



Screening of Carcinogenic and Non-carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon in a Typical Urban City Center, Owerri, Nigeria

Ukachukwu, Chidinma Ogochukwu ^{a*}, Udebuani, Angela Chika ^a
and Ugwu, Tochukwu Nicholas ^a

^a Department of Biotechnology, School of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JABB/2022/v25i330270

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86753>

Received 01 March 2022

Accepted 03 May 2022

Published 18 May 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The study screened the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic PAH in urban city center Owerri, Imo State. Soxhlet extraction-gas chromatography-flame ionization detector (SE-GC-FID) method was used to analyze PAH concentrations in the different environmental component to determine its impact. Result of the physicochemical properties of the spent engine oil polluted soil sample showed that exchangeable base (4.67g/kg), exchangeable acidity (1.25g/kg), organic carbon (6.32g/kg), organic matter (6.45g/kg), percentage nitrogen (0.46%), were higher in the polluted soil when compared to soil collected from a non-polluted agricultural farm. However, the effective cation exchange capacity (9.27g/kg), was lower in the polluted soil sample although, the pH value of the polluted soil was acidic. Result of the water sample collected from urban city center, showed that water sample properties of turbidity and magnesium, had mean values of 9.6 ± 1.10 mg/l and 26.7 ± 0.08 mg/l, respectively. Five carcinogenic PAH were detected in soil, water and sediment of the study area. The concentration of PAHs detected were within the range of 0.55 ± 0.1 and 0.87 ± 0.11 for sediment and water samples while, spent engine oil polluted sample and Abattoir soil sample were found in the range of 2.25 ± 0.11 and 0.50 ± 0.01 respectively. However, the detection of these carcinogenic PAH obtained from the different sampling point suggest the toxicity propensity of these pollutant.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: chidinmaukachukwu4@gmail.com;

Keywords: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons; carcinogenic; Non-carcinogenic; environment.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increase in industrialization has led to an up rise in anthropogenic activities and as such resulted to an indiscriminate release of various pollutants in the environment, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) [1]. Studies have shown that PAH can exist as complex mixtures, formed as a result of incomplete combustion of carbon materials such as wood, coal, oil, gas, or biomass, and can emanate from both natural and anthropogenic events [2]. The occurrence of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) in the atmosphere are of two phases; gaseous phase (low molecular weight (LMW) PAHs) and particulate phase (high molecular weight (HMW) PAHs). The rate of precipitation of these compounds was revealed to be high in soil/sediment in view of its hydrophobic nature and low aqueous solubility. Consequently, about 90% availability of PAH in the terrestrial environment can be attributed to soil owing to its proficiency to retain the aforementioned pollutants [3,4]. Several studies have shown that the main sources of PAHs emission are largely from man-made pollution [5,6]. Considering the places of human clustering, urban areas are exposed more to PAH pollution which are continuously aggravated by high intensity of industrial and human activities, heavy traffic etc. [7]. A number of studies have looked into the distribution, sources and risk assessment of PAH pollution in urban soil, suggesting that PAHs in urban soil are influenced by human activities and are often intense in industrial zones and highway. Therefore, PAHs in urban soil represent a risk to the health of urban population as it can be detrimental to humans through food, inhalation, and dermal interaction. Exposure through any of these routes could result to health challenges of short and long-term effect with some major respiratory and cardiovascular diseases [2,8]. The toxicity impose by PAHs is considered to be within its metabolites as it can react with cellular protein or DNA to form adduct due to its high affinity [9]. This reaction can lead to genetic mutation in animal and plants. Thus, it is essential to screen the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon in urban city center, Owerri Imo state Nigeria considering the level of damage it can cause to human health.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collections and Reagents

Water and sediment samples were collected from Nworie river, spent engine oil polluted soil was collected from Chukwuma Nwoha mechanic workshop and Soil from Abattoir was collected in relieve market while the unpolluted soil sample was collected from Agricultural farm in Federal University of Technology, Owerri. All samples were all obtained in Owerri municipal, Imo state. Solvent including n-hexane, sodium sulphate, magnesium silicate, Soxhlet extraction tubes and other chemicals were obtained from spring board laboratory, Awka, Anambra state.

