



Study of Genetic Variability and Character Association for Yield and Components Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Germplasm in Bundelkhand Region

Amit Kumar ^a, Anshuman Singh ^{a*}, Meenakshi Arya ^b,
A. Nishant Bhanu ^a, Vishnu Kumar ^a and S. K. Chaturvedi ^a

^a Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-284003, India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, Uttar Pradesh-284003, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i82745>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120204>

Original Research Article

Received: 22/05/2024

Accepted: 23/07/2024

Published: 05/08/2024

ABSTRACT

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a very important crop among the grain legume crops in India. It is grown in north India in the winter season on marginal and semi-marginal land as a commonly non-irrigated crop. Bundelkhand, a region in Uttar Pradesh, India, is notorious for its harsh climate,

*Corresponding author: E-mail: asinghrlbcau@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kumar, Amit, Anshuman Singh, Meenakshi Arya, A. Nishant Bhanu, Vishnu Kumar, and S. K. Chaturvedi. 2024. "Study of Genetic Variability and Character Association for Yield and Components Traits in Chickpea (*Cicer Arietinum* L.) Germplasm in Bundelkhand Region". *Journal of Experimental Agriculture International* 46 (8):628-39. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i82745>.

characterized by extreme heat and drought stress. Temperature fluctuate with highs reaching 50°C in summer and lows of 5°C in winter, exert substantial adverse effects on chickpea cultivation, impairing crop growth and yield and demand to have genetic resources used in breeding programs. The experiment aimed to characterize and evaluate 204 chickpea lines, including commercial varieties, to understand the magnitude of variability and the association of various yield parameters on the yield of chickpea based on 12 agro-morphological characters under Bundelkhand region. ANOVA shows that significant variation exists for most of the characteristics of chickpeas. Higher GCV and PCV were observed for plant population (GCV 24.92, PCV 31.22) followed by the number of secondary branches (GCV 21.61, PCV 26.55), number of pods per plant (GCV 12.92, PCV 18.47), seed yield per plant (GCV 11.39, PCV 21.98) and biological yield per plant (GCV 11.68, PCV 20.37), revealing that the environment plays a positive role in the expression of these characters. High heritability was found by 100 seed weight (96.41) followed by seed yield per plant (84.11) and number of pods per plant (66.26) and a high degree of genetic advance was found in the number of pods per plant (26.24) followed by biological and seed yield per plant (15.25 and 6.92). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was found in seed yield per plant, followed by biological yield per plant, indicating selection could be rewarding in proper breeding programs. Correlation and path studies showed that biological yield per plant ($r=0.91$), number of pods per plant ($r=0.680$), number of secondary branches per plant ($r=0.46$), number of seeds per pod ($r=0.32$) and 100 seed weight (0.29) turned out to be the main components for seed yield per plant in chickpea, stipulating that these characters could be desirable selection parameter.

Keywords: Chickpea; variability; heritability; association studies; germplasm and bundelkhand.

1. INTRODUCTION

Chickpea is a self-pollinated crop, and cross-pollination is a rare event with only 0-1 % of cross-pollination [1,2]. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) is diploid species with $2n = 14$, belongs to the Fabaceae family, and is considered the first most crucial pulse in the world is widely grown in many subtropical and warm temperate regions. It is usually grown as a rainfed cool-weather crop or as a dry climate crop in semi-arid regions. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is a highly nutritious crop containing 24% protein, 60-65% carbohydrate, 6% fat and also good source of many minerals and vitamins [3] and provides nutritious food for an expanding world population and will become increasingly important with climate change. The nutritional value of chickpea in terms of nutrition and body health has been recently emphasized frequently by nutritionists in health and food area in many countries. In Asia, chickpea is commonly used as dal, flour, salads, soups and stews, curry, and other meal products like channa while hummus is widely popular in many parts of the world, especially in the middle east and north Africa. The largest global producers of chickpea seed are India, Australia, and Myanmar, contributing approximately 78% of world production [4]. It is the food basket for the growing population in the country, which contains high protein. Bundelkhand region in Uttar Pradesh state of India is known for extreme heat and drought stress, which severely affect the

