



Bio-efficacy of Mineral Oil against Yellow Mite in Dark Jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) under Terai Region of West Bengal

**Prahlad Sarkar^{1*}, Srma Das¹, Shyamal Kheroar¹, Avijit Kundu¹,
Swapan Kumar Barman¹, Kausik Mandal¹ and Sabyasachi Mitra²**

¹All India Network Project on Jute and Allied Fibres, Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Pundibari, Cooch Behar-736165, West Bengal, India.

²ICAR-Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres (CRIJAF), Barrackpore, Kolkata, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2020/v39i1830774

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Tushar Ranjan, Bihar Agricultural University, India.

Reviewers:

(1) Nada Khazal K. Hindi, University of Babylon, Iraq.

(2) Amir Hossein Toorani, Shahed University, Iran.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/58689>

Original Research Article

Received 23 April 2020

Accepted 30 June 2020

Published 10 July 2020

ABSTRACT

An experiment was conducted during pre-kharif season of 2016 and 2017 to determine the efficacy of relatively cheaper, safer horticultural mineral oil on yellow mite (*Polyphagotarsonemus latus*). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with seven treatments [T₁- mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 days after sowing, T₂- mineral oil @ 6 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₃- mineral oil @ 9 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₄-Neem oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₅- mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre + neem oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS and T₆- Control] replicated four times. Two scheduled spraying of each treatment was done on standing jute crop at 15 days interval. The effect of treatments was significant on post treatment mite population recorded after spray for both the cropping season. Among the treatments, T₅ was found to be the most effective combination. The post treatment observation during 2016 and 2017 at 7 days after treatment indicated significantly less number of mites i.e. (1.08 and 0.84 mites cm⁻²) and (0.83 and 0.41 mites cm⁻²) respectively in the treatment T₅. Within the treatments, maximum plant height (216.92 and 206.64

*Corresponding author: E-mail: prahlad.sarkar0203@gmail.com;

cm) and yield (34.68 and 28.28 q ha⁻¹) were also observed in treatment T₅. Considering the effectiveness against mite on jute as well as the environmental safety, mineral oil might be suggested as biorational component of IPM for effective management of yellow mite.

Keywords: Yellow mite; bio-efficacy; mineral oil; neem oil.

1. INTRODUCTION

Jute, *Corchorus* spp. (Malvaceae) is an important renewable natural fibre crop next to cotton [1]. It is mainly cultivated in India and other South East Asian countries i.e. Bangladesh, China, Nepal and Thailand [2]. In India jute cultivation is restricted to West Bengal, Bihar, Assam, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Meghalaya and some parts of Nagaland [3]. West Bengal alone contributes 80% of national jute production [4]. Jute fibre is utilised for making bags, decorative, textiles and geotextiles. It is superior over synthetic materials and eco-friendly to the environment. Jute production and productivity is hampered by number of abiotic and biotic stresses [5]. Among the biotic constraints yellow mite, *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* (Banks) (Acari: Tarsonemidae) is devastating in nature and often causing yield losses [6]. Yellow mite is the most common economically important pest of jute causing downward leaf curl through sucking cell sap from epical tender leaf [7]. The pest is reported from almost all the growing countries of jute affecting both the cultivated species with estimated fibre yield loss of 42% [8].

Inappropriate pests management practices with indiscriminate use of synthetic pesticides to ensure higher yield have adversely affected both biological and physical environment, leading to the pollution of biosphere and rapid build-up of resistance and resurgence of pests and diseases [9]. The damage is caused due to high toxicity and non-biodegradable nature of synthetic pesticides and left over residues in soil, water and crops that ultimately affect human health [10].

