

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 5, Page 254-268, 2023; Article no.IJECC.97186 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

## Biological Control of Groundnut Stem Rot and Collar Rot Pathogens under *in vitro* Conditions

V. Divya Rani<sup>a\*</sup>, Hari Sudini<sup>b</sup>, P. Narayan Reddy<sup>c</sup>, G. Uma Devi<sup>a</sup>, K. Sadaiah<sup>d</sup> and K. Vijay Krishna Kumar<sup>a,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana (500030), India.

<sup>b</sup> International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, Telengana (502324), India.

<sup>c</sup> Anurag Agricultural University, Venkatapur, Ghatkesar Rd, Hyderabad, Telangana (500088), India. <sup>d</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Hyderabad, Telangana (500030), India.

<sup>e</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Acharya N G Ranga Agricultural University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh (522034), India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i51767

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97186

> Received: 24/01/2023 Accepted: 26/03/2023 Published: 31/03/2023

Original Research Article

#### ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted under laboratory conditions by using native isolates. These were tested against stem rot (*S. rolfsii*) and collar rot (*A. niger*) pathogens of groundnut under *in vitro* conditions by using dual culture technique. The fungal and bacterial bioagents which are inhibitory against these pathogens were identified by 18S rRNA (fungi) and 16S rRNA (bacteria) techniques and were compared with those from the GenBank using the BLAST program. Among fungal isolates *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) was superior in inhibition of *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger* and the per cent inhibitions were 70.5% in case *S. rolfsii* whereas; in *A. niger* the inhibition was 72.9 per cent.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: divyavallapu@gmail.com;

Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 254-268, 2023

Among native bacterial isolates the isolate B. amyloliauifaciens (MBNRB-3) and is significantly superior over the other isolates in inhibiting the pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger under in vitro conditions and the inhibitions were 66.6 per cent and 63.0 per cent respectively. Further, compatibility of effective fungal and bacterial bioagents T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and B. amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) with six fungicides and eight herbicides indicated that among the fungicides the azoxystrobin was highly compatible with both the bioagents T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and *B. amyloliquifaciens* (MBNRB-3) whereas, among the herbicides imazethapyr + imazamox was found to be compatible with both the bioagents with all the concentrations. While, mancozeb+carbendazim (fungicides) quizolofop-p-ethvl tebuconazole. thiram. and and pendimethalin (herbicides) were highly inhibitory to the T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and B. amyloliguifaciens (MBNRB-3) under in vitro conditions.

Keywords: Biological control; groundnut; stem rot; collar rot; compatibility; fungicides; herbicides.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an important food legume grown in Asia and Sub Saharan Africa. Several soilborne diseases like, stem rot, collar rot and root rot causes severe vield losses in groundnut. In general management of soilborne diseases in crop plants is very difficult. Among various methods biological control is the most important method in managing Soilborne diseases. Several success stories have been reported regarding biological control of crop diseases using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and Trichoderma spp. in groundnut against the soilborne diseases [1,2] and (Sharma et al. 2011).

The use of biological control methods in soilborne disease management either alone or in conjunction with other methods can be a sustainable option in groundnut. Weindling [3] reported that the culture filtrate of T. lignorum was toxic to many soilborne fungi like S. rolfsii, A. niger, R. solani, M. phaseolina etc. Species of Trichoderma are widely distributed in soils and act through all the possible modes of antagonism such as antibiosis. competition and mycoparasitism [4-6]. Among different PGPR, Bacillus spp. are the gram positive bacteria that are antagonistic to several soilborne plant pathogens [7]. Besides significant reduction in the soilborne pathogen population these bio agents also effective in enhancing crop yields in several crops including groundnut [8,9]. Use of fungicide resistant strains of these bioagents is of extreme importance especially in the ambit of integrated disease management, because when these bioagents are juxtaposed with chemical fungicides, their growth, multiplication and thus the efficacy can be reduced significantly. Several researchers have established the development of pesticide resistant bioagents [10,11]. In this

context, the use of fungicide resistant bioagents in plant disease management assumes significance. The efficacy of bioagents can further be enhanced when used conjunctively with reduced dosages of fungicides [12,13].

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The rhizosphere microflora was isolated by following the serial dilution plate technique [14]. Several fungi like were isolated from rhizosphere but the colonies resembling the *Trichoderma* sp and bacterial species were isolated, purified and were used to evaluate their antagonistic effect against *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger*. The isolates were designated to indicate the district from which they have isolated. One day old colonies of bacteria were picked up and purified by streak plate method. Different bacteria isolated from the rhizosphere were transferred to the culture plates containing appropriate media for further examination and also designated according to the district collected.

# 2.1 Screening of the Native Microflora against Sclerotium rolfsii and Aspergillus niger

The antagonistic activity of native bacterial and fungal isolates was determined by dual culture technique [15].

#### 2.1.1 Fungal Isolates

Twenty ml of luke warm sterilized PDA was poured in 90 mm petriplates. Culture discs (5 mm) of rhizosphere fungal isolate and pathogen were taken from the margin of the actively growing cultures and transferred onto the solidified PDA on opposite sides approximately at one cm from the wall of the petriplate. A total of three replications were maintained for each fungal isolate and the petriplate without the fungal isolate served as control and all the inoculated petriplates were incubated at  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. The growth of the test pathogen and the ability of the antagonist to inhibit the pathogen were recorded by periodical observations.

#### 2.1.2 Bacterial isolates

A dual culture plate technique was conducted for testing the efficacy of bacterial isolates against S. rolfsii and A. niger. Mycelium discs of 5 mm diameter were cut from the perifery of an actively growing fungal colony with a cork borer, and one disc was placed in the centre of each petriplate containing PDA. Two parallel streaks of bacteria 3.5 cm long were then made 2 cm apart on opposite sides of the mycelial disc. The uninoculated with the selective bacterial isolate served as control. The plates were incubated at 28 ± 2°C. The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with four replications for each treatment.

The per cent growth reduction (I) of the test pathogen was calculated when the growth of the test pathogen was full in control plates by using the formula given below.

$$I = \frac{C-T}{C} \times 100$$

Wherein,

- I = Per cent growth reduction of test pathogen
- C = Radial growth of test pathogen in control (mm)
- T = Radial growth of test pathogen in treatment (mm)

The potential fungal and bacterial antagonists against *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger* were selected and used for further studies

#### 2.2 Identification of Rhizosphere Microflora

Pure cultures of the native rhizosperic fungal and bacterial isolates (the isolates which inhibit the test pathogens under dual culture assay) were grown on potato dextrose agar and nutrient agar slopes for four days. These cultures were sent to Macrogen Inc. Seoul, Korea for sequencing. The sequences obtained (through 18S rRNA (fungi) and 16S rRNA (bacteria) technique) were compared with those from the GenBank using the BLAST program [16], aligned using the ClustalW software [17], and phylogenetic trees inferred using the neighbor-joining method [18]. Bootstrap analysis was performed to estimate statistical stability of the branches in the cluster with 1000 replicates using MEGA version 6 programme [19].

