga were significantly (P<0.01) affected with the application of N fertilizer, herbicide rates and varieties. Generally, an integrated approach was found to be the best method to control striga.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: letishdesta2008@gmail.com;

Keywords: Striga hermonthica; urea-nitrogen fertilizer; herbicide; chlorsulfuron.

1. INTRODUCTION

Striga hermonthica (Del.) Benth is an obligate root parasite that is indigenous to Africa and causes severe losses in most cultivated cereal crops in the continent. Incidence and severity of S. hermonthica insfestion is particularly high in the Savannas of West Africa on sorghum, pearl millet and maize [1]. Controlling of S. hermonthica a massive assignment considering the seed production capacity of 10,000-100,000 seeds/plant which remains viable in the soil for many years till getting favorable conditions to initiate germination [2] and their intimate physiological interaction with their host plants [3], the damage of the weed causes to crop before emergence and its ability to thrive best in poor soil fertility which is a special characteristic of the farm land of the poor farmers in Africa in general and Northern Ethiopia, in particular, is the most important characteristics of this parasite weed. Striga infestation is the outcome of continuous growing of striga susceptible cultivars, mono cropping of cereals which host the parasite and reducing soil fertility which weakens the host plant to striga attack [4]. Thus, the type of crop cultivars grown has a direct influence on striga susceptible infestation. Striga varieties encourage a good growth of the pest, allowing for more production of seeds.

Due to the complicated nature of the parasitic weed (*S. hermonthica*) various control methods have been practiced for the control of *S. hermonthica*, but no stable and achievable results have been achieved. Such control measures include hand weeding, intercropping, crop rotation, trap cropping, nitrogen fertilizer,

use of resistant or tolerant crop varieties biological control and integrated control. Single technology is not completely effective at controlling striga or containing its spread, efforts to integrate control options developed in different disciplines are highly effective at reducing damage by the parasite [5,6]. So, this study was designed to test the integrated approach of striga management using herbicide, sorghum varieties, and N fertilizer for controlling striga.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Location

The field experiment was conducted in kharif 2017 growing season at Humera Agricultural Research Center located in Kafta Humera District, Western Zone of Tigray Regional State, Ethiopia. The center is about 1372 km away from Addis Ababa and 600 km west of Mekelle, which is the capital city of Tigray Regional State. It is located at 14°15' N latitude and 36°37' E longitude with an average altitude of 609 meters above sea level.

2.2 Experimental Design and Treatments

The experimental design used was laid out in split-split plot design with three replications. There were a total of forty eight treatment combinations in this experiment. The treatments were applied indiscriminately to each experimental plot of each replication (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). The three factors were N fertilizer levels, herbicide rates, and sorghum varieties (Table 1).

 Table 1. Main, Sub-plot, and sub-sub plot treatments used in the experiment

| Treatments | Treatment levels |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Nitrogen levels (main plot) | N0 (0 kg N ha⁻¹) |
| | N1 (23 kg N ha ⁻¹) |
| | N2 (46 kg N ha ⁻¹) |
| | N3 (69 kg N ha ⁻¹) |
| Herbicide rates (sub-plot) | C0 (0 gm ha ⁻¹) |
| | C1 (10 gm ha ⁻¹) |
| | C2 (15 gm ha 1) |
| | C3 (20 gm ha ⁻¹) |
| Varieties (sub-sub plot) | V1 (Brihan resistance variety) |
| | V2 (Deber local variety) |
| | V3 (Wediaker local variety) |
| Varieties (sub-sub plot) | C1 (10 gm ha ⁻¹) C2 (15 gm ha ⁻¹) C3 (20 gm ha ⁻¹) V1 (Brihan resistance variety) V2 (Deber local variety) V3 (Wediaker local variety) |

NB: - N in the form of urea

| Trt # | Combination of treatment | Code of treatment | Trt # | Combination of treatment | Code of treatment |
|-------|--|-------------------|-------|--|-------------------|
| 1 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N0C2V1 | 25 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N2C1V1 |
| 2 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Wediaker | N0C2V3 | 26 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N2C1V3 |
| 3 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N0C2V2 | 27 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N2C1V2 |
| 4 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Wediaker | N0C0V3 | 28 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N2C3V1 |
| 5 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N0C0V1 | 29 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N2C3V3 |
| 6 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N0C0V2 | 30 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N2C3V2 |
| 7 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N0C1V2 | 31 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N2C0V3 |
| 8 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N0C1V3 | 32 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N2C0V1 |
| 9 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N0C1V1 | 33 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N2C0V2 |
| 10 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N0C3V2 | 34 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N2C2V1 |
| 11 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N0C3V3 | 35 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N2C2V2 |
| 12 | 0 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N0C3V1 | 36 | 46 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N2C2V3 |
| 13 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N1C3V1 | 37 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N3C0V1 |
| 14 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N1C3V3 | 38 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N3C0V2 |
| 15 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N1C3V2 | 39 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N3C0V3 |
| 16 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N1C0V1 | 40 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N3C2V2 |
| 17 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N1C0V3 | 41 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N3C2V1 |
| 18 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 0 g CI ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N1C0V2 | 42 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N3C2V3 |
| 19 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N1C1v3 | 43 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N3C1V1 |
| 20 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N1C1V1 | 44 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N3C1V3 |
| 21 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N1C1V2 | 45 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 10 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N3C1V2 |
| 22 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N1C2V1 | 46 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N3C3V2 |
| 23 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N1C2V3 | 47 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and wediaker | N3C3V3 |
| 24 | 23 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 15 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Deber | N1C2V2 | 48 | 69 kg N ha ⁻¹ , 20 g Cl ha ⁻¹ and Birhan | N3C3V1 |