growth, development, and yield of wheat crop, observed in study of Kumar et al. [5]. This region frequently experiences extreme temperature, usually 50°C during summer and 5°C in the winter season [5]. Agriculture in Bundelkhand is rainfed, diverse, complex, under-invested, risky and vulnerable. In addition, extreme weather conditions, like droughts, short-term rain and flooding in fields add to the uncertainties and seasonal migrations. The scarcity of water in the semi-arid region, with poor soil and low productivity further aggravates the problem of food security [5] and Kumar et al [6]. The knowledge of heritability and genetic advance is also essential to ascertain whether observed variability is heritable or non-heritable for the selection of component traits for yield improvement. The genetic characterization of germplasm assists in establishing relationships among accessions and estimating genetic diversity. This diversity can be assessed using morphological parameters, a viewpoint supported by Chaudhary et al. [7].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 204 chickpea accessions were obtained from the Division of Genetics, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi and ICRISAT, Patancheru, Hyderabad, along with released chickpea varieties (Appendix1). Four chickpea accessions were taken as a check for this experiment including two released varieties. The

field trial was conducted at the University Seed and Research Farm, D Block, RLBCAU, Jhansi (25.07° to 25.57° North latitude, 78.10° to 79.25° East longitudes and at an altitude of 284 meters above the mean sea level) in the Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh during rabi 2019–20. The design of the experiment was a randomized complete augmented block design with a total of 5 homogenous blocks, and each block contained 44 test accessions, including 4 check accessions (ICC 1710, ICC 7867, RVG 203, and JAKI 9218), and replication and randomization of checks were done across the blocks.

2.1 Layout

The trial was conducted during Rabi 2019-20 in an augmented block design, where each entry was planted in 2 m rows with plant-to-plant spacing of 10 cm and row-to-row spacing of 60 cm. Approximately 20 seeds were planted in each row for each treatment. All normal agronomic practices were performed during the entire period of crop growth to ensure a good plant stand.

2.2 Data Sampling

To record the observations, five randomly chosen competitive plants were selected from a single row of each accession and the observation was recorded on a whole-row basis for days to 50 % flowering (DF) and days to maturity (DM), whereas on the composite sample basis, seed weight (SW) and harvest index (HI) were also calculated. The observations were recorded as per the protection of plant varieties and farmers' rights authority (PPV & FRA) DUS guidelines (2007) for chickpea [8].

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses of the data were carried out for both qualitative and quantitative traits, and frequency distribution was performed for each qualitative trait(s) using raw mean data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)(s) for the quantitative character(s) was carried out according to the standard statistical procedure for Augmented Randomized Complete Block Design (Augmented Design II) [9]. Genotypic coefficient of variance (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variance (PCV), broad sense heritability (h^2) and genetic advance over a mean (GAM) in per cent were computed for quantitative traits by methods purposed by Burton et al. [10] Robinson et al.

[11] and Johnson et al. [12] respectively and correlation and path coefficient analysis by using statistical software, Windostat ver. 8.0.

Phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) were computed according to the method suggested by Burton et al. [10]. These values were categorized as high (>20 %), moderate (10-20 %), and low (60 %).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for quantitative characters demonstrated that the set of chickpea germplasm accessions was statistically significantly different indicating that the experimental plant material had considerable genetic diversity. This suggests that there was an adequate amount of genetic variability in the experimental material for all 12 characters, viz. days to 50 per cent flowering (DF), days to maturity(DM), plant population(PP), number of primary branches(NPBPP), number of secondary branches per plant(SBPP), pod per plant(NPPP), number of seeds per pod(NSPP), plant height(PH), 100 seed weight (100 SW), and biological yield per plant(BYPP). Seed yield per plant(SYPP) and harvest index(HI) evaluated in the current study. The variation due to blocks was found to be non-significant at both 0.005 and 0.001 levels of significance for all studied characters. The differences among the four checks were found to be highly significant for days to 50 % flowering, days to maturity, plant population, number of primary branches per plant, number of seeds per pod, plant height, 100 seed weight, biological yield per plant, and seed yield per plant, and significant for days to 50% flowering and number of secondary branches per plant respectively. For other characteristics, the numbers of pods per plant and harvest index were found to be non-significant. Similar results were also observed by Jain et al. [13]; Jayalakshmi et al. [14]; Gediya et al. [15].

3.1 Variability Studies

The findings of GCV and PCV showed a large amount of variability in the available chickpea germplasm accessions for all characteristics (Table 2). The highest estimates of GCV (24.92%) and PCV (31.22%) were reported for plant populations, followed by the number of secondary branches per plant GCV, 21.61%; PCV, 26.55%). Similar results have been reported by Mihoariya et al. [16]; Dehal et al.

