



Varietal Evaluation of Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) Cultivars under Agro-climatic Conditions of Prayagraj

Rakshitha. K ^{a++*} and Devi Singh ^{b#}

^a Department of Horticulture (Floriculture and landscaping), Naini Agricultural institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., India.

^b Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, U.P., India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74817>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119227>

Original Research Article

Received: 27/04/2024

Accepted: 01/07/2024

Published: 06/07/2024

ABSTRACT

Aim: An experiment to “Study on Genetic Variability and correlation coefficient of Gladiolus Cultivars Under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj”.

Place and Study of Duration: It was carried out at Horticulture Research Farm, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agriculture Institute during the Winter season of 2023-24.

Study Design: Randomized complete block design.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: krakshitha666@gmail.com;

Cite as: K, Rakshitha., and Devi Singh. 2024. “Varietal Evaluation of Gladiolus (*Gladiolus Grandiflorus* L.) Cultivars under Agro-Climatic Conditions of Prayagraj”. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science* 36 (7):1034-42. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ijpss/2024/v36i74817>.

Methodology: The analysis of variance for different quantitative characters are with significant differences among the genotypes for parameters like growth, flowering and corm yield per plant of gladiolus. The highest corms yield/plant (g/plant) of genotype was observed in White prosperity (111.37). While lowest corms yield/plant (g/plant) was observed for Tambri (55.47). High magnitude of GCV and PCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (20.396 and 21.71). In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), corm weight / plot (g) (126.02), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (166.38), weight of daughter corm (70.43), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) and no. of cormels per hectare (1349073.89). In the present investigation, the genetic advance as mean percentage estimates were found to be high for number of leaves per plant at 30 das (20.27), days taken for corm sprouting (31.48), no. of days taken for first floret open (31.38), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (27.03), weight of daughter corm (39.46) and no. of cormels per hectare (21.70). Genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficient analysis revealed that Corms weight/plant (g) showed positive significant association with plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of shoot per plant, rachis length (cm), no. of floret per spike, no. of spike per plant, floret diameter (cm), spike length (cm), vase life (days), corm weight / plot (g), weight of mother corm / plot (g), weight of daughter corm, corm diameter (cm), no. of corm per hectare and no. of cormels per hectare at both levels genotypic and phenotypic.

Keywords: *Gladiolus grandifloras*; GCV; PCV; heritability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.), the queen of the bulbous ornamentals, is the leading geophytes grown worldwide for cut flower trade and garden displays. It occupies 5th place in international cut flower trade among the geophytes in international florist trade and first in domestic bulbous flower trade India has suitable agro-climatic conditions for gladiolus cultivation, it is being grown over an area of 11660 ha with a production of 106 Crore spikes (agricoop.nic.in).

There are about 260 species of gladiolus, out of these 250 species are native to sub-Saharan Africa, mostly South Africa and about 10 species are native to Eurasia. The modern hybrids have been derived from at least 12 species which are now called as *Gladiolus grandiflorus*.

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) also referred to as the "Queen of bulbous flowers," is a plant native to South Africa and a member of the Iridaceae family with the somatic chromosome number $2n = 2x = 30$. The gladiolus is a beautiful cut flower with fascinating spikes and florets of bright colors, attractive shapes and a range of sizes that open up gradually from the bottom to the top. Since the beginning of

civilization gladiolus flowers have been connected to people [1-4].

They stand for beauty, peace and love. It is often referred to as a "Sword lily" because of the foliage's sword-like form. Gladiolus leaves are narrowly linear, flattened at the sides and sheathed at the base. The stem is herbaceous. The flowers are actinomorphic, bisexual, with three stamens on the petaloid perianth and have a fully developed ovary. A food-storing underground stem known as a "corm" serves as the gladiolus's means of reproduction. Small new corms and cormels or cormlets are formed from the base as the new offspring corm develops on top of the old one. The main method of gladiolus reproduction is by the use of corms and cormels.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location and Source of Experiment

The present investigation was carried out during Rabi season 2023 at Farm of Department of Horticulture at Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Naini, Prayagraj Uttar Pradesh. Genetic materials were consisted of 22 varieties (Table 1).