Table 2. Combination of treatment and code of the treatment

The plots were laid out and leveled manually. One and 1.5 m distances were maintained between each plot and each block respectively. The N fertilizer rates (in the form of urea) were applied to corresponding experimental plot divided in to two halves. One-half of the fertilizers (i.e., as per the treatment) were applied uniformly within the rows during planting time while the remaining half of each N fertilizer treatments were top dressed on the inter row spaces two weeks after emergence of the crop. The herbicide was dilutes by the recommended water (200 liter ha⁻¹) and sprayed three weeks after emergence of the crop to the soil. Due to the need to monitor striga emergence without obstruction and quantify the effect nitrogen fertilizer, herbicide and variety on striga only, plots were kept free of other weeds by repeated hoe and hand weeding at all growth stages.

2.3 Measurements and Measurement Methods on *Striga*

Days to first striga emergence: was the day from the sowing date of the crop up to first above ground appearance of striga.

Days to first flowering: strict observation was made to take the data on this parameter starting day after emergence of striga up to the last sorghum maturity in each plot.

Number of striga count: number of striga counted in one meters square of each plot by quadrate method at 45DAP, 65DAP, and 85DAP to compare infestation level.

Branch number per striga plant; branches were counted per plant from three randomly selected plants per plot and was averaged as number of branch per plant.

Striga count per sorghum plant; striga plants emerged around the selected samples of sorghum (five sorghum plants) was counted at 50% of crop flowering.

Striga height: height of the striga plant was recorded from the ground up to the shoot apex from the selected five plants of striga and data were taken average one.

Biomass (kgm⁻²): biomass of striga was measured from the whole plot (harvested plot)

Desta et al.; APRJ, 6(3): 1-9, 2020; Article no.APRJ.58582

the change to the meter square at the end of counting (85 DAP).

2.4 Data Analysis

All collected data were statistically subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the split spit plot design of the experiment using JMP-18th statistical package on а computer. Whenever treatment effects were significant (P < 0.05), mean comparison between and/or among treatment means were computed using Dunken's Multiple Range test at p<0.05.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Days to *Striga* Emergence, Flowering and Stand Count

Nitrogen levels, herbicide rates and varieties interaction showed highly significant difference (p < 0.01) for striga emergence, flowering and striga count per sorghum plant (Table 3). striga emergence was early by 20.67 days in both the controls (no nitrogen fertilizer and no herbicide) with V2 and V3 (N0C0V2 and N0C0V3) as compared to application of 69 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V1 and 46 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V2. The reason for late emergence of striga may be N fertilizer and herbicide with resistance and moderate resistance varieties delays the emergence of striga by minimizing the early attachment of striga to sorghum roots and subsequently reducing the number of striga shoots that emerge above ground. Application of 69 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ and V1 delayed flowering of striga more significantly than controls with V3 (N0C0V3). This could be due to the delayed-on emergence and the computation among the sorghum and striga for resources may be low in the plots that received or treated by N fertilizer, herbicide and resistance variety than untreated plots with susceptible variety.

The highest number of striga per sorghum (17.26 plants) was recorded from plots with no N, herbicide and variety V3 (N0C0V3) whereas the lowest number (0.4 plants) of striga was counted from plots with 69 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ and V1 (N3C3V1). Generally, striga count per sorghum decrease with increasing N fertilizer, herbicide within varieties. By using integrated management of striga it reduced striga number per sorghum by 97.82% (3).