2.2 Soil Sample Analysis

Soil physicochemical properties such as moisture content, textural class, bulk density, porosity, pH, Nitrogen, Available phosphorus, Organic carbon and matter, Available acidity, Base and Effective cation exchange capacity was done according to FAO, [10].

2.3 Water Sample Analysis

The physicochemical properties of the water sample was determined. Physical characteristics e.g. turbidity, pH, color and temperature was ascertained while biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, dissolved oxygen total suspended solid, total dissolve solid, manganese, nitrate and chloride and ammonia was obtained using methods [11].

2.4 PAH Analysis

Soxhlet extraction - gas chromatography – flame ionization detection (SE-GC-FID) method was utilized for the simultaneous recovery and determination of the 14 PAHs. A Bulk M910 GC-FID system equipped with HP 88 capillary column (100m x 0.25µm film thickness) were employed for PAHs chromatographic analysis and separation respectively. The extraction of PAHs from water samples was carried out with n-hexane in three extraction sequences (10, 15 and 20ml) and utilized 100ml of milli-q water as matrix for the recovery procedures and clean-up followed immediately [12].

2.5 Statistics

Graphical representation of data was done using Microsoft Excel 2010 while standard deviation was obtained with Minitab 17.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 RESULTS

3.1.1 Physicochemical properties of soil sample

The percentage moisture content, bulk density, and porosity of spent engine oil polluted and unpolluted soil is presented in Fig. 1a. The percentage moisture content was low in the polluted soil while bulk density was higher in the polluted soil sample (PSS) than in the unpolluted soil sample (UPSS). The result of porosity showed that the values obtained in the unpolluted soil were higher than that obtained in the polluted soil samples. The percentage soil

particle size distribution exhibited variations in the percentage sand, silt and clay distribution for the unpolluted soil sample. Unpolluted soil samples recorded higher values in clay and silt in the range of 3.38g/kg and 6.1g/kg respectively. However, the sands of the polluted and unpolluted were 87.44g/kg and 90.62g/kg respectively. This shows that the soil textural class for both polluted and unpolluted soil samples was sandy. Furthermore, the soil chemical properties of spent engine oil polluted and unpolluted soils are presented in Fig. 1b. The results obtained show pH values in polluted and unpolluted soil samples to be 5.1 and 7.4 respectively. The exchangeable base (4.67g/kg), exchangeable acidity (1.25g/kg), Organic Carbon (5.32g/kg) organic matter (6.45g/kg) and percentage nitrogen (0.429%) were higher in the polluted soil than in the unpolluted soil samples. While effective cation exchange capacity and available phosphorus (28.0mg/kg) were higher in the unpolluted oil samples compared to the polluted soil samples.




Fig. 1a. Physical properties of soil sample




Fig. 1b. Chemical properties of soil sample

3.1.2 Physicochemical and microbiological properties of water

The results of the physical, chemical and microbiological analysis carried out on the water sample are shown on Figs. 2a and 2b. All the tested physicochemical properties of Nworie river water samples were within the world health organization (WHO) permissible limit except for turbidity, magnesium, COD, BOD and DO with the mean values of 9.6 ± 1.10 , 26.7 ± 0.08 , 78.8 ± 14.60 and 54 ± 8.0 respectively.

3.1.3 PAH compositions in a typical urban environment

The results of PAH chemical compounds obtained from different urban Environmental components are presented on Table 1a. The result shows that eleven (11) chemical compounds of PAH were detected in water sample from urban environment, compared to other environmental components. Acenaphthylene, phenanthrene, 1-2 benzanthrene, acenaphthene, benzo (k) fluoranthene, benzo (a) pyrene, fluorene, naphthalene, dibenzyl (a-h) anthracene, anthracene and benzo (g-h-i) perylene were detected in water sample with acenaphthylene and phenanthrene having the highest value (0.8737mg/ml and 0.6850mg/ml), compared to other individual environments, however, anthracene had the least value (0.0030mg/ml). Furthermore, Sediment sample had seven (7) PAHs; Acenaphthylene, phenanthrene, 1-2 benzanthrene, acenaphthylene, benzo (k) fluoranthene, benzo (a) pyrene and benzo (b) fluoranthene. Of the PAHs detected, phenanthrene had the highest value (0.55mg/ml),