Table 1. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design (RBD) with three replications

S. No.	Notation	Genotypes
1	V1	Pusa Sindhuri
2	V2	Pusa Suhagin
3	V3	Red Ginger
4	V4	Red Majesty
5	V5	Sancerre
6	V6	Shahanoda
7	V7	Shobha
8	V8	Smoky Lady
9	V9	Snow Princess
10	V10	Solan Shrinagar
11	V11	Souvik Biscuits
12	V12	Surya kiran
13	V13	Swarnima
14	V14	Sweta
15	V15	Tambri
16	V16	Urmi
17	V17	Vicky Lin
18	V18	Wedding Creamby
19	V19	White Prosperity
20	V20	Yellow Stone
21	V21	Suchitra
22	V22	Summer Sunshine

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance showed significant differences among the genotypes for the 22 characters studied analysis of variance showed significant difference among the genotypes for the different characters at 1% significance. The mean sum of squares due to genotypes showed significant differences for all characters under study except days taken for emergence of flower spike and days taken to show color of basal floret. In other words, the performance of the genotypes with respect to these characters was statistically different, suggesting scope for growth, flowering and corm yield characters improvement in Gladiolus. The present investigation confirms the earlier finding Bhujbal et al., [5], and Blamuurugan et al., (2002).

3.1 Genotypic Coefficient of Variation (GCV)

Wide range of genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was observed for the characters ranging Weight of daughter corm (20.39) to No. of days taken for last floret open (3.53). High magnitude of GCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (20.396). While as moderate estimates were observed for no. of cormels per hectare (13.03), corm yield/plant (g) (10.56), no. of corm

per hectare (10.93), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (15.01), no. of days taken for first floret open (17.10), days taken for corm sprouting (16.77) and number of leaves per plant at 30 das (12.02). Similar findings were also reported by Bhujbal et al., [5], Blamuurugan et al., [6], Kumar et al., [7], Naresh et al., [8], and Mishra [9].

3.2 Phenotypic Coefficient of Variation (PCV)

Wide range of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was observed for the characters ranging from Weight of daughter corm (21.71) to No. of days taken for last floret open (6.36). High magnitude of PCV were recorded for weight of daughter corm (21.71). While as moderate estimates were observed for Weight of mother corm / plot (g) (17.17), Corm diameter (cm) (10.08), No. of corm per hectare (14.29), No. of cormels per hectare (16.13), Corm Yield/Plant (g) (14.77), Plant height (30 das) (11.43), Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS (14.69), Days taken for corm sprouting (18.39), No. of Days taken for first floret open (19.20), Corm weight / plot (g) (12.30). Similar findings were also reported by Bhujbal et al., [5], Blamuurugan et al., [6], Kumar et al., [7], Naresh et al., [8], Mishra [9] and Pattanaik et al. [10].

Table 2. Analysis of variance for 25 different growth, flowering and corm yield of Gladiolus

SI.No.	Source	Anova Summary		
		Mean Sum of Squares (MSS)		
		Degrees of freedom	Replication	Treatment
		2	21	42
1	Plant height (30 das)	11.110	35.923**	11.37
2	Plant height (60 das)	31.9460	44.4*	20.524
3	Plant height (90 das)	82.640	110.569**	41.414
4	Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS	0.1190	0.917**	0.13
5	Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS	0.0740	1.237**	0.199
6	Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS	0.2220	1.503**	0.633
7	no. of shoot per plant	0.0090	0.037**	0.008
8	Days taken for corm sprouting	0.0150	2.237**	0.142
9	Rachis length (cm)	1.4280	48.113**	12.889
10	Days for spike emergence	52.4090	91.307**	18.504
11	Days for colour break stage	2.2880	112.214**	38.129
12	No. of Floret Per Spike	0.6260	1.153**	0.438
13	No. of spike per plant	0.0020	0.02**	0.005
14	No. of Days taken for first floret open	34.5760	584.914**	46.721
15	No. of days taken for last floret open	0.7220	0.846**	0.363
16	Floret diameter (cm)	0.5690	2.371**	0.794
17	Spike length (cm)	170.024*	142.352**	47.449
18	Vase life (days)	0.7670	0.823**	0.25
19	Corm weight / plot (g)	396.7520	19938.246**	2923.948
20	Weight of mother corm / plot (g)	3302.3440	28235.022**	2631.033
21	Weight of daughter corm	219.4040	4150.58**	176.55
22	Corm diameter (cm)	0.1590	0.337**	0.127
23	No. of corm per hectare	610407435.5910	2698662740.664**	515543684
24	No. of cormels per hectare	12709124297.50	2319460755283.19**	3.49E11
25	Corm Yield/Plant (g)	55.9750	392.346**	94.839

Table 3. Mean performance of 22 genotypes for growth, flowering characters of Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.)