3.2 Effect of N Fertilizer, Herbicide Application, and Varieties on *Striga* Count at Different Time

At 45 DAP maximum striga counted was from both controls (N0C0) with V3 (15.59 plants m-2) whereas minimum striga was counted from 69 kg N ha⁻¹, 15 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V1 (0.37 plants m⁻²). *Striga* counted at 65 DAP and at 85 DAP was increases the number of striga a little bit with resistance and susceptible varieties. This shows that striga emergence later due to the effect of N fertilizer, herbicide and varieties. The highest striga m⁻² was counted from both at 65 DAP and 85 DAP in plots of the control with V3 (142.93 plants m⁻² and 222.04 plants m⁻²) respectively the difference only the time taken to counted the striga. The lowest *striga* were counted from

application of 46 and 69 kg N ha⁻¹ and all herbicide rates except the control with V1 (1.11 plants m⁻²) at 65 DAP, but at 85 DAP lowest striga were counted from application of all N levels except the control of N fertilizer and herbicide rates with V1 (2.22 plants m^{-2}). Integrated use of Nitrogen fertilizer, herbicide and variety decreases the number of striga count at 45, 65 and 85DAP by 97.62%, 99.22% and 98.75% respectively. This result is in line with [7] the authors reported that at 60DAS highest striga was counted from control (untreated plot) as compare to other treated plots (3plantsm⁻²). Generally, striga emergence and striga count was reduces so it is known to increase the yield of sorghum because the host almost very low in number in the treated ones compare with both controls with all varieties.

| | Table 3. | Interaction | effect of | nitroaen levels | herbicide rates | and varieties o | n striga parameters |
|--|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|--|----------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|

| Treatments | DE | DF | SC-PI | 45 DAPm-2 | 65 DAPm-2 | 85 DAPm-2 |
|------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| N0C0V1 | 56.3 ^{a-e} | 75.33 ^{c-k} | 2.06(1.6) ^{k-n} | 4.03(2.12) ^f | 5.15(2.26) ^{m-q} | 11.15(3.33) ^{o-u} |
| N0C0V2 | 40.67 ^j | 70 ^{h-k} | 7.93(2.9) ^{de} | 8.11(2.93) ^c | 109.48(10.46) ^b | 134.67(11.6) ^c |
| N0C0V3 | 40.67 ^j | 66 ^ĸ | 17.26(4.21) ^a | 15.59(4.01) ^a | 142.93(11.95) ^a | 222.04(14.9) ^a |
| N0C1V1 | 55.3 ^{a-f} | 77 ^{b-g} | 1.2(1.3) ^{n-q} | 0,74(1.1) ^{m-q} | 1.33(1.15) ^{pq} | 5.74(2.37) ^{t-v} |