[17]; Thakur et al. [18]. High estimates of PCV obtained in the number of pods per plant (24.36), followed by harvest index (22.65), seed yield per plant (21.98), and biological yield per plant (20.31) showed that apparent variation is not only due to genetic factors but also by the environment, which significantly affects the expression of these characters. Lambani et al. (2023) observed maximum GCV for yield followed by pods per plant, number of secondary braches per plant and seed ling vigor [19]. The moderate genotypic coefficient of variation found in seed yield per plant (18.68) followed by 100 seed weight (17.77), number of primary branches per plant (17.20), harvest index (14.34), plant height (13.71), number of pods per plant (12.92), and seed yield per plant (11.39) showed that a reasonable amount of genetic variation was present for most of the characters, and simultaneous estimates of PCV showed the effect of environment on the total variation. The small differences between GCV (13.71) and PCV (13.96) for plant height and 100 seed weight (GCV 17.77, PCV 19.87) showed that these characteristics were least influenced by the environment. Similar results were reported by Kishore et al. (2023) for characters primary branches per plant, pods per plant, seed per pod, seed yield per plant and harvest index [20]. These results were also supported by the findings Meena et al. [21].

The highest heritability in the broad sense was found for 100 seed weight (96.41 %), indicating the low effects of genotypic variance on total variance, and leading to a high heritable proportion (92 %) which shows the importance of additive gene action in the expression of this character. Other characteristics like seed yield per plant, biological yield per plant, and number of pods per plant, reported high heritability in a broad sense, with values of 84.11 %, 79.94 %, and 66.26%, respectively. A moderate broad-sense heritability value was observed for the number of secondary branches per plant (49.83 %), followed by days to 50 % flowering (49.00 %), number of seeds per pod (48.91 %), days to maturity (44. 00 %), harvest index (42.30 %), and plant height (40.2). A high genetic advance was reported for the number of pods per plant (26.24%), followed by biological yield per plant (15.26 %) and seed yield per plant (6.92 %) whereas the lowest was reported for the number of seeds per pod (0.53 %) revealed that selection may play a crucial role in improvement of characters with high genetic advance.

Sundaram et al. (2023) recorded high estimates of heritability for characters;100 seed weight and number of pods per plant [22]. Similar findings were recorded by Chaudhary et al. [7]; Thakur et al. [18]; Yadav et al. [23]; Arif et al. [24].

3.2 Interrelationship Studies

Character association refers to the degree of statistical relationship between different traits or characteristics of a crop. This is essential for crop improvement because it helps plant breeders identify which traits tend to co-occur or influence each other. Understanding character associations aids in the selection of key traits for simultaneous improvement, optimizing breeding strategies, and developing crop varieties with enhanced performance and adaptability. Character association studies revealed that seed yield per plant was highly influenced by independent characteristics, mainly biological yield per plant, number of pods per plant, number of secondary branches and 100 seed weight (Tables 3 and 4). Pods per plant showed a strong positive association with seed yield, followed by biological yield, secondary branches, days to flowering, and days to maturity [25].

Highly significant positive correlations of seed yield per plant were found with biological yield per plant (0.9042), number of pods per plant (0.6807) and number of secondary branches per plant (0.4502) with number of primary branches per plant, number of seeds per pod, plant height, and 100 seed weight also showed significant correlations for seed yield per plant. Non-significant negative correlations were also found between days to 50% flowering and days to maturity with seed yield. The path analysis revealed the effect of each character on seed yield by using correlation coefficients and revealed that biological yield per plant had the highest direct effect (0.6591) on seed yield per plant, followed by the number of pods per plant (0.2530), number of seeds per pod (0.1790) and 100 seed weight (0.1196). Prasanthi et al. (2023) observed that seed yield was influenced by characters such as days to first flowering, days to maturity, plant height, the number of branches per plant, the number of pods per plant, 100-seed weight, and harvest index [26]. The results of the correlation coefficient matched those reported by Meena et al. [21]; Gediya et al. [15] and Bhanu et al. [27].