Management of mite has become difficult due to wide host range and gradual changing of climatic conditions. Application of chemicals also helps to develop pesticide-resistant strains of mite. Thus, due to the importance of pest and difficulty of its control through prevalent methods, it seems that there is urgent need for the development of suitable alternative that are effective and eco-friendly in nature. Biorational insecticides like azadirachtin and petroleum spray oils (PSO) can compensate the limitation, which antecedently

proved to be effective against mite control [11]. Azadirachtin is plant extracted botanical insecticide acquired from neem tree, which act as growth regulator, repellent and antifeedant against insects [12]. Mineral oil is petroleum-derived oil. It is highly refined, paraffinic oils that is used to manage pests and diseases of plants. Mineral oil helps to block the air holes (spiracles) through which insects breathe, causing them to die for asphyxiation. Also, the spread of oil through the respiratory pores and block the insect's trachea which leads to death. In some cases oil create a thin layer on the surface of insect eggs where it stops the gaseous exchange [13]. Mineral oil has several advantages over the majority of the synthetic pesticides employed to control pests. It is safe on the different environmental elements and the natural enemies. Oil is easy to apply with the existing spray equipment and compatible with many other pesticides to enhance their efficiency [14,15]. Less in costs as compared to the traditional methods and quickly dissipate through evaporation leaving little residue. Still pests are unable to develop population resistance against mineral oil [16]. These biorational insecticides are cost effective, durable and free from environment pollution. Therefore, an attempt has been made to evaluate the efficacy of horticultural mineral oil (HMO) and plant derived bio pesticide, neem oil against yellow mite in jute.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiment was conducted for bio-rational management of yellow mite infecting *Corchorus olitorius* (dark jute) during pre-kharif season of 2016 and 2017 at instructional farm of Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya (UBKV), Cooch Behar in randomized block design (RBD) with four replications. Jute variety JRO-204 (Suren) was used in the study. Plot size was 3 m × 4 m and within the plots, 30 cm × 8 cm spacing were adopted. During cultivation, standard package of practice for jute was followed. Different integrated treatments include: T₁- mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 days after sowing (DAS), T₂- mineral oil @ 6 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₃- mineral oil @ 9 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₄-

Neem oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS, T₅- mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre + neem oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS and T₆- Control (no spray). After natural population (infestations) build up in the field, at 35 and 50 DAS plots were treated with the respective treatments and control plots were left untreated. The spraying of treatment was done with the help of a knapsack sprayer attached to hollow cone nozzle. Yellow mite infestation was recorded from young second unfolded leaf of 10 randomly selected plants per plot, as yellow mites are commonly found on the lower surfaces of young apical leaves and flowers, where they lay their eggs. Pre-treatment observations were taken from all the plots before application of treatment at 35 and 50 DAS. Post-treatment observations for mite population were recorded after both the spray (35 and 50 DAS) at 4 and 7 days after treatment. The number of mite irrespective of different stages per cm² leaf was counted with 10x magnifying lens and stereo binocular microscope. Plant height (cm), basal diameter (cm), green biomass (q ha⁻¹) and fibre yield (q ha⁻¹) were also recorded.

Percent reduction of mite population under field condition was recorded using the formula given by Rahman et al. [17].

$$(\%) \text{ Reduction} = (N - N_1 / N) \times 100$$

Where,

N = Number of mite infested plant in control plot

N₁ = Number of mite infested plant in treated plot

2.1 Statistical Analysis

Experimental data were processed with square root transformed prior to statistical analysis for the test of significance. Significant differences between treatments means were determined through Duncan's multiple range test. Statistical analysis and interpretation of results were done by calculating values of critical difference (CD) at 5% level of significance through analysis of variance technique (ANOVA) as described by Gomez and Gomez [18].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Study outcome from consecutive two years were analysed to conclude the results of applied treatments for management of yellow mite. All the pesticide treatments were superior over

control (Tables 1 and 2). Significant reduction of mite population with respect to untreated control was observed at 4 and 7 day after application of the pesticides i.e. on 35 DAS and 50 DAS in both the year. In terms of mite population suppression, all the treatments were close to each other. Four day after first spray highest reduction of mite population was observed in treatment T₅ (mineral oil @ 3 ml litre⁻¹ + neem oil @ 3 ml litre⁻¹ at 35 and 50 DAS) followed by T₃ (mineral oil @ 9 ml litre⁻¹ at 35 and 50 DAS). Likewise same trend of pesticide efficacy continued up to seven day after first and second application of treatments. Highest reduction of infested mite population was found after 7 days of second spraying with the treatment T₅, causing a reduction of 96% and 91% mite in jute plant for two seasons respectively. Similar mode of mite reduction was also observed after 7 days of first spraying in treatment T₅ with 86% and 84% reduction in mite population compared to control.