| N0C1V2 | 42.7 ^{g-j} | 76 ^{c-i} | 3.46(1.99) ^{h-j} | 1.44(1.39) ^{h-k} | 8.19(2.86) ^{lm} | 17.81(4.21) ^{m-o} |
| N0C1V3 | 46.7 ^{d-j} | 72.33 ^{f-k} | 5.73(2.49) ^g | 3(1.87) ⁹ | 24.26(4.92) ^{hi} | 79.15(8.89) ⁹ |
| N0C2V1 | 58 ^{a-d} | 76.33 ^{b-g} | 2.06(1.6) ^{k-n} | 0.51(1) ^{pq} | 1.59(1.25) ^{pq} | 5.63(2.37) ^{t-v} |
| N0C2V2 | 46.3 ^{d-j} | 76 ^{c-i} | 2.73(1.79) ^{j-l} | 1.37(1.36) ^{i-l} | 8.67(2.94) ^{lm} | 14.89(3.83) ^{m-p} |
| N0C2V3 | 51.67 ^{a-j} | 75.33 ^{c-k} | 7.4(2.8) ^e | 1.25(1.32) ⁱ⁻ⁿ | 10.37(3.21) ^{kl} | 36.19(6.01) ⁱ |
| N0C3V1 | 53 ^{a-h} | 74 ^{d-k} | 1.46(1.4) ^{n-p} | 0.55(1.02) ^{o-q} | 1.48(1.21) ^{pq} | 5.7(2.92) ^{t-v} |
| N0C3V2 | 48 ^{c-j} | 75.33 ^{c-k} | 1.66(1.47) ^{n-p} | 0.77(1.2) ^{I-q} | 2(1.41) ^{pq} | 5.56(2.59) ^{t-v} |
| N0C3V3 | 44.33 ^{f-j} | 73 ^{e-k} | 3(1.87) ^j | 1.5(1.41) ^{h-k} | 7.07(2.65) ^{I-n} | 13.96(3.73) ^{n-q} |
| N1C0V1 | 54 ^{a-g} | 77 ^{b-g} | 3.33(1.95) ^j | 1.14(1.28) ^{j-o} | 6.07(2.45) ^{I-p} | 25.26(5.02) ^{kl} |
| N1C0V2 | 43.3 ^{g-j} | 72 ^{g-k} | 6.6(2.66) ^f | 2.81(1.81) ^g | 27.07(5.2) ^h | 51.41(7.16) ^h |
| N1C0V3 | 44 ^{f-j} | 73 ^{e-k} | 6.4(2.62) ^{fg} | 4.63(2.26) ^e | 56.04(7.47) ^e | 114.85(10.71) ^e |
| N1C1V1 | 51.3 ^{a-j} | 77 ^{b-g} | 1.2(1.3) ^{n-q} | 0.55(1.02) ^{o-q} | 1.44(1.2) ^{pq} | 2.89(1.69) ^v |
| N1C1V2 | 47.3 ^{c-j} | 75.33 ^{c-k} | 1.8(1.51) ^{m-o} | 0.59(1.04) ^{o-q} | 5.11(2.25) ^{m-q} | 6.93(2.37) ^{r-v} |
| N1C1V3 | 46 ^{e-j} | 77 ^{b-g} | 5.66(2.48 ⁹ | 1.74(1.49) ^{hi} | 22.19(4.7) ['] | 46.37(5.02) ^h |
| N1C2V1 | 58.7 ^{a-c} | 78.33 ^{a-d} | 1.6(1.44) ^{n-p} | 0.74(1.1) ^{m-q} | 1.56(1.24) ^{pq} | 5.67(2.29) ^{t-v} |
| N1C2V2 | 50 ^{b-j} | 77 ^{b-g} | 2.06(1.6) ^{I-n} | 0.55(1.02) ^{o-q} | 2.85(1.68) ^{n-q} | 7.07(2.63) ^{r-v} |
| N1C2V3 | 49.7 ^{b-j} | 74.67 ^{c-k} | 4.26(2.17) ^h | 1.11(1.26) ^{j-p} | 7.78(2.78) ^{lm} | 18(2.65) ^{mn} |
| N1C3V1 | 60.33 ^{ab} | 79.33 ^{a-c} | 0.8(1.13) ^{pq} | 0.59(1.04) ^{o-q} | 1.41(1.17) ^{pq} | 2.93(1.69) ^v |
| N1C3V2 | 52 ^{a-j} | 76 ^{c-h} | 1.33(1.35) ^{n-p} | 0.74(1.1) ^{m-q} | 1.89(1.37) ^{pq} | 5.7(1.64) ^{uv} |
| N1C3V3 | 48.7 ^{b-j} | 74.67 ^{c-k} | 1.5(1.39) ^{n-p} | 0.92(1.19) ^{k-q} | 1.81(1.34) ^{pq} | 5.26(2.29) ^{uv} |
| CV (%) | 11.6 | 3.3 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 11 |
| LSD(0.05) | 9.456 | 4.114 | 0.77 | 0.492 | 3.932 | 5.886 |
| F Pr | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 |