this is followed by acenaphthylene (0.53mg/ml) and the lowest value of PAH compound was benzo (k) fluoranthene (0.18mg/ml). Moreover, in spent engine oil polluted soil sample nine (9) PAHs were detected. Acephtylene, phenanthrene, 1-2 benzanthrene, benzo (k) fluoranthene, benzo (a) pyrene, benzo (b) fluoranthene, naphthalene, benzo (g-h-i) perylene and fluoranthene, with fluoranthene having the highest value of 2.25mg/ml and phenanthrene having the lowest value (0.16mg/ml). While PAH detected in Abattoir soil sample includes acenaphthylene, 1-2 benzanthrene, benzo (k) fluoranthene, benzo (g-h-i) perylene and pyrene. PAHs such as acenaphthalene, 1-2 benzathene, and benzo (k) fluoranthene were detected across all the samples. The different PAH concentrations obtained from the different land use and surface water of urban environment was compared with the United State environmental protection agency (USEPA) threshold limit of PAH in water and sediments, and the classification of PAH as strong, weak and non-carcinogenic as shown on Table 1b. Carcinogenic PAH identified in surrounding urban environment include; benzo(k)fluoranthene, benzo(b)fluoranthene and dibenzyl(a, h)anthracene. Of all the three PAH benzo(k)fluoranthene was found in all the different land use, and water body in higher quality. The kanda processed soil did not record any benzo(a)pyrene and benzo(b)fluoranthene. Benzo(a)pyrene which was classified as strong carcinogenic was also obtained in water, sediment and spent engine oil polluted soil in quality greater than the standard limit of USEPA [13]. Fluoranthene which is a weak carcinogenic substance was also obtained in spent engine oil polluted soil.




Fig. 2a. Physical Quality of Water Sample




Fig. 2b. Chemical Quality of Water Sample

Table 1a. PAHs concentration from different urban environmental component

PAH Components	SUSW(mg/ml)	USW(mg/ml)	SEOPS(mg/ml)	ABS(mg/ml)
Acenaphthylene	0.53 ±0.01	0.87 ±0.10	0.17 ±0.05	0.49 ±0.01
Phenanthrene	0.54 ±0.11	0.68±0.10	0.16 ±0.05	ND
1-2 Benzanthrene	0.21 ±0.01	0.02 ±0.14	0.32 ±0.07	0.07 ±0.00
Acenaphthene	0.37 ±0.11	0.04 ±0.01	ND	ND
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	0.18 ±0.03	0.18 ±0.07	0.16 ±0.05	0.12 ±0.02
Benzo (a) pyrene	0.19 ±0.09	0.12 ±0.01	0.28±0.02	ND
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	0.43 ±0.04	ND	0.23 ±0.04	ND
Fluorene	ND	0.04 ±0.01	ND	ND
Naphthalene	ND	0.04 ±0.00	0.26 ±0.12	ND
Dibenzyl (a-h) anthracene	ND	0.30 ±0.15	ND	ND
Anthracene	ND	0.03 ±0.01	ND	ND
Benzo (g-h-i) perylene	ND	0.20 ±0.06	0.18 ±0.02	0.26 ±0.07
Fluoranthene	ND	ND	2.24 ±0.11	ND
Pyrene	ND	ND	ND	0.17 ±0.07
Cumulative Total Concentrations	2.4851	2.6032	6.0369	1.0701

Legend: ±Standard deviation; n = 14. ND = not detected; SUSW: Sediment from urban surface water; USW: Urban surface water; SEOPS: Spent engine oil polluted soil; ABS: Abattoir soil samples

Table 1b. Quality threshold limits of PAH in water and sediment for the protection of aquatic life