Previously effective control of red mite on apple was achieved through three application of horticultural mineral oil @ 2 and 3% by Agnello et al. [11]. In another study, mineral oil star oil showed a considerable reduction of 99% soft scale insect (*Pulvinaria psidii* Maskell) infesting guava trees [16]. Anil et al. [19] found that, mahogany and karanja oil was effective to minimise the damage of jute leaves by *Polyphagotarsonemus latus*. Sridharan et al. [15] recorded 2 percent concentration of mineral oil + neem oil was superior and effective against leaf hopper with 93.3% mortality. Yellow mite infestation in present experiment was recorded 0.70 -10.18 and 0.18-4.98 mites cm² with various treatments in 2016 and 2017 respectively. Previous report of mite infestation was 0.50 to 1.83% in 2001–2002 with various IPM treatments as compared to 6.07–12.97% in 2000–2001 [20]. Significant variation was observed in plant height and fibre yield for both the jute growing seasons. The results revealed that within the treatments highest plant height was observed in treatment T₅ (216.92 and 206.64 cm). Highest fibre yield (34.68 q ha⁻¹ and 28.28 q ha⁻¹) was also recorded in the plots treated with treatment mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre + neem oil @ 3 ml litre⁻¹ at 35 and 50 DAS, followed by T₃ (27.56 and 33.78 q ha⁻¹). Babu et al. [21] recorded significant reduction of yellow mite population on jute and maximum yield after application of mineral oil @ 3 ml litre⁻¹. Yeasmin et al. [22] observed that application of neem oil increased 24.64% plant height, 27.87%

Table 1. Bio-efficacy of different treatments against yellow mite population in jute and their effect on fibre yield during 2016

Treatment	Mean number of mite /cm ² on second unfold leaf at different days						Plant height (cm)	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
	Pre- treatment (35 DAS)	4 day post application (39 DAS)	7 day post application (42 DAS)	Pre- treatment (50 DAS)	4 day post application (54 DAS)	7 day post application (57 DAS)		
T ₁	5.65 (2.38)	3.62 (1.90) ^b	2.37 (1.54) ^c	3.73 (1.93) ^e	2.62 (1.61) ^b	2.15 (1.46) ^b	211.19	29.92 ^b
T ₂	5.76 (2.40)	3.34 (1.83) ^c	2.46 (1.57) ^{bc}	4.40 (2.10) ^c	2.70 (1.64) ^b	1.36 (1.17) ^c	213.91	32.96 ^a
T ₃	5.89 (2.43)	2.39 (1.54) ^e	1.20 (1.09) ^d	3.68 (1.92) ^e	1.86 (1.36) ^c	1.04 (1.02) ^d	215.67	33.78 ^a
T ₄	5.84 (2.42)	3.07 (1.75) ^d	2.57 (1.60) ^b	4.70 (2.17) ^b	2.74 (1.65) ^b	1.46 (1.21) ^c	211.51	30.48 ^b
T ₅	5.80 (2.41)	1.85 (1.36) ^f	1.16 (1.08) ^d	4.17 (2.04) ^d	1.34 (1.15) ^d	0.70(0.84) ^e	216.92	34.68 ^a
T ₆	6.65 (2.58)	10.18 (3.19) ^a	8.16 (2.86) ^a	6.57 (2.56) ^a	7.43 (2.72) ^a	7.72 (2.78) ^a	203.21	28.70 ^b
SEm (±)	NS	0.020	0.016	0.006	0.016	0.018	0.024	0.053
CD _(P=0.05)		0.060	0.049	0.017	0.050	0.054	0.072	0.161

Figures in the parenthesis are \sqrt{x} transformed values, NS= Non-significant, DAS= Days after sowing, Treatments with same alphabetical superscript within the column are not significantly different

Table 2. Bio-efficacy of different treatments against yellow mite population in jute and their effect on fibre yield during 2017