DE = days to first striga emergence, DF = days to flowering, Sc_pl = striga count per sorghum plant, 45 DAP = striga counts 45 days after sorghum planting, 65 DAP = striga count 65 days after sorghum planting, 85 DAP = striga counts 85 days after sorghum planting, N (0, 1, 2 and 3) = Nitrogen levels, C (0, 1, 2 and 3) = herbicide rates, V1 = Brihan, V2 = Deber and V3 = wediaker

| Treatments | DE | DF | SC-PI | 45 DAPm-2 | 65 DAPm-2 | 85 DAPm-2 |
|------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| N2C0V1 | 52.3 ^{a-j} | 76 ^{c-h} | 2.7(1.77) ^{j-m} | 1.11(1.26) ^{j-p} | 2.85(1.68) ^{n-q} | 13.26(3.63) ^{n-s} |
| N2C0V2 | 41 ^{ij} | 72.33 ^{f-k} | 9.7(3.18) ^c | 6.22(2.59) ^d | 77.33(8.79) ^d | 122.9(11.06) ^d |
| N2C0V3 | 41.3 ^{h-j} | 72.33 ^{f-k} | 11.3(3.42) ^b | 9.66(3.18) ^b | 104.78(10.23) ^c | 142.6(11.94) ^b |
| N2C1V1 | 58 ^{a-d} | 76.67 ^{b-g} | 1.33(1.35) ^{n-p} | 0.74(1.1) ^{m-q} | 1.74(1.31) ^{pq} | 3.04(1.74) ^v |
| N2C1V2 | 52 ^{a-j} | 76 ^{c-h} | 1.4(1.37) ^{n-p} | 1.33(1.35) ^{i-m} | 5.37(2.31) ^{m-q} | 13.74(3.7) ^{n-r} |
| N2C1V3 | 44 ^{f-j} | 73 ^{e-k} | 8.66(3.02) ^d | 2.81(1.82) ^g | 51.41(7.15) ^f | 101.07(10.05) ^f |
| N2C2V1 | 52.7 ^{a-i} | 78 ^{a-e} | 0.8(1.13) ^{pq} | 0.77(1.12) ^{I-q} | 1.11(1.05) ^q | 2.41(1.55) ^v |
| N2C2V2 | 48 ^{c-j} | 76.33 ^{c-h} | 2.73(1.79) ^{j-1} | 1.33(1.35) ^{i-m} | 2.67(1.63) ^{n-q} | 7.41(2.71) ^{q-v} |
| N2C2V3 | 49 ^{b-j} | 75.67 ^{с-к} | 4.2(2.16) ^{hi} | 0.7(1.09) ^{n-q} | 13.93(3.73) ^{jk} | 28.33(5.31) ^{jk} |
| N2C3V1 | 50 ^{b-j} | 78 ^{a-e} | 1(1.22) ^{o-q} | 0.74(1.1) ^{m-q} | 1.33(1.15) ^{pq} | 2.63(1.61) ^v |
| N2C3V2 | 62.33 ^a | 74.33 ^{c-k} | 1.86(1.53) ^{I-o} | 1.11(1.26) ^{j-p} | 2.85(1.68) ^{n-q} | 12.44(3.52) ^{n-t} |
| N2C3V3 | 52.3 ^{a-j} | 77 ^{b-g} | 1.4(1.37) ^{n-p} | 1.59(1.44) ^{h-j} | 2.93(1.71) ^{n-q} | 6.19(2.48) ^{t-v} |
| N3C0V1 | 57 ^{a-e} | 76.67 ^{b-g} | 1.73(1.49) ^{n-p} | 1.11(1.26) ^{J-p} | 2.89(1.69) ^{n-q} | 5.26(2.29) ^{uv} |
| N3C0V2 | 44 ^{f-j} | 75 ^{c-k} | 10.2(3.26) ^c | $6.4(2.62)^{d}$ | 41.48(6.43) ⁹ | 74.04(8.6) ^g |
| N3C0V3 | 42.3 ^{g-j} | 71 ^{h-k} | 6.4(2.62) ^{fg} | 3.(1.9) ^g | 38.74(6.21) ⁹ | 99.63(9.97) ^f |
| N3C1V1 | 57.7 ^{a-e} | 78.33 ^{a-d} | 1(1.22) ^{o-q} | 0.59(1.04) ^{o-q} | 1.11(1.05) ^q | 2.22(1.48) ^v |
| N3C1V2 | 47.3 ^{c-j} | 77.67 ^{a-e} | 6.4(2.62) ^{fg} | 2(1.57 ^{)h} | 15.78(3.94) ^j | 32.33(5.67) ^{ij} |
| N3C1V3 | 57.7 ^{a-e} | 76.33 ^{c-h} | 2(2.62) ^{I-n} | 1.14(1.28) ^{j-o} | 2.67(1.63) ^{n-q} | 8.74(2.95) ^{p-v} |
| N3C2V1 | 57.7 ^{a-e} | 78.33 ^{a-d} | 1(1.22) ^{o-q} | 0.37(0.93) ^{o-q} | 1.11(1.05) ^q | 2.22(1.48) ^v |
| N3C2V2 | 50.7 ^{a-j} | 78.67 ^{a-d} | 3.4(1.97) ^{ij} | 1.22(1.31) ⁱ⁻ⁿ | 6.89(2.62) ^{I-n} | 21.22(4.6) ^{lm} |
| N3C2V3 | 49 ^{b-j} | 76 ^{b-g} | 2(1.57) ^{I-ń} | 1.66(1.47) ^{h-j} | 8.89(2.98) ^{Im} | 18.56(4.3) ^{mn} |
| N3C3V1 | 62 ^a | 82.33 ^a | 0.4(0.94) ^q | 1.11(1.26) ^{J-p} | 1.11(1.05) ^q | 3.78(.9) ^v |
| N3C3V2 | 51.3 ^{a-j} | 78.67 ^{a-d} | 2.93(1.85) ^{jk} | 1.4(1.37) ^{i-k} | 6.78(2.6) ¹⁻⁰ | 18.56(4.29) ^{mn} |
| N3C3V3 | 52.7 ^{a-i} | 77 ^{b-g} | 1.86(1.53) ^{I-o} | 1.11(1.26) ^{j-p} | 2.52(1.58) ^{n-q} | 6.48(2.54) ^{t-v} |
| CV (%) | 11.6 | 3.3 | 12.8 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 11 |
| LSD(0.05) | 9.456 | 4.114 | 0.77 | 0.492 | 3.932 | 5.886 |
| F Pr | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 | <.001 |

Table 3 continued....