Table 1. Analysis of variance for 12 quantitative characters of chickpea

Source of variation	d.f	Days to 50% flowering (DF)	Days to maturity (DM)	Plant population (PP)	Number of primary branches per plant	Number of secondary branches per plant	Number of pods per plant	Number of seeds per pods	Plant height (cm)	100 seed weight (g)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Seed yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)
Mean sum of square (MSS)													
Block	4	4.575000	5.075	3.125	.643	2.430	139.896	0.041	23.276	0.076	13.376	2.993	.000273
Entries	203	37.807**	20.374 *	9.617*	1.222*	28.39**	1349.21**	0.239 **	94.007*	46.551**	360.41**	69.66 **	0.005**
Check	3	59.40*	90.32 **	60.05**	6.70 **	30.39*	241.1	0.779**	428.21 **	149.939**	379.879 **	84.35 **	0.004
Varieties	199	36.604**	19.409 *	8.48*	1.146	27.995**	1363.476**	0.231 **	89.384*	44.622**	356.265**	69.32**	0.005**
Checks vs. Varieties	1	212.658**	2.49	84.046**	0.006	100.964**	1834.549*	0.017	11.636	120.115**	1126.392**	95.23**	0.008
Error	12	6.608	4.109	2.925	0.3199	4.759	124.703	0.0412	21.335	0.344	17.229	2.536	0.001

Table 2. Estimates of variability parameters for 12 quantitative traits in chickpea

Characters	Range	Mean	Vp	Vg	PCV (%)	GCV (%)	Broad sense heritability (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as % of mean
DF	68.1-96.6	85.75	12.85	6.24	4.17	2.90	49.70	3.59	4.17
DM	115.6-137.55	127.49	7.36	3.25	2.13	1.41	44.40	2.47	1.94
PP	4-19.5	9.2	4.00	1.08	31.22	24.92	26.88	1.11	12.17
NPB	1.075-9.5	3.38	0.50	0.18	24.36	17.20	36.06	0.53	15.64
NSB	2.73-33.035	15.11	9.48	4.73	26.55	21.61	49.83	3.16	25.01
NPPP	18.49-206.565	74.69	369.6	244.9	18.47	12.92	66.26	26.24	36.23
NS	0.88-3.03	1.51	0.08	0.04	10.79	6.87	48.91	0.29	18.61
PH	19.05-85.05	55.84	35.87	14.53	13.96	13.71	40.52	5.00	9.01
100 SW	10.11-41.139	22.36	9.59	9.24	19.87	17.77	96.41	6.15	27.73
BYPP	16.295-98.795	52.37	85.86	68.64	20.37	18.68	79.94	15.26	32.73
SYPP	7.485-41.26	19.06	15.96	13.42	21.98	11.39	84.11	6.92	35.29
HI	14.5-52.4	0.37			22.05	14.34	42.30	0.65	19.21

Table 3. Correlation matrix of 12 different characters of chickpea

Correlation matrix	50% DTF	DTM	PP	NPB	NSB	NPPP	NSPP	PH	100SW	BYPP	SYPP
50% DTF	1	0.85***	0.024	0.060	-0.022	-0.061	0.11	-0.018	0.048	0.094	0.141
DTM		1	-0.071	-0.104	-0.104	-0.089	0.037	0.106	0.152	0.096	0.127
PP			1	0.21	0.018	0.014	0.14	-0.04	-0.22	0.022	0.01
NPB				1	0.494***	0.343*	0.182	0.083	-0.152	0.297*	0.33*
NSB					1	0.67***	0.062	0.08	-0.121	0.44**	0.46**
NPPP						1	0.087	0.222	-0.038	0.636***	0.69***
NSPP							1	-0.05	-0.18	0.19	0.315*
PH								1	0.234	0.33*	0.32*
100 SW									1	0.31*	0.29*
BYPP										1	0.91***
SYPP											1

50% DTF; days to 50 % flowering, DTM; days to 50 % maturity, PP; plant population per plot, NPB; Number of primary branches per plant, NSB; number of secondary branches per plant, NPPP; number of pods per plant, NSPP; number of seeds per pod, PH; plant height, 100SW; 100 seed weights, BYPP; biological yield per plant, SYPP; seed yield per plant.