Treatment	Mean number of mite /cm ² on second unfold leaf at different days						Plant height (cm)	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
	Pre- treatment (35 DAS)	4 day post application (39 DAS)	7 day post application (42 DAS)	Pre- treatment (50 DAS)	4 day post application (54 DAS)	7 day post application (57 DAS)		
T ₁	4.81 (2.19)	2.98 (1.73) ^b	1.40 (1.18) ^b	3.10 (1.76) ^b	1.30 (1.14) ^b	1.10 (1.05) ^b	201.29	22.68 ^{cd}
T ₂	4.77 (2.18)	2.86 (1.69) ^c	1.08 (1.04) ^{bc}	2.90 (1.70) ^{bc}	1.18 (1.08) ^{bc}	1.03 (1.01) ^b	203.98	24.20 ^c
T ₃	4.84 (2.20)	2.20 (1.48) ^e	0.88 (0.93) ^c	1.88 (1.37) ^d	0.45 (0.67) ^d	0.25 (0.50) ^c	206.18	27.56 ^{ab}
T ₄	4.79 (2.19)	2.64 (1.63) ^d	0.94 (0.97) ^c	2.73 (1.65) ^c	1.08 (1.04) ^c	0.90 (0.95) ^b	204.28	25.12 ^{bc}
T ₅	4.75 (2.18)	2.06 (1.43) ^f	0.70 (0.83) ^c	1.45 (1.20) ^e	0.28 (0.52) ^e	0.18 (0.41) ^c	206.64	28.28 ^a
T ₆	4.82 (2.19)	4.98 (2.23) ^a	4.40 (2.09) ^a	3.70 (1.92) ^a	3.81 (1.95) ^a	3.96 (1.99) ^a	193.48	20.70 ^d
SEm±	NS	0.010	0.067	0.028	0.025	0.034	0.040	0.089
CD _(P=0.05)		0.029	0.202	0.084	0.075	0.102	0.120	0.268

Figures in the parenthesis are \sqrt{x} transformed values, NS= Non-significant, DAS= Days after sowing, Treatments with same alphabetical superscript within the column are not significantly different

Basal diameter over untreated control and resulted highest amount of fibre yield (2.68 t ha⁻¹). Present study and previous documentation indicated that mineral oils are cost effective and possess synergistic effect with the combination of bio-pesticides [15]. Moreover it is safe to environment, beneficial insects and mammals [23,24]. Hence, use of low dose of horticultural mineral oil, combined with neem oil seems to be best method for management of yellow mite without environmental pollution.

4. CONCLUSION

From the results of this two-year experiment, it was seen that yellow mite of jute caused by *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* is a major pest of jute (*C. olitorius*) in Terai region of West Bengal that results in economic losses. Very limited alternative and effective method is available to manage this mite in the field. So, it may be concluded that treatment with mineral oil @ 3 ml/litre + neem oil @ 3 ml/litre at 35 and 50 DAS was found to be effective and eco-friendly treatment in controlling of yellow mite in jute. As the horticultural mineral oil is safe by causing no harm to environment, natural enemies, plant morphology and mammals therefore it can be considered as biorational component of IPM for effective management of pests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to ICAR-CRIJAF, Barrackpore and Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalaya, for providing all kinds of technical and financial support to design and conduct the present experiment.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Das H, Poddar P, Haque S, Pati S, Poddar R, Kundu CK. Seed yield and economics of white jute as influenced by different dates of sowing, spacing and topping schedule in Terai region of West Bengal. *International Journal of Farm Sciences*. 2014;4(4):51-58.
2. Chowdhury H, Gotyal BS, Selvaraj K, Sarkar SK. Bioefficacy of plant extracts on stem rot, *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid and Bihar hairy caterpillar, *Spilosoma oblique* Walker in jute crop. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2016;8(1):191-195.
3. Meena PN, Roy A, Gotyal BS, Mitra S, Satpathy S. Ecofriendly management of major diseases in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.). *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*. 2014;6(2):541-544.
4. Chapke R, Biswas CR, Jha SK, Das SK. Technology evaluation through frontline demonstrations and its impact. *CRIJAF Bulletin*. 2006b;03:19.
5. Chakraborty AK, Ghorai AK, De RK, Chakraborty S, Jha SK, Mitra S. Expert system for integrated stress management in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L. and *C. capsularis* L.). *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 2013;4(2):192-200.
6. Rahmana S, Khan MR. Evaluation of pesticides against major pests of jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) in West Bengal, India. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*. 2012;45(6):620-634.
7. Sarkar P, Das S, Kheroar S, Kundu A, Barman SK, Mandal K. Bio-rational management of yellow mite in dark jute (*Corchorus olitorius* L.) under Terai region of West Bengal. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*. 2018;6(3):18-21.
8. Pandit NC, Rao PV, Chakraborty AK. Studies on the biotic and abiotic factors on the incidence of yellow mite of jute. In: *Annual Report: 2000-2001 and 2001-2002*, Central Research Institute for Jute and Allied Fibres. Barrackpore. 2002;71.
9. Chowdhury H, Kar CS, Sarkar SK, Tripathi MK. Feeding inhibitory effect of some plant extracts on jute hairy caterpillar (*Spilosoma obliqua*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2012;82(1):59-62.
10. Mahmood I, Imadi RS, Shazadi K, Gul A, Hakeem RK. Effects of pesticides on environment. In: Hakeem K, Akhtar M, Abdullah S, Editors. *Plant, Soil and Microbs*. Springer International Publishing Switzerland; 2016.
11. Agnello AM, Reissig WH, Harris T. Management of summer populations of European red mite (Acarina: Tetranychidae) on apple with horticultural oil. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 1994;87:148-161.
12. Menke S, Gerhard D. Detection of a related difference in efficacy of azadirachtin treatments for the control of white flies on *Gerbera jamesonii* by testing for interactions in generalized linear