DE = days to first striga emergence, DF = days to flowering, Sc_pl = striga count per sorghum plant, 45 DAP = striga counts 45 days after sorghum planting, 65 DAP = striga count 65 days after sorghum planting, 85 DAP = striga counts 85 days after sorghum planting, , N (0, 1, 2 and 3) = Nitrogen levels, C (0, 1, 2 and 3) = herbicide rates, V1 = Brihan, V2 = Deber and V3 = wediaker

3.3 Effect of N Fertilizer, Herbicide Application, and Varieties on Striga Branch Number of Striga and Striga plant Height

Nitrogen levels*herbicide rates and varieties interaction showed highly significant difference (p < 0.01) for branch number per striga and striga plant height (Table 4). Higher branch number of striga was observed from plots that application of 0 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V3 (4.55 branches per striga), however lower striga branch was observed from application of 69 kg N ha⁻¹ 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V1 (0.77 branches per striga). The expectation was that branch number of striga highest in plots of both controls with variety V3 but the result was from other treatment this may be due to the striga number of computations for resources to develop and branching.

Highest striga plant height was detected in V2 and V3 (62.67 and 58.87 cm respectively) with

0kg N ha⁻¹ and 0 g Cl ha⁻¹ (both controls) whereas lowest striga plant height was detected from V1 (3.68 cm) with application 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (control), 10 g Cl ha⁻¹ with V1. The probability reason for this result in the control plots the number of striga was highest than sorghum plants due to that shading cannot affect for striga and free to growth when compared with treated plots with resistance variety.

3.4 Effect of N fertilizer, Herbicide Application and Varieties on Striga Biomass

Nitrogen levels*herbicide rates and varieties interaction showed highly significant difference (p < 0.01) for biomass of striga (Table 5). The greatest striga biomass were measured from application of 46 kg N ha⁻¹ with control of herbicide and V3 (0.263 kg m⁻²) and statistically similar with the application of 69 kg N ha⁻¹ with control herbicide with V2 whereas the lowest striga biomass were measured from plots that application of 69 kg N ha⁻¹, 20 g Cl ha⁻¹ herbicide with all varieties (0.001 kg m⁻²) and almost statistically similar with all rates of herbicide and N level including the control with V1 although the same herbicide rates and varieties. Biomass of striga was affected by herbicide rates than nitrogen levels and varieties. Generally integrated management of striga is the best method of control striga. Using integrated

management of striga reduces the dray weight of striga by 99.61%. This result in line with study of [8] who stated that integrated striga management on intercropping system, fusarium-inoculum or both in combination significantly reduced striga dray biomass. It also agrees with [9] the authors reported that the combined use of the mycoherbicides and striga resistant maize varieties reduced striga dry weight by 81.4%.

| Table 4. Effect of nitrogen, | herbicide, and v | varieties on | striga branch | number and | l striga plant |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------|
| | ł | height () | | | |

| Treatments | Br_no/plant | Ph | Treatments | Br_no/plant | Ph |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| N0C0V1 | 2.55(1.74) ^{g-i} | 32.0(5.66) ^{f-j} | N1C0V1 | 2.33(1.68) ^{g-j} | 35.8(5.97) ^{ef} |
| N0C0V2 | 2.88(1.84) ^{d-h} | 62.67(7.91) ^a | N1C0V2 | 2.88(1.82) ^{d-h} | 41.87(6.46) ^d |
| N0C0V3 | 3.66(2.04) ^{bc} | 58.87(7.67) ^a | N1C0V3 | 3.88(2.09) ^b | 47.2(6.86) ^c |
| N0C1V1 | 2.77(1.81) ^{e-h} | 17.4(4.16) ^{pq} | N1C1V1 | 3.55(2.01) ^{b-d} | 17.3(4.15) ^{pq} |
| N0C1V2 | 2.33(1.68) ^{g-j} | 37.13(6.09) ^e | N1C1V2 | 2.22(1.64) ^{h-k} | 23.8(4.87) ^{l-n} |
| N0C1V3 | 3.88(2.08) ^b | 46.6(6.81) ^c | N1C1V3 | 3(1.86) ^{c-g} | 50.13(7.07) ^{bc} |
| N0C2V1 | 2.44(1.71) ^{g-i} | 3.68(3.68) ^{qr} | N1C2V1 | 1.22(1.31) ^{m-o} | 10.6(3.25) ^{r-t} |
| N0C2V2 | 2.66(1.77) ^{f-i} | 33.9(5.81) ^{e-g} | N1C2V2 | 1.33(1.35) ^{l-o} | 27.1(5.19) ^{ki} |
| N0C2V3 | 4.55(2.24) ^a | 34.7(5.88) ^{e-g} | N1C2V3 | 3.77(2.06) ^b | 32.2(5.67) ^{f-i} |
| N0C3V1 | 3.44(1.98) ^{b-e} | 19.1(4.37) ^{op} | N1C3V1 | 1(1.22) ^{m-o} | 9(2.99) ^{r-t} |
| N0C3V2 | 1.11(1.26) ^{m-o} | 20.8(4.5) ^{n-p} | N1C3V2 | 1.33(1.35) ^{l-o} | 12(3.46) ^{rs} |
| N0C3V3 | 2.77(1.81) ^{e-h} | 26.9(5.18) ^{kl} | N1C3V3 | 1.44(1.39) ^{l-o} | 24.7(4.96) ^{l-n} |
| CV (%) | 15.8 | 8.4 | CV (%) | 15.8 | 8.4 |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.633 | 4.01 | LSD(0.05) | 0.633 | 4.01 |
| F Pr | <.001 | <.001 | F Pr | <.001 | <.001 |