PAH Component	Carcinogenicity	Water mg/ml Sediment (µg/g)			
		WOG (x10 ⁻⁵)	ISQG	PEL	FSSB
Acenaphthylene	NC	-	0.00587	0.12800	0.0059
Phenanthrene	NC	0.4	0.04190	0.51500	0.2040
1-2 Benzanthrene	NC	-	-	-	-
Acenaphthene	NC	5.8	0.00671	0.08890	0.0067
Benzo (k) fluoranthene	C	-	-	-	0.2400
Benzo (a) pyrene	SC	0.015	0.03190	0.78200	0.1500
Benzo (b) fluoranthene	C	-	-	-	0.0272
Fluorene	NC	3.0	0.02120	0.14400	0.0774
Naphthalene	NC	1.1	0.03460	0.39100	0.1760
Dibenzyl (a-h) anthracene	C	-	0.00622	0.13500	0.0330
Anthracene	NC	0.12	0.04690	0.24500	0.0572
Benzo (g-h-i) perylene	NC	-	-	-	0.0170
Fluoranthene	WC	0.04	0.11100	2.35500	0.4230
Pyrene	NC	0.025	0.05300	0.87500	0.1950

NC: Non-Carcinogenic, C: Carcinogenic, WC: Weakly Carcinogenic, SC: Strong Carcinogenic; ISQG: Interim Sediment Quality Guideline PEL: Probable Effect level. FSSB: Freshwater Sediment Screening Benchmark WOG: Water Quality Guideline. Adapted from USEPA 2006

3.2 DISCUSSIONS

3.2.1 The impact of spent engine oil pollutant on soil samples

Physicochemical characteristics of soil polluted with spent engine oil indicates the trends of soil textural class, moisture content, bulk density, pH, organic carbon, nitrogen, etc. This reveals the low moisture content of polluted soil sample when compared to the unpolluted soil sample. This might be as a result of the pollutant present in the soil as its hydrophobic nature facilitates the loss of water through evaporation. According to Ahamfule *et al.* [14] hydrophobic property of spent engine oil impedes the adherence of water molecule to soil particles which leads to water loss through evaporation. Bulk density was shown to be high when compared to the unpolluted soil samples. The consequence of increased bulk density leads to soil compaction thereby affecting soil porosity [15]. However, the textural class which is sandy appears not to be affected by the spent engine oil pollutants. This supports Onweremadu, [16] who reported that the textural class of contaminated soil is not affected by pollutant. The polluted soil pH is acidic which indicates its necessity as metal cations are made soluble and available for plant uptake. However, the input of microbial degradation in formation of organic acid can also make the soil pH acidic [17]. The increase in organic carbon and organic matter in the polluted soil was probably due to hydrocarbon content in spent engine oil while increase in nitrogen may be due to the high organic matter of the contaminated soil. This agrees with Tanimu *et al.* [18] who reported an increase in organic carbon and nitrogen content of oil polluted soil. The effective cation exchange capacity (CEC) which shows the capability of Ca, Mg, Na and K to displace other cations was low probably because of the sandy textural class of the polluted soil and its low pH. This indicates low fertility of the contaminated soil [19]. The available phosphorus of polluted soil was low demonstrating that the soil physicochemical property was altered by the spent engine oil as it inhibits microbial transformation of organic matter [20].

3.2.2 The effect of physicochemical properties of nworie river

The pH of Nworie river water sample was slightly alkaline while the turbidity was high as against the permissible limit by world health organization and this may be due to anthropogenic activities

within the river basin. However, the pH was within the standard limit of world health organization [21]. According to Nure *et al.*, [22] the acceptable pH range for aquatic growth is within 6.8-9.0 respectively. This indicates that the Nworie River's pH can support life forms. Moreover, influx of particles water bodies can influence its turbidity. The high concentration of Magnesium in Nworie River designates the hardness of the water. According to Onojake & Abrakasa, [23], the hardness of the water may be as a result of presence of dissolved salts (calcium and magnesium) from pollution. The biochemical and chemical oxygen demand (BOD and COD) of the water sample exceeded the permissible limit of WHO (2013), and surface water regulation ($\leq 6\text{mg/l}$ and 40mg/l). This may be due to the presence of chemical which may be organic and inorganic caused by domestic, livestock and industrial waste that contains elevated levels of organic pollutant.