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Plant height (30 das)	Plant height (60 das)	Plant height (90 das)	Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS	Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS	Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS	no. of shoot per plant
1	PusaSindhuri	35.86	64.15	117.26	4.40	7.80	9.44	1.44
2	PusaSuhagin	35.20	63.51	111.21	4.53	8.02	9.84	1.43
3	Red Ginger	37.96	62.72	101.26	4.26	7.63	9.83	1.34
4	Red Majesty	42.64	64.48	116.55	3.96	7.68	9.54	1.42
5	Sancerre	35.93	63.34	114.07	3.66	7.66	9.84	1.34
6	Shahanoda	37.29	60.34	109.90	3.89	7.87	10.04	1.45
7	Shobha	40.39	69.39	113.67	4.17	7.45	10.32	1.41
8	Smoky Lady	44.18	70.00	119.96	5.27	8.40	10.59	1.49
9	Snow Princess	39.16	65.88	109.66	4.46	7.52	10.02	1.32
10	SolanShrinagar	36.61	65.78	109.96	4.54	7.08	9.65	1.29
11	Souvik Biscuits	44.60	70.93	121.58	5.31	8.50	10.69	1.59
12	Suryakiran	37.28	63.99	111.59	4.27	7.70	10.42	1.38
13	Swarnima	35.76	62.35	115.07	4.01	7.54	10.29	1.32
14	Sweta	37.29	65.08	109.55	3.79	7.34	10.26	1.35
15	Tambri	32.39	53.88	96.96	3.19	5.44	7.26	1.16
16	Urmi	37.44	63.37	118.04	3.46	7.84	9.74	1.42
17	Vicky Lin	42.40	66.21	108.43	4.19	6.79	10.00	1.29
18	Wedding Creamby	36.60	65.46	107.42	4.43	7.47	10.15	1.37
19	White Prosperity	46.14	72.32	122.40	5.36	8.63	10.86	1.71
20	Yellow Stone	40.49	64.89	108.85	4.44	7.42	9.93	1.30
21	Suchitra	37.33	62.57	113.18	3.87	7.80	10.07	1.41
22	Summer Sunshine	38.04	63.13	110.54	4.28	7.50	9.42	1.38
Mean		38.68	64.72	112.14	4.26	7.59	9.92	1.39
CV		8.72	7.00	5.74	8.45	5.88	8.02	6.24
SEm		1.95	2.62	3.72	0.21	0.26	0.46	0.05
CD at 5%		5.56	7.46	10.60	0.59	0.74	1.31	0.14
Minimum		32.39	53.88	96.96	3.19	5.44	7.26	1.16
Maximum		46.14	72.32	122.40	5.36	8.63	10.86	1.71
Treatment		S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table 4. 25 different growth, flowering and corm yield of Gladiolus

Sl. No.	Genotypes	Days taken for corm sprouting	Rachis length (cm)	Days for spike emergence	Days for colour break stage	No. of Floret Per Spike	No. of spike per plant	No. of Days taken for first floret open	No. of days taken for last floret open
1	PusaSindhuri	5.00	56.86	79.00	85.67	10.57	1.24	68.17	11.38
2	PusaSuhagin	5.33	55.54	74.33	81.00	11.10	1.26	69.31	11.13
3	Red Ginger	5.00	56.41	76.00	83.33	10.81	1.27	77.30	11.24
4	Red Majesty	5.00	53.68	72.67	80.00	10.82	1.26	79.83	11.97
5	Sancerre	5.00	54.65	76.00	82.33	10.62	1.28	88.96	11.64
6	Shahanoda	6.00	50.98	74.33	80.67	10.66	1.24	89.49	11.49
7	Shobha	5.00	51.33	76.33	84.67	11.50	1.19	81.58	11.73
8	Smoky Lady	3.67	59.80	68.00	74.33	11.97	1.31	51.20	10.72
9	Snow Princess	5.00	52.51	77.33	85.00	10.58	1.13	91.56	11.57
10	SolanShrinagar	5.33	54.38	80.00	88.67	11.16	1.15	69.27	11.46
11	Souvik Biscuits	3.00	60.77	66.67	72.67	12.18	1.35	50.19	10.57
12	Suryakiran	5.00	52.95	77.67	84.33	10.99	1.19	85.72	11.07
13	Swarnima	5.00	50.80	75.33	82.00	11.44	1.09	87.00	11.25
14	Sweta	5.33	51.67	77.67	85.00	11.83	1.20	84.16	11.32
15	Tambri	7.00	42.74	92.00	100.33	9.69	1.05	97.75	13.11
16	Urmi	5.33	52.76	75.67	82.33	10.88	1.16	88.37	11.00
17	Vicky Lin	5.00	52.96	80.00	87.67	10.86	1.15	78.39	11.46
18	Wedding Creamby	4.67	53.57	80.33	88.00	10.64	1.15	81.55	11.27
19	White Prosperity	3.00	62.12	64.00	69.67	12.30	1.40	48.35	10.51
20	Yellow Stone	5.33	51.35	77.67	85.33	10.49	1.23	92.90	11.62
21	Suchitra	5.33	52.23	76.00	82.33	10.84	1.20	87.86	11.19
22	Summer Sunshine	5.33	54.17	77.00	84.00	10.82	1.23	73.93	11.59
Mean		4.98	53.83	76.09	83.15	11.03	1.22	78.31	11.38
CV		7.56	6.67	5.65	7.43	6.00	5.64	8.73	5.29
SEm		0.22	2.07	2.48	3.57	0.38	0.04	3.95	0.35
CD at 5%		0.62	5.92	7.09	10.17	1.09	0.11	11.26	0.99
Minimum		3.00	42.74	64.00	69.67	9.69	1.05	48.35	10.51
Maximum		7.00	62.12	92.00	100.33	12.30	1.40	97.75	13.11
Treatment		S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S