Table 4 continued....

| Treatments | Br_no/plant | Ph | Treatments | Br_no/plant | Ph |
|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| N2C0V1 | 2.55(1.74) ^{g-i} | 32.6(5.7) ^{e-h} | N3C0V1 | 1.33(1.35 ^{)I-o} | 13.47(3.66) ^{qr} |
| N2C0V2 | 3.33(1.95) ^{b-f} | 50.7(7.12) ^{bc} | N3C0V2 | 2.77(1.8) ^{e-h} | 50.4(7.09) ^{bc} |
| N2C0V3 | 2.55(1.74) ^{g-i} | 52.07(7.21) ^b | N3C0V3 | 3.55(2.01) ^{b-d} | 54.47(7.38) ^b |
| N2C1V1 | 1.55(1.43) ^{k-n} | 18.93(4.34) ^{op} | N3C1V1 | 1.33(1.35) ^{l-o} | 6.6(2.56) ^t |
| N2C1V2 | 2.44(1.71) ^{g-i} | 17.4(4.17) ^{pq} | N3C1V2 | 2.66(1.77) ^{f-i} | 46.33(6.8) ^c |
| N2C1V3 | 2(1.57) ^{i-l} | 52.8(7.26) ^b | N3C1V3 | 3.77(2.06) ^b | 25.27(5.02) ^{Im} |
| N2C2V1 | 1.55(1.42) ^{k-n} | 10.73(3.27) ^{r-t} | N3C2V1 | 1.33(1.35) ^{l-o} | 6.6(2.56) ^t |
| N2C2V2 | 3.77(2.06) ^b | 28.33(5.31) ^{h-l} | N3C2V2 | 3.33(1.95) ^{b-f} | 28(5.28) ^{i-l} |
| N2C2V3 | 2.44(1.71) ^{g-i} | 30.9(5.55) ^{g-k} | N3C2V3 | 0.88(1.17) ^{no} | 34.6(5.88) ^{e-g} |
| N2C3V1 | 1.33(1.35) ^{l-o} | 9.67(3.1) ^{r-t} | N3C3V1 | 0.77(1.12) [°] | 7.93(2.81) st |
| N2C3V2 | 1.66(1.46) ^{j-m} | 19(4.35) ^{op} | N3C3V2 | 3.33(1.95) ^{b-f} | 27.93(5.28) ⁱ⁻ⁱ |
| N2C3V3 | 3(1.86) ^{c-g} | 22.5(4.73) ^{m-o} | N3C3V3 | 2(1.57) ^{i-l} | 27.53(5.24) ^{j-l} |
| CV (%) | 15.8 | 8.4 | CV (%) | 15.8 | 8.4 |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.633 | 4.01 | LSD(0.05) | 0.633 | 4.01 |
| F Pr | <.001 | <.001 | F Pr | <.001 | <.001 |

Br no/plant = branch number per striga plant, Ph = striga plant height N (0, 1, 2 and 3)

Nitrogen levels, C (0, 1, 2 and 3) = herbicide rates, V1 = Brihan, V2 = Deber, V3 = wediaker and PH = striga plant heigh