3.2.3 Assessment of PAH contents in different environmental component

The total PAH samples in the environment shows that they were higher than the permissible limit as indicated by United State Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA, 2006) regulatory guide. The PAH levels in water samples of Nworie river were observed to be higher than those measured in corresponding sediment samples. This is in contradiction to the high levels of PAH that have been reported in sediments [24,25]. The difference can be attributed to presence of the low molecular weight (LMW) PAH (2-3 rings PAH) which are soluble and volatile in water and have been more detected in water samples of Nworie River. This observed pattern of PAH in water samples shows that three rings' PAHs appears to be more while sediment is mostly dominated by four ring PAHs. This phenomenon between water and sediment sample might be attributed to activities such as road construction, oil spill etc. In sediment samples, the high level of phenanthrene (3-ringed PAH) detected shows a major constituent of crude oil and coal tar. According to Santos *et al.*, [26], an increase level of phenanthrene in aqueous sediment was linked to atmospheric deposition and petroleum contamination. Phenanthrene is known to cause endocrine and reproductive disruption, neurotoxicity, genotoxicity, oxidative damage, cytotoxicity and growth impairment in fish has been reported [27]. In spent engine oil polluted soil (SEOPS), the HMW PAH (4-5) had the highest proportion. The

availability of the HMW PAHs relative to LMW PAH in SEOPS has been shown to emanate from pyrogenic activities and activities of mechanic workshop such as photochemical smog from automobile exhaust [28]. The carcinogenic PAHs detected in water and sediment samples of Nworie River is unsafe for both aquatic organisms and humans as its reactive metabolites can bind to proteins and DNA. Thus, altering the nucleotide sequence which can cause cell damage. Igwe & Ukaogo [29] reported that carcinogenic PAH can cause biochemical disruption and cell damage.

4. CONCLUSION

In the different urban environment of Owerri municipal, PAH compounds were observed to be above the permissible limit of USEPA especially in water samples [30]. Therefore, it is necessary to monitor the environment in terms of pollution control to avoid contamination of the environment with these toxic compounds which can be detrimental to life forms because of its bioaccumulation and recalcitrant nature.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Mojiri A, Zhou JL, Ohashi A, Ozaki N, Kindaichi T. Comprehensive review of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water sources, their effects and treatments. *Science Total and Environment*. 2019;13:3971-3972.
- Ivana J, Zdravka SS, Ranka G, Iva B, Silvije D, Mario L, Gordana P. Pollution sources and carcinogenic risk of PAHs in PM₁ particles fraction in Urban area. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2020;17:9587-9589.
- Lu XY, Zhang T, Fang H HP. Bacterial-mediated PAH degradation in soil and sediment. *Applied Microbiology Biotechnology*. 2011;89:1357-1371.
- Kuppusamy S, Thavamani P, Venkateswarlu K, Lee YB, Naidu R, Megharaj M. Remediation approach for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) contaminated soils: Technological constraints, emerging trends and future directions. *Chemosphere*. 2017;168:944-968.
- Yang J, Qadeer A, Liu M, Zhu JM, Wei XY. Occurrence, source, and partition of PAHs, PCBs and OCPs in the multi-phase system of an urban lake, Shanghai. *Applied Geochemistry*. 2019; 106:17-25.
- Matar T, Stefano A, Di BM, Annamaria L, Daniela Z, Roberto R, Qi S, Benedetto DV. Source pattern and contamination level of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in urban and rural areas of Southern Italian soils. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*. 2018; 41:507-528.
- Xiao Y, Tong F, Kuang Y, Chen B. Distribution and source apportionment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in forest soils from urban to rural areas in the pearl River Delta of Southern China. *International of Journal Environmental Resource and Public Health*. 2014; 11:2642-2656.
- Perez-Padilla R, Schilman A, Riojas-Rodriguez H. Respiratory health effects of indoor air pollution. *International Journal of Tuberc Lung Disease*. 2010;14(9):1079-1086.
- Blaszczsky E, Rogula-Koziowska W, Ahamefule CH, Obi EM, Amana SM, Peter PC, Eifediyi EK, Nwokocha CC. Spent engine oil contamination of an ultisol in Southeastern Nigeria: Comparative effect on two crop species. *Nigeria Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 2014;10(4):90-98.
- FAO. Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations. Guide to laboratory establishment for plant nutrient analysis. In: M.R., Motsara and R.N. Roy (Eds). *Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin*, 19. Italy; 2008.
- APHA. American Public Health Association. *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*. (20thed). Washington, DC; 2005.
- Ajai AI, Suleiman MT, Dauda BE, Sadiku OS, Aberuagba F. Effect of extraction method on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons content smoked catfish species in Niger state of Nigeria. *Jordan Journal of Biological Science*. 2012;5(1):71-80.
- USEPA. United States Environmental Protection Agency. EPA Region III BTAG freshwater, Sediment Screening Benchmarks; 2006.