#### 2.3 Compatibility of Potential Biocontrol agents with Fungicides and Herbicides

Based on dual culture studies, the potential fungal biocontrol agent against stem rot and collar rot was tested for its compatibility against commonly used fungicides and herbicides at recommended and half recommended doses by following poisoned food technique on PDA medium as described by Nene and Thapaliyal [20].

The per cent inhibition was measured by using the formula:

$$I = \frac{C - T}{C} \times 100$$

Wherein,

I = Per cent inhibition of mycelia growthC = Colony diameter in control (mm)

T = Colony diameter treatment (mm)

Similarly the compatibility of potential bacterial bioagent with fungicides and herbicides was spectrophotometric method by tested by measuring the optical density, using a UV Spectrophotometer. A loopful of antagonistic bacterial culture was inoculated in to the conical flask (250ml) containing Nutrient Broth and incubated overnight in an incubator shaker at 28±2°C at 180 rpm and then 50 µl of antagonistic bacteria culture was added to 250 mL conical flasks containing nutrient broth along with different fungicides and herbicides. Inoculated flasks were incubated at 28±2°C in incubator shaker rotation at 180 rpm. Bacterial growth was determined by measuring optical density (OD) at 610 nm after 24 hours of incubation. Each treatment consisted of three flasks per individual replication. The nutrient broth without fungicide/herbicide served as control and per cent inhibition was calculated. The experiment was conducted in Completely Randomized Block Design (CRBD) with four replications for each treatment.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the rhizosphere isolates were screened against *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger* under *in vitro* condition to test their antagonistic potential by dual culture technique. The antagonistic effect of different native isolates was assessed based on their ability to inhibit the pathogen growth and development.

#### 3.1 Evaluation of Rhizosphere Fungal Isolates on Growth of S. *rolfsii* and *A. niger* under *in vitro* Conditions

#### 3.1.1 Sclerotium rolfsii

In vitro evaluation of native fungal isolates indicated that all the tested isolates were inhibitory to the growth of S. rolfsii per (Table 1). Hiahest cent inhibition (70.58%) of S. rolfsii was noticed with the native bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) followed by MBNRT-2 (68.62%). These were found to be superior over other isolates with no significant difference. The next best inhibitions were found with the isolates ATPT-5, CHTT-2, MBNRT-4 and ATPT-1 and the inhibitions were in the range 58.43 to 61.17% with no significant difference among these isolates. The isolates ATPT-3, ATPT-2 and commercial (T. viride) were also effective in inhibiting the radial growth of S. rolfsii and the inhibition percentage was up to 57.25 and no significant difference among these isolates. Rest of the isolates (MBNRT-3, WGLT-1, WGLT-2 and ATPT-4) were shown inhibitions below 50 per cent and the inhibition varied

from 28.62 to 45.48 per cent and the difference among these isolates were significant. Least inhibition of *S. rolfsii* was observed with isolates WGLT-2 and ATPT-4 and the inhibitions were 30.19 and 28.62 per cent respectively with no significant difference. Overall, the isolates T6 and T7 were highly effective in inhibiting the radial growth of *S. rolfsii* under *in vitro* conditions.

#### 3.1.2 Aspergillus niger

It is evident from the results (Table 1) that all the tested isolates were more or less effective in inhibiting the radial growth of A. niger under in vitro conditions. The native bioagent Τ. harzianum (MBNRT-1) was found to be superior in inhibiting the radial growth of A. niger by 72.9 per cent. The isolates ATPT-2, ATPT-1, CHTT-2, ATPT-5 and ATPT-3 also inhibit the radial growth A. niger by 69, 66.7 (ATPT-1, CHTT-2, ATPT-5) and 66.3 per cent respectively but significant differences were not observed among these isolates. The next best inhibitions were obtained with the isolates MBNRT-4, CHTT-1, ATPT-4, MBNRT-2, WGLT-1 and T. viride (Commercial) and the inhibitions varied from 56.6 to 63.9 per cent and there was no significant difference among these isolates. Among all the isolates tested least inhibition was recorded by the isolates WGLT-2 and MBNRT-3 with 54.9 per cent and 54 per cent respectively and were on par with each other. Overall, T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) was found to be superior in inhibiting the radial growth of A. niger under in vitro conditions.



Fig. 1. Effect of native *Trichoderma* isolates on radial growth of *Sclerotium rolfsii* under *in vitro* conditions

Rani et al.; Int. J. Environ. Clim. Change, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 254-268, 2023; Article no.IJECC.97186



Fig. 2. Effect of native bioagent *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-3) on the growth of *A. niger* under *in vitro* conditions

Table 1. Evaluation of native fungal	isolates against Sclerotium rolfsii and Aspergillus niger				
under <i>in vitro</i> conditions					

Fungal isolate	Identification by using 18S <i>r</i> RNA technique	Inhibition of test pathogen over control (%)	
(Identity Number)		Sclerotium rolfsii	Aspergillus niger
ATPT-1	Trichoderma viride strain SBTTv-001(2)	58.43 (49.83)	66.7 (54.73)*
ATPT-2	Trichoderma harzianum strain K Air-15	56.07 (48.47)	69.0 (56.15)
ATPT-3	Trichoderma asperellum strain T42	57.25 (49.15)	66.3 (54.48)
ATPT-4	Trichoderma harzianum strain BpT10a	28.62 (32.32)	62.0 (52.27)
ATPT-5	Trichoderma virens isolate Tc13	61.17 (51.44)	66.7 (54.72)
MBNRT-1	Trichoderma harzianum strain CEN830	70.58 (57.19)	72.9 (58.63)
MBNRT-2	Penicillium marneffei isolate M22	68.62 (55.92)	60.0 (50.89)
MBNRT-3	Trichoderma sp	45.48 (42.39)	54.0 (47.28)
MBNRT-4	Trichoderma harzianum strain CEN830	58.82 (50.08)	63.9 (53.06)
CHTT-1	Trichoderma strigosum strain T83	69.01 (56.15)	62.7 (52.37)
CHTT-2	Trichoderma harzianum isolate T-HV1	60.00 (50.74)	66.7 (54.71)
WGLT-1	Penicillium sp. 4 TMS-2011	37.64 (37.82)	56.9 (48.93)
WGLT-2	Trichoderma asperellum strain SBTT-076	30.19 (33.30)	54.9 (47.82)
T. viride (Com	mercial)	55.68 (48.24)	56.6 (48.78)
Control		0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
CD at 5%		2.938	7.370
SE(d)		1.427	3.602
SE(m)		1.009	2.547
CV %		3.690	9.008