Table 5. Estimation of component of variance and genetic parameters for 25 character growth, flowering and corm yield of 22 genotypes in Gladiolus

SI.No.	Genetic Parameters	GCV	PCV	h ² (Broad Sense)	GA	GAM
1	Plant height (30 das)	7.40	11.43	41.853	3.81	9.86
2	Plant height (60 das)	4.36	8.25	27.942	3.07	4.75
3	Plant height (90 das)	4.28	7.16	35.759	5.91	5.27
4	Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS	12.02	14.69	66.959	0.86	20.27
5	Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS	7.75	9.72	63.428	0.97	12.71
6	Number of leaves per plant at 90 DAS	5.43	9.69	31.421	0.62	6.27
7	no. of shoot per plant	7.14	9.48	56.704	0.15	11.08
8	Days taken for corm sprouting	16.77	18.39	83.09	1.57	31.48
9	Rachis length (cm)	6.37	9.22	47.671	4.87	9.05
10	Days for spike emergence	6.47	8.60	56.737	7.64	10.05
11	Days for colour break stage	5.98	9.53	39.308	6.42	7.72
12	No. of Floret Per Spike	4.43	7.45	35.28	0.60	5.42
13	No. of spike per plant	5.82	8.11	51.565	0.11	8.61
14	No. of Days taken for first floret open	17.10	19.20	79.338	24.58	31.38
15	No. of days taken for last floret open	3.53	6.36	30.742	0.46	4.03
16	Floret diameter (cm)	5.51	8.74	39.823	0.94	7.17
17	Spike length (cm)	5.15	8.13	40.001	7.33	6.70
18	Vase life (days)	4.75	7.22	43.263	0.59	6.44
19	Corm weight / plot (g)	9.99	12.30	65.982	126.02	16.71
20	Weight of mother corm / plot (g)	15.01	17.17	76.436	166.38	27.03
21	Weight of daughter corm	20.39	21.71	88.24	70.43	39.46
22	Corm diameter (cm)	6.01	10.08	35.492	0.32	7.37
23	No. of corm per hectare	10.93	14.29	58.533	42515.19	17.23
24	No. of cormels per hectare	13.03	16.13	65.298	1349073.89	21.70
25	Corm Yield/Plant (g)	10.56	14.77	51.116	14.67	15.55

3.3 Heritability

The heritability estimates were found to be high (more than 75%). The high heritability in broad sense was observed for the characters viz. weight of daughter corm (88.24), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (76.436), no. of days taken for first floret open (79.33) and days taken for corm sprouting (83.09). While as moderate estimates were observed for Number of leaves per plant at 30 DAS (66.959), Number of leaves per plant at 60 DAS (63.428), Corm weight / plot (g) (65.982) and No. of cormels per hectare (65.298). The present findings are in accordance with the findings of Mahanta and Paswan [11], Balaram et al., [12], Deepti [13], Balamurugan et al., [6], Pratap and Rao [14], Balaram and Janakiram [15], Bhujbal et al., [5], Archana et al., [16], Choudhary et al., [17], Naresh et al., [8], and Singh et al., [18].