- models. Pest Management Science. 2009;66:358-364.
13. Helmy EI, Kwaiz FA, El-Sahn OMN. The usage of mineral oils to control insects. Egyptian Academic Journal of Biological Sciences. 2012;5(3):167-174.
 14. Cranshaw WS, Baxendale B. Insect control: Horticultural oils. Colorado State University; 2011. Available:<http://www.ext.colostate.edu/pub/s/insect/05569.html>
 15. Sridharan S, Chandra Sekhar K, Ramakrishnan N. Effect of mineral oil and its combinations against leafhopper, *Amrasca biguttula biguttula* in okra. Indian Journal of Plant Protection. 2015;43(2): 133-142.
 16. Aly AG, El-Attal ZM, Helmy EI. Efficiency of some local spray oils as summer applications against *Pulvinaria psidii* on guava trees. Agricultural Research Review. 1984;62(1):163-167.
 17. Rahman A, Islam KS, Jahan M, Islam N. Efficacy of three botanicals and a microbial derivatives acaricide (Abamectin) on the control of jute yellow mite, *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* (Bank). Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University. 2016;14(1):1-6.
 18. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. New York: J Wiley and Sons; 1984.
 19. Anil B, Bhadauria NS, Jakhmola SS, Bhatragar A. Efficacy of vegetable oils against yellow mite, (*Polyphagotarsonemus latus*) in jute. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2001;63(3): 237-239.
 20. Prasad SS, Yadav US, Srivastava RK. Integrated management studies against *Oligotarius* jute pests. Annals of Plant Protection Sciences. 2002;10(2):248-251.
 21. Babu RV, Selvaraj K, Gotyal BS, Satpathy S, Das S, Mitra S. Efficacy of mineral oil against yellow mite, *Polyphagotarsonemus latus* (Banks) (Prostigmata: Tarsonemidae) in jute (*Corchorus olitorius* Linn.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2018;6(5):833-836.
 22. Yasmin S, Latif MA, Akhter N. Effect of Neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and other plant extracts on yellow mite of jute. International Journal of Bio-Resource & Stress Management. 2013;4(3):412-417.
 23. Helmy EI, El-Attal ZM, Aly AG. Evaluation of some citrus trees. Agricultural Research Review. 1984b;62(1):109-114.
 24. Beattie GAC, Roberts EA, Rippon LE, Vanhoff CL. Phytotoxicity of petroleum spray oils to Valencia orange, *Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck, in New South Wales. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture. 1989;29:273-282.

© 2020 Sarkar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/58689>