\*Values in the parenthesis are angular transformed values and are mean of three replications

Chemical control methods are extensively used in agriculture for the management of plant pathogens. However, due to the hazardous effects of these chemicals on human health and also on environment there is a need to go for alternate strategy. Biological control of soilborne diseases on the other hand is a sustainable, ecofriendly safe option and is widely reported on several [21,22]. Among these biocontrol agents the fungal bioagent *Trichoderma* sp is the most promising one and it is effective against wide range of pathogens [23]. In the present study also there was a profound effect with native fungal isolates on the test pathogens *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger* and all the native isolates have shown considerable inhibition against the test pathogens over the control. The isolate *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) recorded the highest inhibition of S. rolfsii (70.58 %) and A. niger (72.9%) and was also effective than the commercial bioagent Trichoderma viride where the inhibitions up to 56% against both the pathogens. The mode of inhibition of plant pathogens by Trichoderma is mainly due to mycoparasitism, competition or through production of antibiotics. Hyper parasitism is the phenomenon where the bioagent main Trichoderma coils the hyphae of the test pathogen [24] and causes lysis or breakdown of hyphae due production of extracellular lytic enzymes like  $\beta$  (1,3) glucanases, chitinases, lipases and proteases etc. which bring about lysis of host cell wall [25]. Apart from these lytic enzymes Trichoderma also produces some volatile and non-volatile compounds which will reduce the growth of target pathogen [15,25]. Present studies also showed the inhibition of pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger which may be due to the production of these extracellular lytic enzymes and volatile compounds by the Trichoderma sp under in vitro conditions or may be due to the competition. Similar type of inhibition was observed with Trichoderma species against S. rolfsii [26,27] and A. niger [28-30] by several workers where they also confirmed mechanisms the which by Trichoderma inhibits the growth of these pathogens.

#### 3.2 Antagonistic Effect of Rhizosphere Bacterial Isolates on *S. rolfsii* and *A. niger* under *in vitro* Conditions

#### 3.2.1 Sclerotium rolfsii

Evaluation of Bacillus sp and Pseudomonas sp isolates indicated that all the isolates are more or less inhibitory to the pathogen S. rolfsii (Table 2). Highest inhibition 66.6 per cent of S. rolfsii was obtained with B. amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) was found to be superior over the other isolates. The next best isolates were WGLB-1, WGLB-2 and ATPB-2 with an inhibition of 63.7, 63.1 and 61.2% respectively and differences among these isolates were non significant. The isolates ATPB-1, MBNRB-4, MBNRB-2, commercial Bacillus sp and ATPB-3 were also effective in inhibiting the radial growth of S. rolfsii and the inhibition was 54.1 to 56.86% with no significant difference among these isolates. Isolate MBNRB-1 and ATPB-4 were also effective in inhibiting the radial growth of S. rolfsii and the inhibition was 45 and 36.8% respectively with significant differences between them. Overall, the B. amyloliguifaciens

(MBNRB-3) was superior among all the isolates in inhibiting the radial growth of *S. rolfsii*.

#### 3.2.2 Aspergillus niger

It is evident from the results (Table 2) that among isolates the bacterial the isolate В. amvloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) was hiahlv effective (63.08%) in inhibiting the radial growth of A. niger and this was followed by ATPB-2 (62.67%) and there was a significant difference between these two isolates and also differed significantly with the rest of the isolates. The next best inhibition was obtained with the isolates WGLB-1 (58.33%) and ATP-1 (58%) with no significant difference. The isolates ATPB-3 and MBNRB-2 were also effective in inhibiting the pathogen and the inhibition was up to 54 per cent. Rest of the isolates ATPB-4, MBNRB-1, MBNRB-4, commercial Bacillus sp and WGLB-2 were also relatively effective in inhibiting the radial growth of the A. niger and the inhibition was 38.21 per cent to 47.08 per cent. Overall, the isolate B. amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) was superior among all the isolates in inhibiting the radial growth of A. niger under in vitro conditions.

In the past bacterial bioagents were utilized because of their antifungal activity against several plant pathogens especially soilborne pathogens [31]. These bacterial bioagents are broad spectrum in nature and controls several soilborne fungi. Among these Bacillus and fluorescent Pseudomonads are the important bioagents which inhibit the growth of several soilborne pathogens under in vitro as well as in vivo conditions [32]. Bacterial bioagents inhibits the pathogens mainly due to the production of antimicrobial proteins namely, bacteriocins. chitinases, glucanases etc. and also through production of antibiotics through secondary metabolism pathway [33]. In present studies also the rhizosphere bacterial bioagents have shown considerable inhibition against both the soilborne pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger of groundnut. Bacterial isolate B. amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) showed highest inhibition against both the pathogens S. rolfsii the A. niger with an inhibitions of 66.66 and 63.08 per cent respectively. The mode of action of these bacterial bioagents is mostly due to rhizosphere production extracellular colonization. of antibiotics, lytic enzymes, and siderophores, and activation of host defence responses together might contribute to the reduction in the growth of pathogen [34]. However some bacterial bioagents do not produce any antimicrobial

Bacterial isolate	Identified species by using 16S	Inhibition of test pathogen (%)		
(Identity number)	<i>r</i> RNA technique	Sclerotium rolfsii	Aspergillus niger	
ATPB-1	Bacillus sp.B12	56.3 (*48.59)	58.00 (*49.59)	
ATPB-2	Bacillus cereus strain BS1	61.2 (51.46)	62.67 (52.31)	
ATPB-3	Leucobater aridicollis	54.1 (47.34)	54.11 (47.34)	
ATPB-4	Bacillus amyloliquefaciens	36.8 (37.30)	42.83 (40.86)	
MBNRB-1	Bacillus subtilis	45.0 (42.11)	41.95 (40.35)	
MBNRB-2	Pseudomonas sp. 22	54.1 (47.34)	54.11 (47.34)	
MBNRB-3	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strain</i> BHR3P1B2	66.66 (54.72)	63.08 (52.56)	
MBNRB-4	Bacillus cereus strain NXUGDS005	55.1 (47.92)	39.60 (38.97)	
WGLB-1	Bacillus sp. BCH532	63.7 (52.96)	58.33 (49.80)	
WGLB-2	Bacillus subtilis strain PVR-YHB-1-1	63.1 (52.56)	38.21 (38.15)	
Commercial (Bacillus s	p)	56.86 (48.23)	47.08 (45.06)	
Control		0.00	0.00	
		(0.00)	(0.00)	
CD at 5%		2.565	3.186	
SE(d)		1.221	1.517	
SE(m)		0.863	1.072	
CV %		3.100	4.062	