3.4 Genetic Advance

In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), corm weight / plot (g) (126.02), weight of mother corm / plot (g) (166.38), weight of daughter corm (70.43), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) and no. of cormels per hectare (1349073.89). The results was also in accordance with the findings of Sing et al., [18], Naresh et al. [8], Bhujbal et al. [5] and Kumar et al. [7].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the present investigation it was concluded that the high magnitude of heritability (in broad sense) coupled with high genetic gain was observed for most of traits exhibiting additive genetic effect. The analysis of variance for different quantitative characters revealed significant differences among the genotypes for parameters like growth, flowering and corm yield per plant of gladiolus. In the present study the heritability estimates in broad sense were classified into 3 groups such as high (>75%), moderate (60% - 75%), low (<60%). In the present investigation, the genetic advance estimates were found to be high for no. of days taken for first floret open (24.58), no. of corm per hectare (42515.19) In the present investigation, the genetic advance as mean percentage estimates were found to be high for number of leaves per plant at 30 das (20.27), days taken for corm sprouting (31.48), no. of days taken for first floret open (31.38). Genotypic and phenotypic

correlation coefficient analysis revealed that Corms's weight/plant (g) showed positive significant association with plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, number of shoots per plant, rachis length (cm), no. of floret per spike, no. of spike per plant, floret diameter (cm), spike length (cm), vase life (days), no. of corm per hectare at both levels genotypic and phenotypic.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my gratitude to my Advisor Dr. Devi Singh for constant support, guidance and valuable suggestions for improving the quality of this research work and to all the faculty members of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh for providing all necessary facilities, their cooperation, encouragement and support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Srinidhi R, Singh D. Study on evaluating genetic variability of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cultivars under Agro-climatic conditions of Prayagraj. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2024 May 18;46(6):647-55.
2. Singh V, Singh D, Wesley J. Study of Evaluation of Genetic Variability of Gladiolus Cultivars under Agro-climatic Conditions of Prayagraj, India. Int. J. Plant Soil Sci. 2023 Aug. 19 [cited 2024 Jun. 17];35(19):327-35. Available: <https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/article/view/3559>
3. Schwab NT, Streck NA, Becker CC, Langner JA, Uhlmann LO, Ribeiro BS. A phenological scale for the development of Gladiolus. Annals of Applied Biology. 2015 May;166(3):496-507.
4. Kim YB, Park SY, Park CH, Park WT, Kim SJ, Ha SH, Arasu MV, Al-Dhabi NA, Kim

- JK, Park SU. Metabolomics of differently colored Gladiolus cultivars. Applied Biological Chemistry. 2016 Aug;59:597-607.
5. Bhujbal GB, Chavan NG, Mehetre SS. Evaluation of genetic variability heritability and genetic advances in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) genotypes. The Bioscan. 2013;8(4):1515-1520.
 6. Balamurugan Rengasamy P, Arumugam T. Variability studies in gladiolus. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2002;5(1):38-39.
 7. Kumar J, Kumar R, Pal K. Variability and character association in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). Agricultural Science Digest. 2011;31:280-284.
 8. Naresh S, Dorajee AVD Rao, Vijaya V Baskhar, Paratpara M Rao, Uma K Krishna. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in Gladiolus hybrids. Plant Archives. 2015;15:377-381.
 9. Mishra P, Singh AK, Singh OP. Genetic variability, heritability, Genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in gladiolus. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2014;7:23-26.
 10. Pattanaik S, Paul A, Lenka PC. Genotypic and phenotypic variability and correlation studies in gladiolus. Journal Crop and Weed. 2015;11(1):113-119.
 11. Mahanta P, Paswan L. Studies on variability and heritability of some quantitative characters in Gladiolus. South Indian Horticulture. 1995;41(3):166-168.
 12. Balam MV, Janakiram T. Genetic variability studies in gladiolus genotypes. Karnataka Journal of Horticulture. 2000;1(1):96-99.
 13. Deepti. Co-efficient of variation, heritability and expected genetic advance in gladiolus. Proceedings of the National Conferences on Gladiolus. 2000;70-71.
 14. Pratap M, Rao MA. Assessment and variability studies in gladiolus. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2006;9(2):145-147.
 15. Balam MV, Janakiram T. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in gladiolus. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2009;12(1):22-29.
 16. Archana B, Patil A, Patil VS. Studies on Genetic variability analysis in gladiolus hybrids. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2008;11(2):121-126.
 17. Choudhary M, Moond SK, Kumari A, Beniwal BS. Genetic variability in quantitative characters of Gladiolus. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012;8(1):138-141.
 18. Singh D, Mishra A, Meena B. Genetic variability studies in Gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybridus* hort.) under sub humid condition of Rajasthan. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2017;7:523-528.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/119227>