Table 4. Direct and indirect effect on seed yield of 12 different characters of chickpea

Character	50% DTF	DTM	PP	NPB	NSB	NPPP	NSPP	PH	100SW	BYPP
50% DTF	0.0685	0.0581	0.0016	0.0041	-0.0018	-0.0042	0.0071	-0.0006	0.0033	0.0064
DTM	-0.0006	-0.0007	-0.0000	-0.0000	0.0001	0.0001	0.0000	-0.0001	-0.0001	-0.0001
PP	-0.0003	0.0010	-0.0147	-0.0031	-0.0003	-0.0002	-0.0020	0.0006	0.0032	-0.0003
NPB	0.0022	-0.0014	0.0077	0.0370	0.0182	0.0127	0.0067	0.0031	-0.0056	0.0110
NSB	0.0006	0.0024	-0.0004	-0.0115	-0.0232	-0.0156	-0.0014	-0.0018	0.0028	-0.0102
NPPP	-0.0154	-0.0223	0.0034	0.0867	0.1695	0.2530	0.0220	0.0560	-0.0096	0.1609
NSPP	0.0185	0.0065	0.0249	0.0325	0.0111	0.0155	0.1790	-0.0090	-0.0327	0.0342
PH	-0.0002	0.0023	-0.0008	0.0018	0.0017	0.0048	-0.0011	0.0217	0.0051	0.0071
100 SW	0.0057	0.0181	-0.0259	-0.0182	-0.0144	-0.0045	-0.0219	0.0280	0.1196	0.0361
BYPP	0.0618	0.0628	0.0139	0.1957	0.2894	0.4191	0.1259	0.2173	0.1988	0.6591

Interrelationship studies among quantitative characters in chickpea genotypes disclosed that characters such as biological yield per plant, number of pods per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of primary branches per plant, seeds per pod and 100 seed weight were the main components and affecting the seed yield per plant. To achieve maximum yield per plant the plant should have a greater number of pods per plant, secondary branches per plant, biological yield per plant, and 100 seed weights.

The correlation coefficients among these 10 quantitative characters with seed yield were divided into their direct and indirect effects by studying path coefficient analysis (Table 4). The highest direct and indirect effect on seed yield per plant was exhibited by the biological yield per plant followed by the number of pods per plant and the number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight indicating that these characteristics are major influencing factors on seed yield in chickpeas. Biological yield per plant, number of pods per plant, and number of seeds per pod, as well as 100 seed weight, show a high, moderate, and direct effect on seed yield per plant in chickpeas. Thus, these characteristics turned out to be the significant components of seed yield per plant. The high magnitude of biological yield was reported by Prasanthi et al. [26]; Singh et al. [28]; and Bhanu et al. [27] has also reported a high direct effect on seed yield.

The number of pods per plant exhibited a moderate direct influence on seed yield per plant and contributed indirectly through biological yield per plant and number of seeds per pod, establishing a significant and positive association with seed yield. Strong correlation and direct effects suggest that enhancing chickpea yield is achievable by improving these traits. Similarly, the high positive correlation of seeds per pod with most traits underscores its importance as a yield-contributing factor. These findings affirm the potential for yield enhancement by manipulating these attributes in the studied genotypes. Furthermore, seeds per pod and 100 seed weight displayed a moderate positive direct effect on seed yield per plant. While days to 50 per cent flowering, the number of primary branches per plant, and plant height exhibited a positive direct influence on seed yield, their effects were minimal. The positive and indirect impact of secondary branches per plant, mediated by biological yield per plant and number of pods per plant, suggests that selecting these traits in chickpea breeding programs can improve seed

yield. However, negative indirect effects on most other traits indicate their limited potential for enhancing seed yield in chickpea. The similar finding for character association by Singh et al. [28]; Mihoariya et al. [16]; Thapa et al. [29]; Bhanu et al. [27]; Dehal et al. [17].

4. CONCLUSIONS

The diverse and interconnected nature of chickpea accessions in this study underscores their potential as valuable parents for hybridization programs. Notably, these accessions exhibited a substantial degree of variability and association across the various traits examined. The prevalence of a higher phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all traits suggests the influence of environmental factors on trait expression. However, this environmental influence was relatively minor across the spectrum of traits, indicating relative stability. Among the traits, the mobility of traits such as the number of pods per plant, plant height, harvest index, seed yield per plant, and 100 seed weight was evident among chickpea accessions. To enhance seed yield, it is imperative to concentrate efforts on traits directly or indirectly, and the relationship between yield and yield-contributing traits through correlation and path coefficient analyses identified key factors. These traits exhibited significant positive associations and highly positive direct effects on seed yield per plant. In conclusion, our study not only highlights the genetic diversity and interplay of traits within chickpea accessions but also provides a clear direction for breeders. By prioritizing the enhancement of traits closely linked to seed yield, particularly the number of pods per plant, secondary branches, and biological yield per plant, we can chart a course toward achieving improved chickpea varieties with greater resilience and productivity.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central