#### Table 2. Evaluation of bacterial isolates against Sclerotium rolfsii and Aspergillus niger under in vitro conditions

Values in the parenthesis are angular transformed values and are mean of three replications

compounds but they suppress the pathogens. For example B. megaterium (siderophore producers), was not antagonistic to A. niger but suppressed these pathogen by iron starvation in the rhizosphere by producing iron chelating compounds. Suppression of fusarium wilt from siderophore-mediated competition by P. putida WCS 358 has been shown [35]. In our present studies also the inhibition in growth of both the pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger with bacterial bioagents may be due to the production these antimicrobial compounds and iron chelating compounds (siderophores). Similar type of inhibitions was obtained with bacterial bioagents Bacillus sp. and Pseudomonas sp. were obtained by several workers in S. rolfsii [36,37] and A. niger (Prabhakaran & Ravimycin, 2012) [38].

#### 3.3 Compatibility of *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) and *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) with Fungicides and Herbicides

In order to include bioagent as a component in integrated disease management, the antagonist should be compatible with the commonly used agrochemicals. The effective biocontrol agents were tested for their compatibility with commonly used fungicides and herbicides under *in vitro* conditions using poisoned food technique.

#### 3.4 Compatibility of *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) with Fungicides and Herbicides

#### 3.4.1 Fungicides

A total of six fungicides were evaluated for their inhibition on growth of bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1). Out of six fungicides three fungicides mancozeb+carbendazim, thiram and tebuconazole was showed cent per cent inhibition of bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) at all the three concentrations under study. But significant differences were not observed between different concentrations of above three fungicides. However, the strobilurin group fungicide, azoxystrobin did not inhibit (zero per cent inhibition) the T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) at all the concentrations under study. Though the fungicides Mancozeb (53.90 %) and metalaxyl (58.03%) inhibited bioagent at recommended concentrations significant difference was not observed between these fungicides (Table 3). But significant difference was observed at half the recommended concentrations by 20.39 per cent and 25.88 per cent, and were inferior to the

Fungicides	Inhibition of <i>T. harzianum</i> (MBNRT-1) (%)					
	Recommended**	Half the recommended	Double the			
			recommended			
Mancozeb	53.90 (47.59)	20.39 (26.14)	25.09 ( 30.05)			
Mancozeb+Carbendazim	100 (90.00)	100 (90.00)	100 (90.00)			
Azoxystrobin	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)			
Metalaxyl	58.03 (49.64)	25.88 (30.47)	83.91 (66.33)			
Thiram	100 (90.00)	88.23 (69.90)	100 (90.00)			
Tebuconazole	100 (90.00)	100 (90.00)	100 (90.00)			
Factors	CD (5%)	SE (d)	SE (m)			
Fungicides (A)	6.48	3.21	2.27			
Concentration (B)	4.2	2.10	1.48			
AXB	11.22	5.56	3.93			

Table 3. Compatibility of effective bio agent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) with fungicides under in
<i>vitro</i> conditions

Values in the parentheses are angular transformed and are means of three replications \*\*The recommended doses are 1000 ppm (azoxystrobin and tebuconazole); 2000 ppm (mancozeb, metalaxyl, thiram) and 2500 ppm (mancozeb+carbendazim)

inhibitions of bioagents at recommended rates. At double the recommended concentration metalaxyl inhibited the bioagent *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) by 83.91 per cent. While lowest inhibition (25.09%) was found with fungicide mancozeb. Present results indicate that the fungicide azoxystrobin was highly compatible with the bioagent *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) and can be integrated with bioagent and tested in field conditions.

Application of bioagents against soilborne diseases of groundnut is gaining momentum of late especially in the gamut of IDM. Earlier studies have indicated that the conjunctive usage of Trichoderma sp. with fungicides against stem rot [39] and collar rot (Suresh, 2013). Basha et al. [40] reported combined application of Trichoderma sp with fungicide mancozeb for effective management of stem rot. Similarly, earlier research indicated the effectiveness of Trichoderma sp. against collar rot in groundnut through their juxtapositioning with fungicides. For successful IDM it is essential to know the compatibility of biocontrol agents with commonly agrochemicals. Earlier research used on compatibility of fungicides with the bioagent Trichoderma has indicated that the bioagent is compatible with certain fungicides but not with other chemicals. In case of groundnut, studies indicated that tebuconazole is highly inhibitory to the growth of fungal bioagent Trichoderma [41]. Though this fungicide is effective against stem rot at field level [42], its application along with bioagents is limited and is confined to experimental conditions. Similarly, in vitro studies by Pandey et al. [43] indicated 27.6 per cent inhibition of Trichoderma sp by azoxystrobin in contrast to the present study where zero per cent inhibition of S. rolfsii was observed with azoxystrobin. In the present study tebuconazole recorded 100 per cent inhibition in T. harzianum (MBNRT-1). Similar results were reported by Mc Lean et al. [41] and Bagwan [44]. The results of the present study clearly indicate that the recommendation of application of Trichoderma and tebuconazole together should not be practiced in groundnut for the control of stem rot and collar rot. In our studies mancozeb was found effective against A. niger and S. rolfsii also it is compatible with T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) where can be used in IDM for the control of stem rot and collar rot pathogens. Although metalaxyl which was found compatible with T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) but was not effective against stem rot hence it cannot be recommended for field use. Though majority of earlier reports supported complete compatibility of Trichoderma sp with metalaxyl, contradictory reports on the inhibitory effect on Trichoderma by this acylalanine compound are also available [45]. Based on our studies, it can be concluded that juxtapositioning of Trichoderma spp., particularly our strain, T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) should be at reduced rates only with either of these fungicides under the ambit of IDM against groundnut soilborne diseases. Ranganathswamy et al. [46] reported that seed dressing fungicide, thiram, had significant inhibitory action against T. harzianum (MBNRT-1). Our results also indicated that thiram showed complete inhibition of Trichoderma sp.