14. Ahamefule CH, Obi EM, Amana SM, Peter PC, Eifediyi EK, Nwokocha CC. Spent engine oil contamination of an ultisol in Southeastern Nigeria: Comparative effect on two crop species. *Nigeria Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*. 2014;10(4):90-98.
15. Grossman RB, Reinsch TG. Soil science society of American book series: Methods of soil analysis. In; J.H. Dane and T.G. Clark, SSSA. Inc. Madison Wisconsin, U.S.A. 2002;23- 27.
16. Onweremadu EU. Magnesium content of two soil groups in Southeastern Nigeria in relation to selected pedological properties. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 2012; s3(3):481-486.
17. Osuji LC, Nwoye I An appraisal of the impact of petroleum hydrocarbons on soil fertility: The Owaza experience. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2007;2(7):318-324.
18. Tanimu J, Michael GI, James PA. Effects of contamination of soil with used engine oil on some soil properties and microbial growth in Wukari, Northeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture Life Science*. 2019;2(6):358-363.
19. Uchendu UI, Ogwo PA. The effect of spent engine oil discharge on soil properties in an automobile mechanic village in Nekede, Imo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science, Toxicology and Food Technology*. 2014;8(11):28-32.
20. Nwite JN, Alu MO. Effect of different levels of spent engine oil on soil properties, grain yield of maize and its heavy metal uptake in Abakaliki, Southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*. 2015;5(4):44-51.
21. Virendra KS, Manish V, Chirag G, Mohammad DH, Mukesh SM, Suresh G, Khwaja S. Evaluation of physico-chemical and microbial parameters on water quality of Narmada River, India. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 2013;7(6):496-503.
22. Nure J, Md. Abdul M, Muhammad N. Assessment of Physicochemical Properties of Surface Water of Mokeshbeel, Gazipur, Bangladesh. *Journal of Environmental and Science Current Resource*. 2019;2:014-020.
23. Onojake MC, Abrakasa S. Multivariate Statistical Analysis on Pollution Level of Woji Otamiri-oche River in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environment and Bioenergy*. 2012;2(1): 43-52.
24. Ma WL, Liu LY, Qi H, Zhang ZF, Song WW, Shen JM, Chen ZL, Ren NQ, Grabuski J, Li YF. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water, sediment and soil of the Songhua River basin, China. *Environmental Monitoring Assessment*. 2013;185:8399-8409.
25. Hong WJ, Jia H, Li YF, Sun Y, Liu X, Wang L. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and alkylated PAHs in the coastal seawater, surface sediment and oyster from Dalian, Northeast China. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2016;128:11-20.
26. Santos E, Souza MRR, Vilela AR, Soares LS, Frena M, Alexandre MR. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environmental Pollution*. 2018;134(1):97-111.
27. Machado AA, De S, Hoff MLM, Klein RO, Cordeiro GJ, Lencina Avila JM, Costa PG, Bianchin A. Oxidative stress and DNA damage responses to Phenanthrene exposure in the estuarine guppy *Poecilia vivipara*. *Marine Environmental Resource*. 2014;98:96-105.
28. Nekhavhambe TJ, van Ree T, Fatoki OS. Determination and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in rivers, surface runoff, and sediments in and around Thohoyandou Limpopo province, South African. *Water SA*. 2014;40(3):415-424.
29. Igwe JC, Ukaogo PO. Environmental effects of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Journal of Natural Science Research*. 2015;5(7):117-131.
30. Cheesbrough M. *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*. (Low price edition, Pp 62-70) UK, Cambridgeshire, Britain Cambridge University Press; 2000.

© 2022 Ukachukwu et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
 The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86753>