Agricultural University (Jhansi, India). The authors extend their gratitude to the Head of the Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Dean of the College of Agriculture, Director of Education, and other concerned personnel for their valuable guidance and support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Saxena MC, Singh KB. The chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux International; 1987.
- Smithson JB, Thompson JA, Summerfield RJ. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). In: R.J. Summerfield & E.H. Roberts (Eds), Grain Legume Crops. London, U.K.: Collins; 1985.
- Latham MC. Human nutrition in the developing world. Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences/Scientific and Technology Documentation Centre; 2002.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). FAOSTAT Statistical Database of the United Nation Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) statistical division; 2023.
- Kumar A, Singh A, Arya M, Bhanu AN, Jaiswal, A., Kumar, S., Chaturvedi, S. K. Screening of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) germplasm accession against post-emergence herbicide imazethapyr. Journal of Food legumes. 2023a;36(2&3):136-142. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1136455
- Kumar S, Kumar H, Gupta V, Kumar A, Singh CM, Kumar M, Singh AK, Panwar GS, Kumar S, Singh AK and Kumar R. Capturing agro-morphological variability for tolerance to terminal heat and combined heat–drought stress in landraces and elite cultivar collection of wheat. Front. Plant Sci. 2023b;14:1136455. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2023.1136455
- Chaudhary H, Jaiswal JP, Kumar A, Joshi S. Determination of genetic variability and diversity in bread wheat for yield and yield contributing traits. International Journal of Plant and Soil Science. 2022;34(19):16-23.
- Guidelines for the conduct of test for distinctiveness, uniformity and stability on chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Publ. by protection of plant varieties and farmer's rights authority (PRA & FRA). Reproduced from Plant Variety - J. of India; 2007.
- Federer WT. Augmented designs with one-way elimination of heterogeneity. Biometrics. 1961; 17(3):447-473.
- Burton GW, De Vane EH. Estimations of heritability in tall festuca (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal materials. Agronomy Journal. 1953;45:487-488.
- Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Genetic variances in open pollinated varieties of corn. Genetics. 1955;40(1):45-51.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HE, Comstock RE. Estimate of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 1955;47:314-318.
- Jain SK, Sharma LD, Gupta KC, Kumar V, Yadav MR. Variability and character association for yield and quantitative traits under late sown conditions in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Legume Research - An International Journal. 2023;46(4):408-412. DOI : 10.18805/LR-4432
- Jayalakshmi V, Swapna M, Satyanarayana HN, Haritha T, Vikram TH. Variability and character association studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(23):1076-1085.
- Gediya LN, Patel DA, Kumar S, Kumar D, Parmar DJ, Patel SS. Phenotypic variability, path analysis and molecular diversity analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Vegetos. 2019;32(2):167–180.
- Mihoariya M, Tiwari S, Yadav RK, Asati R, Solanki RS, Tiwari PN, Chauhan S, Tripathi N, Tripathi MK. Genetic variability and diversity analysis for yield and its associated traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2023;42(16):17-33.
- Dehal IB, Kalia R, Kumar B. Genetic estimates and path coefficient analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under normal and late sown environments. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2016;39(4):510-516.
- Thakur TS, Johnson PL, Nanda HC. Evaluation of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes for yield and yield contributing traits under rainfed rice-based cropping ecosystem of chhattisgarh. Journal of Food Legumes. 2015;28(2):21-26.