The results of the present studies also revealed complete compatibility of fungal bioagent *T. harzianum* (MBNRT-1) with azoxystrobin. Earlier results indicated compatibility of fungal bioagents such as *Trichoderma* spp and *Gliocladium virens* with azoxystrobin [46]. Contradictory reports on the inhibitory effects of azoxystrobin to *Trichoderma* spp were also available [47]. Reports on the conjunctive use of azoxystrobin with *Trichoderma* spp. however were also reported in groundnut under field conditions [48].

#### 3.4.2 Herbicides

Of all the herbicides screened, highest inhibition of bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) recorded quizolofop-p-ethyl (90%) while with zero cent inhibition was obtained with per followed imazethapyr+imazamox by pendimethalin and (87.45%) Cvcloxvdim (84.12%) at recommended dosages and significant differences were found among these herbicides. The herbicides Propaguizafop (77.65%) and Oxyfluorfen (76.67%) also inhibited the bioagent at recommended dosages and significant difference was not observed between these two chemicals. Similarly all these five herbicides were also inhibitory to T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) growth at half the recommended dosages significantly (Table 4). The inhibitory percentages at this concentration for these five chemicals ranged from 65.84 to 79.02%. Imazethapyr was also less inhibitory (5.88%) to

the growth of MBNRT-1(T. harzianum) at its recommended dosage. These two chemicals. imazethapyr alone and in combination with imazamox as commercial formulations were also least inhibitory at half the recommended dosages percent (3.92% respectively). and zero Fenaxoprop recorded inhibited up to 43.06 and 46.31 per cent respectively at half the recommended and recommended rates respectively with no significant difference. Except for the chemicals imazethapyr; and its combined product comprising of imazamox, all other chemicals have shown increased inhibitions at double the recommended rates on bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) radial growth. The herbicides imazithapyr; and imazethapyr + imazamox were least inhibitory to T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) growth when their concentrations were doubled. Overall, our results suggest that herbicide formulation imazethapyr the imazamox was highly compatible and it can be conjunctively used with T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) with no signs of inhibition on the growth of bioagent at all the concentrations studied.

Herbicides though have significant role in boosting plant yields, they also have certain nontarget effects especially on soil microflora that contribute to plant disease development (Glaze et al. 1984). This is especially true when these herbicides are used indiscriminately in field soils where weeds are problematic. IDM is successful when, these agrochemicals (herbicides) are

Herbicide	Inhibition of <i>T. harzianum</i> (MBNRT-1) (%)					
	Recommended **	Half	Double			
		recommended	recommended			
Propaquizafop	77.65 (61.76)	72.43 (58.30)	81.57 (64.56)			
Cycloxydim	84.12 (66.50)	70.27 (56.94)	87.45 (69.23)			
Oxyfluorfen	76.67 (61.09)	65.84 (54.22)	82.94 (65.58)			
Imazythapyr	5.88 (14.02)	3.92 (9.35)	5.88 (14.02)			
Imazythapyr + Imazamox	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)			
Pendimethalin	87.45 (69.24)	79.02 (62.71)	82.35 (65.16)			
Quizalopop- P- Ethyl	90.00 (71.54)	67.22 (55.04)	90.98 (72.51)			
Fenaxoprop	46.31 (42.86)	43.06 (40.99)	49.88 (44.91)			
Factors	C.D at 5 %	SE(d)	SE(m)			
Herbicides (A)	1.31	0.65	0.46			
Concentration (B)	0.75	0.37	0.26			
(A X B)	2.27	1.13	0.80			

 

 Table 4. Compatibility of bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) with commonly used herbicides under in vitro conditions

Values in the parentheses are the angular transformed values and are means of three replications \*\*The recommended doses are 430 ppm (Imazythapyr + Imazamox); 1500 (propaquizapop), 1700 ppm (oxyfluorfen), 2000 ppm (Imazythpyr, Fenaxoprop, Quizalopop- P- Ethyl); 3000, ppm (cyclodixim) and 5000 ppm (pendimethalin) compatible with the bioagents. Some herbicides have non target effects like control of plant pathogens along with weed. For the effective utilization Trichoderma sp as bioagents for control of soilborne diseases it is necessary to check the compatibility with commonly used herbicides in that particular crop. Previous studies indicated that some herbicides are compatible with Trichoderma sp., while some are non-compatible [49,50]. In the present study herbicides propaguizafop and pendimethalin were highly inhibitory to both stem rot and collar rot pathogens but these herbicides are not compatible with the bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) which is also effective in inhibiting the stem rot and collar rot pathogens. Earlier reports also indicated that pendimethalin is highly inhibitory to the growth of bioagent Trichoderma [51]. Similarly the herbicide guzalofop-p ethyl was also effective in inhibiting the collar rot pathogen A. niger under in vitro conditions, but it was highly inhibitory to Trichoderma sp which is in agreement with Madhavi et al. [51]. However, some herbicides like imazethapyr and 2, 4-D sodium salt are less inhibitory to the bioagent Trichoderma sp. (Gounder et al. 1999). In our herbicides imazethapyr studies the and imazethapyr+imazamox are highly compatible with the bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) but these herbicides were not effective against the collar rot and stem rot pathogens. Earlier studies also indicated the compatibility of Trichoderma sp with herbicide Imzethapyr [10]. Based on our herbicides results the imazethapvr and imazethapyr + imazamox are highly compatible with the bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) so these herbicides can be used in integrated disease management programme along with bioagents.

#### 3.5 Compatibility of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) with Fungicides and Herbicides

Six fungicides and eight herbicides were evaluated for their compatibility against bacterial biocontrol agent under *in vitro* conditions and the results are presented in Table.

#### 3.5.1 Fungicides

Compatibility studies of bacterial bioagent *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) with fungicides indicated that all the chemicals have shown more or less inhibition on the bioagent (Table 5). Among all the fungicides azoxystrobin and metalaxyl were least inhibitory to the *B.* 

amyloliguefaciens (MBNRB-3) and the inhibitions were 17.46 per cent and 17.69 per cent at their recommended concentration and the differences between these two fungicides were not recommended significant. Whereas. at concentration the highest inhibition in the growth of B. amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3) was found with the fungicides thiram and tebuconazole and the inhibitions were 47.4 per cent and 47.14 per cent respectively and the differences between these fungicides were non-significant. Further the fungicide mancozeb and combined product mancozeb+carbendazim were inhibited the bioagent B. amyloliguefaciens (MBNRB-3) in the range 32.42 per cent to 37.53 per cent and there was a reduction in the inhibition of bioagent with respect to the reduction in the concentration. Similarly, there was an increased inhibition of bioagents with simultaneous increase in the concentrations of fungicides. Overall, the fungicide azoxystrobin was least inhibitory to the bioagent B. amyloliguefaciens (MBNRB-3) in the present studies and is considered as more compatible fungicide.