| Treatments | Biomass (m-2) | Treatments | Biomass (m-2) | Treatments | Biomass (m-2) | Treatments | Biomass (m-2) |
|------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| N0C0V1 | 0.0814(0.76) ^{g-i} | N1C0V1 | 0.040(0.73) ^{I-K} | N2C0V1 | 0.025(0.71) ^{JK} | N3C0V1 | 0.122(0.71) ^{d-g} |
| N0C0V2 | 0.133(0.84) ^{ć-f} | N1C0V2 | 0.066(0.79) ^{h-j} | N2C0V2 | 0.147(0.8) ^{c-e} | N3C0V2 | 0.251(0.78) ^a |
| N0C0V3 | 0.207(0.9) ^b | N1C0V3 | 0.201(0.83) ^b | N2C0V3 | 0.263(0.87) ^a | N3C0V3 | 0.082(0.9) ^{g-i} |
| N0C1V1 | 0.002(0.7) ^k | N1C1V1 | $0.0014(0.7)^{k}$ | N2C1V1 | $0.0029(0.7)^{k}$ | N3C1V1 | 0.0089(0.7) ^k |
| N0C1V2 | 0.0259(0.73) ^{jk} | N1C1V2 | 0.018(0.71) ^k | N2C1V2 | 0.0089(0.72) ^k | N3C1V2 | 0.0407(0.75) ^{i-k} |
| N0C1V3 | 0.1388(0.77) ^{c-f} | N1C1V3 | 0.155(0.8) ^{cd} | N2C1V3 | 0.174(0.82) ^{bc} | N3C1V3 | 0.0467(0.71) ^{i-k} |
| N0C2V1 | 0.0033(0.7) ^k | N1C2V1 | $0.004(0.71)^{k}$ | N2C2V1 | 0.0096(0.7) ^k | N3C2V1 | 0.0011(0.7) ^k |
| N0C2V2 | 0.044(0.76) ^{i-k} | N1C2V2 | 0.018(0.72) ^{jk} | N2C2V2 | 0.011(0.71) ^{e-g} | N3C2V2 | $0.0089(0.73)^{k}$ |
| N0C2V3 | 0.1(0.78) ^{f-h} | N1C2V3 | 0.04(0.71) ^{i-k} | N2C2V3 | 0.0025(0.78) ^k | N3C2V3 | 0.0429(0.73) ^{i-k} |
| N0C3V1 | 0.0037(0.7) ^k | N1C3V1 | 0.0018(0.71) ^k | N2C3V1 | 0.0174(0.7) ^k | N3C3V1 | 0.001(0.7) ^k |
| N0C3V2 | 0.007(0.71) ^k | N1C3V2 | 0.0033(0.7 ^{)k} | N2C3V2 | $0.0025(0.72)^{k}$ | N3C3V2 | 0.033(0.73) ^{jk} |
| N0C3V3 | 0.0059(0.7) ^k | N1C3V3 | 0.002(0.71) ^k | N2C3V3 | 0.0325(0.71) ^{jk} | N3C3V3 | $0.0067(0.71)^{k}$ |
| CV (%) | 24.8 | CV (%) | 24.8 | CV (%) | 24.8 | CV (%) | 24.8 |
| LSD(0.05) | 0.0389 | LSD(0.05) | 0.0389 | LSD(0.05) | 0.0389 | LSD(0.05) | 0.0389 |
| FPr | <.001 | F Pr í | <.001 | F Pr | <.001 | F Pr | <.001 |

Table 5. Effect of nitrogen*herbicide* varieties on biomass of striga

4. CONCLUSION

The result shows that application of nitrogen fertilizer, herbicide and the sorghum varieties influence the striga emergence, striga count at different time, striga plant height, and biomass of striga. Use of N fertilizer, application of chlorsulfurun and resistance variety, reduces striga plant height, branch number, and biomass. Generally use of integrated management is the best and useful for striga control even for minimize seed production by delay in the flowering time and break out the season and striga cannot set seed.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- M'boob SS. A Regional Program for West and Centeral Africa. In Robson, I.O., Broad, H.R. (Eds.), Striga-improved management in Africa. Proceedings of the FAO/OAu. All Africa Government Consultation on Striga Control, Maroua, Cameroon. 1989;190-194.
- Parker C, Riches CR. Parasitic weeds of the world, biology and control. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. 1993;71-74.
- 3. Elzein A, Kroschel J. Host range studies of fusarium oxysporum foxy 2: An evidence for a new forma specialis and its implications for striga control. Zeitschrift fur

Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz-Sonderheft. 2006;20:875.

- 4. Shank R. Striga facts and peculiarities. United Nations Development Programme. Emergencies unit for Ethiopia; 2002.
- Kanampiu FK, Kabambe V, Massawe C, Jasi L, Friesen D, Ransom JK, Gressel J. Multi-site, multi-season field tests demonstrate that herbicide seed-coating herbicide resistance maize controls striga spp and increases yields in several African countries crop prot. 2003;22:677-706.
- De Groote H, Wangare L, Kanampiu F. Evaluating the use of herbicide coated imidazolinon resistant (IR) maize seeds to control striga in farmers' fields in Kenya. Crop Prot. 2007;26:1496-1506.
- 7. Abusin RM, Eltayeb AH, Hassan MM, Babiker AG. Integrated management of *Striga hermonthica* on sorghum. Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research; 2017.
- Yonli D, Traoré H, Sérémé P, Sankara P, Hess DE. Integrated management of *Striga hermonthica* (Del.) Benth. In *Sorghum* using Fusarium inoculum, host plant resistance and intercropping. Journal of Applied Biosciences. 2012;53:3734–3741.
- Schaub B, Marley P, Elzein A, Kroschel J. Field evaluation of an integrated *Striga hermontica* management in Sub-Saharan Africa: Synergy between Strigamycoherbicides (biocontrol) and sorghum and maize resistant varieties. Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz-Sonderheft. 2006;691:27-144.

© 2020 Desta et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/58582