19. Lambani Y, Laxuman Kumar P, Lokesha R, Muniswamy BS, Rachappa V, Mahalinga DM. Genetic variability, character association and path studies for yield and yield related traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Plant Archives. 2023;23(2):125-131
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2023.v23.no2.021>
20. Kishore N, Katna G, Sharma N. Genetic variability and association among various traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) mutants. Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2023;49(1):44-50.
21. Meena VK, Verma P, Tak Y, Meena D. Genetic Variability, Correlation and Path coefficient Studies in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Genotypes in South Eastern Rajasthan. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2021;13(3a):93-98.
22. Sundaram P, Samineni S, Sajja SB, Singh SP, Joshi P, Gaur PM. Identification of transgressive segregants and variability studies in segregating generations of four crosses in chickpea. Legume Research-An International Journal. 2023;46(1):25-31.
23. Yadav P, Tripathi DK, Khan KK, Yadav AK. Dissection of genetic variability and heritability estimates in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under late sown condition. Forage Research. 2015; 37(4): 1- 10.
24. Aarif, M., Rastogi, N. K., Johnson, P. L., Chandrakar, P. K. Genetic analysis of seed yield and its attributing traits in kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Journal of Food Legumes. 2014; 27(1):24-27.
25. Tamatam, Dattesh, Pandey MK. Exploring Variability, Character Association and Path Analysis in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Accessions in the Northwestern Region of India. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2024; 36(5):127-134.
26. Prasanthi G, Jayalakshmi V, Reddy AT, Khayumammed, S. Genetic variability parameters and character association studies among phenological traits, yield traits and quality traits under different dates of planting in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Journal of Food Legumes. 2023;36(2 &3):126- 135.
DOI : 10.59797/jfl.v36.i2.142
27. Bhanu AN, Singh MN, Tharu RSK, Saroj. Genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for quantitative traits in chickpea genotypes. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017;51(5):425-430.
28. Singh PK, Shiva NV, Patel CK, Vikas Y. Assessment of genetic variability and different character association in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Late Sown Condition. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2023; 35(9):30-37.
29. Thapa RS, Singh T, Kumar A, Kumar H, Pratap D. Genetic divergence, variability and character association analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes under normal and late sown conditions. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2022;13(2):616-623.

Appendix 1. List of plant material used in this experiment for genetic diversity analysis in chickpea genotypes

SR. NO./CODE	Accession name	SR. NO./CODE	Accession name
1	ICC 1710	102	ICCX 130012-B-B-B-B-25
2	ICC 7867	103	ICCX 139933-B-B-B-B-8
3	RVG 203	104	ICCX 130033-B-B-B-B-11
4	JAKI 9218	105	ICCX 130033-B-B-B-B-15
5	ICC13851	106	ICCX130033-B-B-B-B-23
6	ICC13874	107	ICCX 130034-B-B-B-B-95
7	ICC13894	108	ICCX 130038-B-B-B-B-94
8	ICC13895	109	ICCX 130046-B-B-B-B-87
9	ICC13897	110	ICCX 130050-B-B-B-B-12
10	ICC13912	111	ICCX 130057-B-B-B-B-13
11	ICC13946	112	ICCV 181113
12	ICC13950	113	ICCV18115
13	ICC13958	114	ICCV 181316
14	ICCX 110055-B-B-31-B-B-B	115	ICCV 181664
15	ICC13963	116	ICCV 181673
16	ICC13964	117	ICCV 181674
17	ICC13972	118	ICCX-06-157-F3-B-24-B-B-
18	ICC13997	119	ICCX-060156-F3-B-22-B-B
19	ICC14002	120	MB-JG11-M2-9-1-B
20	ICC14003	121	ICCX-1200057-B-B-B107-B
21	ICC14005	122	ICCX120058-B-B-B-B-11-B
22	ICC14014	123	ICCX-120046-B-B-B-B-27-B
23	ICC14029	124	ICCX 120046-B-B-B-B-55-B
24	ICC14038	125	ICCX 120046-B-B-B-B-59-B
25	ICC14050	126	ICCX100107-F4-P10-BP-BP
26	ICC14051	127	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-17-B
27	ICC14059	128	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-13-B
28	ICC-14061	129	ICCX 120035-B-B-B-32-B
29	CH-1	130	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-4-B
30	BG3022	131	ICCX 130118-B-B-B-42-B
31	ICCV13618	132	ICCX 130118-B-B-B-45-B
32	ICC14194	133	ICCX 130115-B-B-B5-B
33	JG 14	134	ICCX 110066-B-B-18-B-B-B
34	P 362	135	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-7-B-B
35	WR 315	136	ICCX 120046-B-B-B-3-B-B
36	BG 1103	137	ICCX 110050-B-B-29-B-B-B
37	PG 0109	138	ICCX 11067-B-B-B-B-67-B
38	E 100 4M	139	ICCX 110053-B-B-31-B-B-B
39	H.C. 5	140	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-44--B-B
40	J.G. 11	141	ICCX 110049-B-B-B-B-79-B
41	ICCV13646	142	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-12-B
42	ICCV 13645	143	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-78-B-B
43	ICCV07112	144	ICCX 120046-B-B-B-37-B-B-
44	BGD 72	145	ICCX 120030-B-B-B-61-B-B
45	GL 14054	146	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-158-B-B
46	BGD1075	147	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-26-B-B
47	GL 12021	148	ICCX120040-B-B-B-B-84-B-
48	ICCV 17109	149	ICCX 120045-B-B-B--B-18-B
49	ICC283	150	ICCX 120040-B-B-B-B-1-B-B
50	ICC1161	151	ICCX 120044-B-B-B-B-38-B
51	ICC 1164	152	ICCX 120042-B-B-B-B-11-B