#### 3.5.2 Herbicides

Of different herbicides evaluated, pendimethalin (88.7%) followed by quizalofop-P-ehtyl (80.1%) are highly inhibitory to B. amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3) at recommended dosages (Table 6). These two herbicides were also inhibitory at half the recommended rates showing inhibitions of 79.52 per cent and 70.97 per cent and are significantly different. These herbicides are followed by cycloxydim and oxyfluorfen showing inhibitions of 69.3 per cent and 68.5 per cent respectively at recommended dosages and the differences between these herbicides were not significant. However, these two herbicides differed at half recommended dosages showing inhibitions of 68.2% (cycloxydim) and 28 per cent (oxyfluorfen). The herbicides propaguizafop (53.75% inhibition), fenaxoprop (36.8% inhibition) and imazethapyr (20.8%) also have shown inhibitory effects at recommended dosages with significant differences among them and lowest inhibition (14.02%) was found with herbicide imazythapyr+imazamox. At half the recommended dosages, all the herbicides inhibitions showed decreased В. on amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3). At double the concentrations all the herbicides, showed increased inhibitory effects ranging from 21.3% (imazethapyr+imazamox) pendemethalin to (98%). Overall, our results suggested that imazethapyr+imazamox has least inhibitory effect on the growth of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) and is relatively a compatible herbicide with the bioagent.

successful management of soilborne For diseases with biocontrol agents in IDM it is essential to know the compatibility of biocontrol agents with commonly used agrochemicals. Earlier research on compatibility of fungicides with the bioagent Bacillus sp indicated that most of the commonly used fungicides were compatible with Bacillus species. Present studies also proved that bioagent B. amyloliguefaciens (MBNRB-3) was relatively compatible with all the fungicides used under study and recorded below 50 percent inhibitions and the inhibitions were in the range 17.46 to 47.4 per cent. Among six fungicides azoxystrobin was proved highly compatible at is recommended concentration and this was earlier reported by Devi and Prakasam [52] however contradictory reports also reported by Prasad et al. [53] with azoxystrobin where they found the fungicide azoxystrobin was highly inhibitory to the growth of Bacillus sp. under in vitro conditions. Kumar et al. [54] also reported the compatibility of strain MBI 600 (B. subtilis) with commonly used fungicides and reported that strain MBI 600 (B. subtilis) was highly tolerant to hexaconazole, propiconazole and validamycin; moderately tolerant to tricyclazole; and poorly tolerant to benomyl and mancozeb at 1000 ppm. The MBI 600 strain also showed good compatibility with carbendazim and azoxystrobin at 400 ppm. Similarly Basha et al. (2010) studied the compatibility of B. subtilis PB18 with commonly used fungicides and reported that B. subtilis PB18 was more compatible with thiophanate methyl (96.07%) at 50 ppm followed

by mancozeb, carbendazim, copper oxychloride and propioconazole. The compatibility was less (16.09%) with hexaconazole at 25 ppm compared to other fungicides. In the present studies also triazole group fungicide was relatively less compatible with *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) and showed 47.1 per cent inhibition. Overall, the fungicide azoxystrobin was found to be highly compatible with *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3).

Compatibility of bioagents with herbicides also important because herbicides not only improve the crop yields by reducing the weed flora but also showing some non target effects against the soil borne pathogens [55] so it is important to study the compatibility of bioagents with herbicides. Present studies the biocontrol agent B. amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3) was highly compatible with herbicide imazethapyr imazamox showing inhibition of 14.06 per cent. Whereas the herbicides pendimethalin and guizalofop-P-ehtyl were highly inhibitory to the bioagent MBNRB-3 even at half the recommended dosage and the inhibitions were 79.52 percent and 70.97 per cent respectively. In our present studies the herbicide propaquizafop also inhibited the R amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3) at all the three similar concentrations tested results with propaguizatop was obtained by Prasad et al. [53] who evaluated the compatibility of *B. subtilis* with different agrochemicals and reported that among all chemicals tested Azoxystrobin (fungicide), Flubendiamide (insecticide) and Propaguizafop (herbicide) were found to inhibit Bacillus at recommended / half recommended dosage.

 Table 5. Compatibility of B. amyloliquefaciens (MBNRB-3) with fungicides under in vitro conditions

Fungicide	Optical density at 610 nm			Inhibition over control (%)		
-	(R)*	(0.5R)	(2R)	(R)*	(0.5R)	(2R)
Mancozeb	1.47	2.08	1.50	32.4	4.02	31.00
Mancozeb+Carbendazim	1.36	2.08	1.55	37.5	4.06	28.4
Azoxystrobin	1.79	2.07	1.77	17.4	4.9	18.6
Metalaxyl	1.79	2.08	1.62	17.6	4.02	25.4
Thiram	1.14	1.19	1.10	47.4	44.8	49.3
Tebuconazole	1.15	1.62	1.05	47.14	25.4	51.7
Control	2.17	2.17	2.17	0.00	0.00	0.00
Factors				CD at 5 %	SE(m)	SE(d)
Fungicides (A)				2.92	1.02	1.44
Concentration (B)				3.2	0.4	0.67
AXB				5.06	2.51	1.77

\*The recommended doses are 1000 ppm (azoxystrobin and tebuconazole); 2000 ppm (mancozeb, metalaxyl, thiram) and 2500 ppm (mancozeb+carbendazim)

Herbicide	Optical density at 610nm			Inhibition over control (%)			
	Conce	Concentration of Fungicide			Concentration of Fungicide		
	(R)**	(0.5R)	(2R)	(R)	(0.5R)	(2R)	
Propaquizafop	0.21	1.12	2.01	*53.75	44.38	91.32	
Cyclodixin	0.11	0.33	0.74	69.32	68.20	95.51	
Oxyfluorfen	0.41	1.74	0.76	68.54	27.98	83.09	
Imazythapyr	1.70	2.14	1.91	20.81	11.09	29.53	
Imazythapyr + Imazamox	1.90	2.14	2.07	14.02	11.19	21.32	
Pendimethalin	0.05	0.49	0.27	88.70	79.52	98.01	
Quizalopop- P- Ethyl	0.15	0.70	0.48	80.10	70.97	93.70	
Fenaxoprop	0.07	1.99	1.55	35.83	17.61	97.18	
Control	2.41	2.41	2.41	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Factors				CD at 5 %	SE (m)	SE(d)	
Herbicides (A)				3.90	1.37	1.93	
Concentration (B)				2.38	0.83	1.18	
(A X B)				6.75	2.37	3.35	

### Table 6. Compatibility of *B. amyloliquefaciens* (MBNRB-3) with herbicides under *in vitro* conditions

\*Means of three replications

\*\*The recommended doses are 430 ppm (Imazythapyr + Imazamox); 1500 (propaquizapop), 1700 ppm (oxyfluorfen), 2000 ppm (Imazythpyr, Fenaxoprop, Quizalopop- P- Ethyl); 3000 ppm (cyclodixim) and 5000 ppm (pendimethalin)

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS

In vitro evaluation of native fungal isolates under conditions indicated that all the tested isolates were inhibitory to the growth of S. rolfsii and A. niger. The bioagent T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) was superior among all the isolates in inhibiting the pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger and the per cent inhibitions were 70.58% in case S. rolfsii whereas; in A. niger the inhibition was 72.9 per cent. Among native bacterial isolates the isolate amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) В. and is significantly superior over the other isolates in inhibiting the pathogens S. rolfsii and A. niger under in vitro conditions and the inhibitions were 66.6 per cent and 63.07 per cent.

Compatibility of superior bioagents T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and B. amyloliguifaciens (MBNRB-3) with six fungicides and eight herbicides indicated that among the fungicides the azoxystrobin was highly compatible with both the bioagents T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and B. amyloliquifaciens (MBNRB-3) whereas, among the herbicides imazethapyr + imazamox was found to be compatible with both the bioagents with at all the concentrations. While, tebuconazole, thiram, mancozeb+carbendazim (fungicides) and quizolofop-p-ethyl and pendimethalin (herbicides) were highly inhibitory to the T. harzianum (MBNRT-1) and B. amvloliguifaciens (MBNRB-3) under in vitro conditions.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- Chet I. Biological control of soilborne pathogens with fungal antagonists in combination with soil treatments. In Hornby, D, Cook, R.J, Henis, Y, Ko, W.H, Rovira, A.D. Schippers, B & Scott, P.R. (Eds.). Biological Control of Soil-borne Pathogens. New York:CAB Publishing House. 1990;15-25.
- Cortes C, Gutierrez A, Olmedo V, Inbar J, Chet I, Herrera Estrella A. The expression of genes involved in parasitism by *Trichoderma harzianum* is triggered by a diffusible factor. Mol. Gen. Genet. 1998;260: 218-225.
- 3. Weindling R. Studies on lethal principle effective in the parasitic action of *Trichoderma lignorum* on *Rhizoctonia solani* and other soil fungi. Phytopath. 1934;24:1153-1179.
- 4. Papavizas GC. *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium*:Biology, ecology and potential for biocontrol. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 1985;23:23-54.
- 5. Chet I. *Trichoderma*-application, mode of action and potential as biocontrol agent of soil borne plant pathogenic fungi:

Innovative approaches to plant disease control. Chet, I. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1987;137-160.

- Howell CR. Relevance of mycoparasitism in the biological control of *Rhizoctonia* solani by *Gliocladium virens*. J Phytopathol. 1987;77:992-994.
- Kumar, KVK, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW, Lawrence KS, Groth DE, Miller ME. Sheath blight disease of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) - An overview. Biosci. Biotechnol. Res. Asia. 2009;6(2):465-480.
- 8. Kubicek CP, Mach RL, Peterbauer CK, Lorito M. *Trichoderma*:From genes to biocontrol. J. Plant Pathol. 2001;83:11-23.
- Podile AR, Kishore GK. Biological control of peanut diseases. In Gnanamanickam, S.S. (Eds.). *Biological control of crop diseases*. New York, Marcel Dekker Inc. 2002;131-160.
- Gupta VK, Sharma K. Integration of chemicals and biocontrol agents for managing white rot of apple. Acta Hortic. 2004;635:141-149.
- 11. Veena SS, Anandraj M, Sharma YR. Compatibility of potassium phosphonate with *Trichoderma harzianum*. Indian J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 2006;36(2):171-174.
- Korsten L, Lonsdale JH, De Villiers E, De Jager ES. Preharvest biological control of mango diseases. S.A. Mango Growers'Association. Yearbook. 1992;12: 72–74.
- Silimela M, Korsten L. Alternative methods for preventing pre and post-harvest diseases and sunburn on mango fruits.
   S.A. Mango Growers' Association Yearbook. 2001;21:39–43.
- 14. Jhonson LF, Curl EA. Methods for the research on ecology of soil borne plant pathogens. Burgess Publishing Co, Minneapolis; 1972.
- Dennis C, Webster J. Antagonistic properties of species groups of *Trichoderma* III hyphal interactions. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 1971;57:363-369.
- 16. Alschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Ew M, Lipman, DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J of Mol Bio. 1990;215:403-410.
- Thompson JD, Gibsom TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F, Higgins DG. The clustal X windows interface:flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;24:4876-4882.
- 18. Saitou N, Nei M. The neighbour-joining method:a new method for reconstructing

phylogenetic trees. Mol. Biol. Evol. 1987;4:406-425.

- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA 6: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. Mol. Biol. Evol. 2013;30(12):2725-2729.
- 20. Nene YL, Thapliyal PN. *Fungicides in Plant Disease Control*.Oxford and IBH Publishing House, New Delhi. 1993;163.
- Deacon JW. Biocontrol of soil borne plant pathogens with introduced bacteria;In:Wood R.K.S. Way, M.J. (eds.). Biological control of pests, pathogens and weeds: Development and prospects. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. London, B. 1988;318:357-373.
- 22. Hornby D. Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens. Walling Ford, Oxon:CAB International; 1990.
- 23. Sarhan MM, Ezzat SM, Tohamy MRA. Application of *Trichoderma hamatum* as a biocontrol against tomato wilts disease caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp *lycopersici.* Egypt J Med Microbiol. 1999;34:347-376.
- 24. Elad Y, Chet I, Boyle P, Hennis Y. Parasitism of *Trichoderma* spp, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* scanning electron microscopy fluorescent microscopy. J Phytopathol. 1983; 73:85-88.
- 25. Mukhopadhyay AN. Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens by *Trichoderma* spp. Indian J Mycol Plant Pathol. 1987;17:1-10.
- 26. Iqbal SM, Bakhsh A, Hussain S, Malik BA. Microbial antagonism against *Sclerotium rolfsii* the cause of collar rot of lentil. Lens Newsletters. 1995;22:44-49.
- 27. Rekha D, Patil MB, Shridhar Shetty P, Swamy KM, Rajini B. Gamanagatti. *Invitro* screening of native *Trichoderma* isolates against *Sclerotium rolfsii* causing collar rot of ground nut. Int J Sci Nat. 2012;3(1):117-120.
- 28. Devi M, Prasad RD. Biointensive management of collar rot of groundnut caused by *Aspergillus niger*. J. Biol Control. 2009;23(1):21-24.
- Gajera H, Rakholiya K, Dinesh V. Bioefficacy of *Trichoderma* isolates against *Aspergillus niger* van Tieghem inciting collar rot in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). J. Plant Prot. Res. 2011;51 (3):240-247.
- 30. Nandeesha BS, Kumar MR, Reddy NPE. Evaluation of different fungicides and their

compatibility with potential *Trichoderma spp*. For the management of *Aspergillus niger* incitant of collar rot of groundnut. Asian J. Biol. Sci. 2013;2(1):59-63.