SR. NO./CODE	Accession name	SR. NO./CODE	Accession name
52	ICC1205	153	ICCX 120039-B-B-B-B-16-B
53	ICC 8195	154	ICCX 120044-B-B-B-B-40-B-B
54	ICC 9586	155	ICCX 120057-B-B-33-B-B-B-B
55	ICC11498	156	ICCX 110057-B-B-B-B-5-B-B
56	ICC14402	157	ICCX 10056-B-B-B-B-9-B-B
57	ICC 3239	158	ICCM 10644
58	ICC 7668	159	ICCX-100094-F4-33-B-B-B-B
59	ICC 13187	160	PHULE G-5
60	ICC 13461	161	WCG-1
61	ICC 13441	162	UJJAWAL
62	ICC 13357	163	RSG-888
63	GJ 06	164	ANNIGERI
64	JG 130	165	GNG-1998
65	RVKG 101	166	JG-74
66	TKG 5	167	RVG-204
67	RVKG 115	168	JG-36
68	PKV 4	169	JG-16
69	KAK 2	170	ICC 4934
70	RVSJG 102	171	ICC 11521
71	RVKG111	172	ICC 11320
72	RVG 205	173	ICC 5795
73	JG 315	174	ICC 12437
74	JGK 3	175	ICC 11543
75	JG 322	176	ICC 8515
76	VIJAY	177	ICC 12424
77	RVG202	178	ICC 17255
78	J.G. 12	179	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-16-B-B
79	RVG 201	180	ICCX 120033-B-B-B-B-95-B
80	IPC 04-98	181	ICCX 110031-B-B-B-B-7-B-B
81	IPC 10-134	182	ICCX110056-B-B--B-B-45-B-B
82	IPC 06-77	183	ICCX110031-B-B-B-B-66-B-B
83	IPC 041	184	ICCX110063-B-B--B-B-21-B-B
84	IPC 05-62	185	ICCX110063-B-B-B-B-62-B-B
85	IPC 10-62	186	ICCX 120054-B-B-B-B9-B-B
86	ICCX 140014-B-B-B	187	ICCX 120054-B-B-B-B-25-B-B
87	ICCX 140015-B-B-B	188	ICCX 110062-B-B-B-B-47-B-B
88	ICCX 140022-B-B-B	189	ICCX 1100061-B-B-B-11-B-B
89	ICCX 140031-B-B-B	190	ICCX 130027-B-B-9-B-B
90	ICCX 140112-B-B-B	191	ICCX 130027-B-B-16-B-B
91	ICCX 120175-B-B-B-B-5	192	ICCX 130027-B-B-49-B-B
92	ICCX 120175-B-B-B-B-10	193	ICCX 140002-B-B-4-B-B
93	ICCX 120175-B-B-B-B-29	194	ICCX 130027-B-B-63-B-B
94	ICCX 120176-B-B-B-B-12	195	ICCX 140001-B-B-26-B-B
95	ICCX 120176-B-B-B-B-49	196	ICCX 110067-B-B-B-B-6-B-B

SR. NO./CODE	Accession name	SR. NO./CODE	Accession name
96	ICCX 120176-B-B-B-B-78	197	ICCX 110066-B-B-B-3-B-B
97	ICCX 130008-B-B-B-B-82	198	ICCX 110066-B-B-B-B-64-B-B
98	ICCX 130009-B-B-B-B-5	199	ICCX 110067-B-B-B-B-76-B-B
99	ICCX 130009-B-B-B-B-43	200	ICCX 110066-B-B-B-B-74-B-B
100	ICCX 130009-B-B-B-B-56	201	ICCX 110049-B-B-B-B-50-B-B
101	ICCX 130011-B-B-B-B39	202	ICCX 110066-B-B-B-B-59-B-B
		203	ICCX 110063-B-B-B-B-41-B-B
		204	ICCX 110056-B-B-B-B-18-B-B

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/120204>