- Siala, A, Gray TR. Growth of *Bacillus* subtilis and spore germination in soil observed by a fluorescent- antibody technique. J. Genet. Mol. Biol. 1974;81: 191-198.
- Utkhede RS. Antagonism of isolates of Bacillus subtilis to Phytophthora cactorum. Canadian Journal of Botany. 1984;62: 1032-1035.
- Prabakaran G, Ravimycin T. Screening of Bacillus isolates against Aspergillus niger causing collar rot of groundnut. Int. J. Plant Prot. 2012;5(1):11-115.
- 34. Podile AR, Prakash AP. Lysis and biological control of *Aspergillus niger* by *Bacillus subtilis* AF 1. Can. J. Microbiol. 1996;42(6):533–538.
- 35. Duijff BJ, Meijer JW, Bakker PAHM, Schippers B. Siderophore-mediated competition for iron and induced resistance in the suppression of fusarial wilt of carnation by fluorescent *Pseudomonas* spp. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 1993;99:277-289.
- 36. Rangeshwaran R, Prasad RD. Biological control of *Sclerotium* rots of Sunflower. Indian Phytopathol. 2000;53(4):444 449.
- 37. Lin HC, Huang WD, Yang SS, Tzeng DS, Growth promotion and Reduced *Sclerotium rolfsii* seedling blight of rice by *Bacillus subtilis* WG6-14. Plant Pathol. Bulletin. 2008;17:53-64.
- Kishore GK, Pande S, Podile AR Biological control of collar rot disease with broad spectrum antifungal bacteria associated with groundnut. Can. J. Microbiol. 2005;51:123–132.
- Csinos S, Bell DK, Minton NA, Wells HD. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* spp, fungicides, and chemical combinations for control of southern stem rot on peanuts. Peanut Sci. 1983;10:75-79.
- Basha ST, Radhaiah A, Devamma MN, Reddy NPE. Biocontrol potential of indigenous *Pseudomonas* spp. against *Sclerotium rolfsii* causing stem rot of groundnut. Int. j. food agric. vet. sci. 2010;2(1):134 -141.
- 41. Mc Lean KL, Hunt J, Stewart A, Zydenbos SM. Compatibility of the biocontrol agent *Trichoderma harzianum* C-52 with selected fungicides. *Newzealand Plant Protection* 54. *Proceedings of a conference quality*

*hotel*, Palmerston North, Newzealand 14-16, August. 2001; 84-88.

- 42. Brenneman TB, Murphy AD, Csinos AS. Activity of tebuconazole on *Sclerotium rolfsii* and *Rhizoctonia solani* two soilborne pathogens of peanut. Plant Dis. 1991;75:744-747.
- 43. Pandey KK, Pandey PK, Mishra KK. Bioefficacy of fungicides against different fungal bioagents for tolerance level and fungistatic behavour. Indian Phytopathol. 2006;59(1):68-71.
- 44. Bagwan NB. Evaluation of *Trichoderma* compatibility with fungicides, pesticides, organic cakes and botanicals for integrated management of soil borne diseases of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.)Merril]. Int J of Plant Protec. 2010;3 (2):206-209.
- 45. Tapwal A, Kumar R, Gautham N, Pandey S. Compatibility of *Trichoderma viride* for selected fungicides and botanicals. Int. J. Plant Pathol. 2012;6 (2):89-94.
- 46. Ranganathswamy M, Patibanda AK, Chandrashekhar GS, Sandeep D, Mallesh SB, Halesh Kumar HB Compatibility of *Trichoderma* isolates with selected fungicides *in vitro*. Int. J. Plant Prot. 2012;5(1):12-15.
- 47. Sarkar S, Narayanan P, Divakaran A, Balamurugan A, Premkumar R. The *in vitro* effect of certain fungicides, insecticides, and biopesticides on mycelial growth in the biocontrol fungus *Trichoderma harzianum*. Turkish Journal of Biology. 2010;34:399-403.
- Akgul DS, Ozgonen H, Erkilic A. The effects of seed treatments with fungicides on stem rot caused by *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc in peanut. Pak. J of Bot. 2011; 43(6):2991-2996.
- 49. Mondal G, Srivastava KD, Agarwal R. Antagonistic effect of *Trichoderma* spp on *Ustilago segetum* var. *tritici* and their compatibility with fungicides and biocodes. Indian Phytopathol. 1995;48:466-470.
- 50. Sharma DD, Gupta VP, Chandrasekhar DS. Compatibility of certain biocontrol agents with chemical pesticides and fertilizers. Indian J. Seric. 1999;38:79-82.
- 51. Madhavi GB, Bhattiprolu SL, Reddy VB. Compatibility of bioagent *Trichoderma viride* with various pesticides. J. Hortic. Sci. 2011;6(1):71-72.
- 52. Devi PA, Prakasam V. Compatibility nature of azoxystrobin 25 SC with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* on chilli

Plants. World J. Agric. Res. 2013;1(8): 258-264.

- 53. Prasad JS, Reddy RS, Reddy PN, Harikrishna P. Isolation, characterization and screening of Bacillus spp. for plant growth promoting attributes and antagonistic activity against Rhizoctonia solani and Sclerotium rolfsii and compatibility with commonly used pesticides. Pollut. Res. 2014;33(2):373-377.
- 54. Kumar KVK, Reddy MS, Kloepper JW, Yellareddygari SK, Lawrence KS, Zhou XG, et al. Plant growth-promoting activities of *Bacillus subtilis* MBI 600 (integral®) and its compatibility with commonly used fungicides in rice sheath blight management. Int. J. Microbiol. Res. 2011; 3(2):120-130.
- 55. Katan J, Eshel Y. Interactions between herbicides and plant pathogens. Residue Rev. 1973;45:145-147.

© 2023 Rani et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97186